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THE CURRENT STUDY: PROSODIC BOUNDARY OR F0 MATCHING?

TACTIC: RAISE THE F0 OF THE RC-VERB...  
BY INDUCING A CONTINUATION RISE IN SILENT READING, IN CANADIAN FRENCH.

French offers a clear test: The continuation rise is strongly realized and (more or less) obligatory.  
A high boundary tone occurs at the end of a non-final subordinate clause.  
The verb is the final word in a Short RC, so it realizes this final boundary tone.

[... N1-de-N2 short [... Verb ]], followed by main clause

MAIN CLAUSE

ADVERBIAL CLAUSE

METHOD: MAIN vs ADVERBIAL CLAUSE IN CANADIAN FRENCH

EXPERIMENT: MAIN vs ADVERBIAL CLAUSE IN CANADIAN FRENCH

METHOD: Elicited production

Subjects: N = 8 native speakers of Canadian French.

Materials: Similar to Quinn et al., but with additional clauses. 24 basic sentences x 4 versions.

N1-de-N2-RC in Main / Adverbial Clause × Attachment High / Low

PRE-TEST FOR SHORT RC F0 CONTOUR

RESULTS

Interaction Term, F1 < 1, F2 < 1

Main Effect of RC Length (4.5 vs 58.3)  
F1 (4,44) = 25.60, p < .001, F2 (3,20) = 27.20, p < .001

Main Effect of Clause Type (52.7 vs 51.2)  
F2 < 1, F1 < 1

Adverbial clause does not differ at all from main clause:  
Short RC (boundary tone on RC verb) still attaches lower than Long RC (boundary tone much later than RC verb)

Replicates standard main clause RC length effect

F0 MATCHING is discontinued.

Data are consistent with the PROSODIC BOUNDARY explanation.  
(Assumption: Probability of boundary before Short / Long RC is unaffected by Clause Type.)

CONCLUSION

The F0 pattern in Quinn et al.’s data is most likely a consequence of prosodic boundary distribution, and it is prosodic boundaries that (directly) influence attachment preferences.

SUMMING UP

• One detail of the (implicit) prosodic explanation of RC-length effects on RC-attachment has been refined here.

• Default PROSODIC PHRASING, which is known to be length-dependent, is the likely cause of the length effect.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Our working hypothesis is that implicit prosody is the explanation of all differences in attachment preference in silent reading – across languages and across phrase lengths.

The Implicit Prosody Hypothesis also offers explanations for:


• New findings by Hemforth et al. (submitted):  
  - More high attachment in object position than subject position (German, Spanish, English).  
  - Marginally greater RC-length effect in object than subject position (German, Spanish, English).

• No RC-length effect for extraposed RCs in German.

Further evidence concerning the prosodic hypothesis is always welcome!  
WITHIN THE PROSODIC APPROACH:  
Our current research aims to elucidate the specific prosodic causes of syntactic preferences (see also Bradley et al., this conference).

The current study is a small beginning. More research is needed

Need to check additional languages:  
• F0 MATCHING has been described in disambiguation by PROSODIC BOUNDARIES is disallowed by the grammar?  
• Are PROSODIC BOUNDARIES more relevant than F0 MATCHING in languages with prosodic characteristics different from Canadian French?

ALTERNATIVE EXPLANATIONS

TIMING OF STRUCTURAL DECISIONS

(Prose vs. Poetry & Conversations, 2006)

• While long-RC is being processed, there’s time for N1-of-N2 to be built.

When short-RC is processed and ready to attach, no N1-of-N2 complex exists.

• Doesn’t explain cross-language differences: Why do English, Swedish, etc. have overall lower attachment than French, German, Spanish, etc.?

The data from our experiment do not confirm or disconfirm this approach.

INFORMATION LOAD

(Hemforth & Hemforth, 2002; Hemforth et al. submitted)

• The longer the RC, the more work it must do in the information structure of the sentence.

So long-RC must modify an NP central to (or focused in) the message.

• The data from our experiment are compatible with only some versions of this approach.

The main clause is evidently not privileged. Hence “centrality” must be assessed relative to each clause, not to the whole message.

REFERENCES

F0 MATCHING: Because Long RC is more likely to start with high F0.

PROSODIC BOUNDARY: Because Long RC is more likely to be preceded by a prosodic discontinuity (Silkork, 2000).
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ABSTRACT

An effect of relative clause (RC) length on preferred RC-attachment in complex NPs (N1-of-N2-RC) has been established for Brazilian Portuguese, Croatian, English, French, Japanese and Spanish (German data are mixed). Proposed explanations invoke timing of structural decisions (Pynte & Colonna, 2000), information load (Hemforth & Konieczny, 2002), and implicit prosody (Quinn et al., 2000). Our study investigates one aspect of the prosodic explanation.

In number-disambiguated sentences of American English and European French, Quinn et al. measured F0 for the prominent vowels of N1, N2 and the RC-verb. Declining F0 was observed in all cases except Long-RC with forced-high attachment in English, and both forced-high and forced-low Long-RCs in European French. In these, F0 fell from N1 to N2, then rose to the RC. Quinn et al. related these contours to ambiguity resolution preferences favoring high attachment in European French not English, for Long-RCs only. However, it was left unresolved whether the fall-rise contour characteristic of high attachment indicated (A) F0-matching of the RC to the modified noun, or (B) F0-reset due to a pre-RC prosodic boundary. This is relevant to predictions this theory should make for other languages.

Our study of Canadian French located the complex NP plus RC in final position either in a main clause (with sentence-final fall) as in Quinn et al.’s study, or in an initial adverbial clause (with clause-final continuation rise). The purpose was to induce higher F0 at the RC-verb in the latter case for Short-RCs, whose verb was clause-final. A preliminary reading-aloud experiment confirmed that Canadian French Short-RCs show a sharp F0 contrast between sentence-final fall and continuation rise, regardless of whether attachment is forced high or low.

Hypothesis (A) therefore predicts a high-attachment preference for Short-RCs as well as Long-RCs in Adverbial-clause contexts, but Quinn et al.’s data pattern in Main-clause contexts (high attachment of Long-RCs only). Hypothesis (B) predicts Quinn et al.’s pattern in both contexts, assuming a comparable incidence of pre-RC prosodic boundaries. An ambiguity-resolution questionnaire (N=48) with factors Short/Long RC x Main/Adverbial context showed the usual RC-length effect (p<.001) but absolutely no effect of context or any interaction (all F's < 1). While compatible with the prosodic boundary hypothesis, these data exclude the F0-matching hypothesis. For non-prosodic accounts of RC-length effects: the decision-timing explanation is not excluded, but the insensitivity to main/subordinate clause position demonstrated here offers an empirical constraint on further development of the information-load explanation.
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