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#### Abstract

We classify toroidal solenoids defined by non-singular $n \times n$-matrices $A$ with integer coefficients by studying associated first Cech cohomology groups. In a previous work, we classified the groups in the case $n=2$ using generalized ideal classes in the splitting field of the characteristic polynomial of $A$. In this paper we explore the classification problem for an arbitrary $n$.


## 1. Introduction

The goal of this paper is to classify toroidal solenoids defined by non-singular matrices with integer coefficients as introduced by M. C. McCord in 1965 (M65]. More precisely, let $\mathbb{T}^{n}$ denote a torus considered as a quotient of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ by its subgroup $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$. A matrix $A \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ induces a map $A: \mathbb{T}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}^{n}, A([\mathbf{x}])=[A \mathbf{x}],[\mathbf{x}] \in \mathbb{T}^{n}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Consider the inverse system $\left(M_{j}, f_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $f_{j}: M_{j+1} \longrightarrow M_{j}, M_{j}=\mathbb{T}^{n}$ and $f_{j}=A$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. The inverse limit $\mathcal{S}_{A}$ of the system is called a (toroidal) solenoid. As a set, $\mathcal{S}_{A}$ is a subset of $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} M_{j}$ consisting of points $\left(z_{j}\right) \in \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} M_{j}$ such that $z_{j} \in M_{j}$ and $f_{j}\left(z_{j+1}\right)=z_{j}$ for $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e.,

$$
\mathcal{S}_{A}=\left\{\left(z_{j}\right) \in \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{T}^{n} \mid z_{j} \in \mathbb{T}^{n}, A\left(z_{j+1}\right)=z_{j}, j \in \mathbb{N}\right\}
$$

Endowed with the natural group structure and the induced topology from the Tychonoff (product) topology on $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{T}^{n}, \mathcal{S}_{A}$ is an $n$-dimensional topological abelian group. It is compact, metrizable, and connected, but not locally connected and not path connected. Toroidal solenoids are examples of inverse limit dynamical systems. When $n=1$ and $A=d, d \in \mathbb{Z}$, solenoids are called $d$-adic solenoids or Vietoris solenoids. The first examples were studied by L. Vietoris in 1927 for $d=2$ [V27] and later in 1930 by van Dantzig for an arbitrary $d$ D37]. The problem of classifying toroidal solenoids (up to homeomorphisms) has been studied extensively based on their topological invariants and holonomy pseudogroup actions (see e.g., [CHL13] and [BLP19]). In [S22] and the present work, we employ a number-theoretic approach to solving the problem.

It is known that the first Cech cohomology group $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{A}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ of $\mathcal{S}_{A}$ is isomorphic to a subgroup $G_{A^{t}}$ of $\mathbb{Q}^{n}$ defined by the transpose $A^{t}$ of $A$ as follows:

$$
G_{A^{t}}=\left\{\left(A^{t}\right)^{-k} \mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}, k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$
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On the other hand, since $\mathcal{S}_{A}$ is a compact connected abelian group, $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{S}_{A}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ is isomorphic to the character group $\widehat{\mathcal{S}_{A}}$ of $\mathcal{S}_{A}$. Thus, for a non-singular $B \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$, using Pontryagin duality theorem, we see that $\mathcal{S}_{A}, \mathcal{S}_{B}$ are isomorphic as topological groups if and only if $G_{A^{t}}, G_{B^{t}}$ are isomorphic as abstract groups. Therefore, we study isomorphism classes of groups of the form $G_{A}$, where $A \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ is non-singular.

If $n=1$, we have $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $G_{A}, G_{B}$ are isomorphic if and only if $A, B$ have the same prime divisors. Note that if $A, B$ are conjugate by a matrix in $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$, then clearly $G_{A}, G_{B}$ are isomorphic (notationally, $G_{A} \cong G_{B}$ ). However, the converse is not true. In general, the class of matrices $A, B \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ with isomorphic groups $G_{A}, G_{B}$ is much larger than the class of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$-conjugate matrices. We have an example, where given an irreducible polynomial $h \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, there are three $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$-conjugacy classes of matrices with integer coefficients and characteristic polynomial $h$, but all three classes constitute just one class of isomorphic groups of the form $G_{A}$ [S22, Example 4]. It might also happen that $G_{A} \cong G_{B}$, but $A, B$ do not even share the same characteristic polynomial, so that $A, B$ are not conjugate by a matrix in $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Q})$ (see e.g., [S22, Example 2]). In [S22] we classified groups $G_{A}$ in the case $n=2$. In the generic case, i.e., when the characteristic polynomial of $A$ is irreducible, we linked $G_{A}$ to a generalized ideal class generated by an eigenvector of $A$ in the splitting field of the characteristic polynomial of $A$. We showed that if $G_{A} \cong G_{B}$, then the characteristic polynomials of $A, B$ share the same splitting field and, essentially, $G_{A}$ and $G_{B}$ are isomorphic if and only if the corresponding ideal classes are multiples of each other. It turns out that this is no longer true when $n>2$. In this paper, we finish the classification of groups $G_{A}$ (and hence, the associated toroidal solenoids $\mathcal{S}_{A}$ ) for an arbitrary $n$. We provide necessary and sufficient conditions for $G_{A} \cong G_{B}$ for any $A, B \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ and consider special cases as well. In particular, we formulate sufficient conditions under which $G_{A} \cong G_{B}$ if and only if the corresponding ideal classes are multiples of each other. We give examples illustrating how our theorems can be used to check whether $G_{A} \cong G_{B}$ for given $A, B \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ in practice. We also consider applications of the obtained results to the class of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$-odometers defined by matrices $A \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$.
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## 2. Localization

For a non-singular $n \times n$-matrix $A$ with integer coefficients, $A \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{A}=\left\{A^{-k} \mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}, k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}, \quad \mathbb{Z}^{n} \subseteq G_{A} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^{n} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can readily check that $G_{A}$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}^{n}$.

For a prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$ denote

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}=\left\{\left.\frac{m}{n} \in \mathbb{Q} \right\rvert\, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0,(p, n)=1\right\}
$$

a subring of $\mathbb{Q}$. (Here $(p, n)$ denotes the greatest common divisor of $p$ and $n$.) Let $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ denote the field of $p$-adic numbers with the subgring of $p$-adic integers $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. For $N=\operatorname{det} A$, $N \in \mathbb{Z}, N \neq 0$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}=\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{N}\right]=\left\{\left.\frac{m}{N^{k}} \right\rvert\, m, k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the ring of $N$-adic rationals.
Remark 2.1. Note that $G_{A}$ is a (additive) subgroup of $\mathcal{R}^{n}$, since $A^{-k}=\frac{1}{(\operatorname{det} A)^{k}} \tilde{A}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, with $\tilde{A} \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$. However, $G_{A} \neq \mathcal{R}^{n}$ in general.
Lemma 2.2. For a prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$ denote $G_{A, p}=G_{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. Then

$$
G_{A}=\bigcap_{p} G_{A, p}=\mathcal{R}^{n} \bigcap_{p \mid \operatorname{det} A} G_{A, p}
$$

Here $G_{A, p}$ is considered as a subset of $\mathbb{Q}^{n}$.
Proof. See [F73, p. 183, Lemma 93.1] for the first equality, which holds for any abelian subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}^{n}$ and, more generally, for an abelian torsion free group of at most countable rank. Hence, taking into account Remark 2.1, we have $G_{A} \subseteq \mathcal{R}^{n} \bigcap_{p \mid \operatorname{det} A} G_{A, p}$. The opposite inclusion is proved as in loc.cit. Namely, let $x \in \mathcal{R}^{n} \bigcap_{p \mid \operatorname{det} A} G_{A, p}$. Then

$$
x=\sum x_{i} a_{i}, \quad x_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}, a_{i} \in G_{A},
$$

and there exists $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ coprime with $p$ such that $s x \in G_{A}$. Since $x \in \mathcal{R}^{n}$, there exists a power of $N$ such that $N^{k} x \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}, k \in \mathbb{N}, N=\operatorname{det} A$. Let $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{l} \in \mathbb{N}$ be all the prime divisors of $N$. Since $x \in \bigcap_{p \mid \operatorname{det} A} G_{A, p}$, by above, for each $p_{i}$ there exists $s_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ coprime with $p_{i}$ such that $s_{i} x \in G_{A}$. Since $N^{k}, s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{l}$ are coprime and $\mathbb{Z}^{n} \subset G_{A}$, we have $x \in G_{A}$.

For a prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$ denote $\bar{G}_{A, p}=G_{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Naturally, $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n} \subseteq \bar{G}_{A, p} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{n}$.
Lemma 2.3. Let $\bar{G}_{A, p}=G_{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{p}, G_{A, p}=G_{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. Then

$$
\mathbb{Q}^{n} \cap \bar{G}_{A, p}=G_{A, p},
$$

where $\mathbb{Q}^{n} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{n}$, and the intersection is in $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{n}$.
Proof. See [F73, p. 183, Lemma 93.2], [D37]. It is proved there that if $G$ is an abelian torsion free group of at most countable rank, then

$$
\left(G \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}\right) \cap\left(G \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)=G \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}
$$

Apply the result to $G=G_{A}$ and note that $G_{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}=\mathbb{Q}^{n}$.

## Corollary 2.4.

$$
G_{A}=\bigcap_{p}\left(\mathbb{Q}^{n} \cap \bar{G}_{A, p}\right)=\bigcap_{p \mid \operatorname{det} A}\left(\mathcal{R}^{n} \cap \bar{G}_{A, p}\right) .
$$

Proof. Follows from Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 .
Proposition 2.5. [S22, Prop. 3.8] Let $A \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be non-singular, let $h_{A} \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be the characteristic polynomial of $A$, and let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ be prime. Let $t_{p}=t_{p}(A)$ denote the multiplicity of zero in the reduction of $h_{A}$ modulo $p, 0 \leq t_{p} \leq n$. Then, as $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-modules,

$$
\bar{G}_{A, p} \cong \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{t_{p}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n-t_{p}} .
$$

In particular,
(1) $p$ does not divide $\operatorname{det} A$ if and only if $\bar{G}_{A, p}=\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n}$;
(2) $h_{A} \equiv x^{n}(\bmod p)$ if and only if $\bar{G}_{A, p}=\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{n}$.

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{G}_{A, p}=D_{p}(A) \oplus R_{p}(A), \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{p}(A) \cong \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{t_{p}}$ denotes a divisible part of $\bar{G}_{A, p}$ and $R_{p}(A) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n-t_{p}}$ denotes a reduced $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-submodule of $\bar{G}_{A, p}$. Let

$$
\operatorname{det} A=a p_{1}^{s_{1}} p_{2}^{s_{2}} \cdots p_{l}^{s_{l}}
$$

be the prime-power factorization of $\operatorname{det} A$, where $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{l} \in \mathbb{N}$ are distinct primes, $a= \pm 1$, and $s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{l} \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$
\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P}(A)=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{l}\right\} .
$$

The case $\mathcal{P}=\emptyset$, equivalently, $A \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$, has been settled as follows:
Lemma 2.6. [S22, Lemma 3.2] Let $A, B \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be non-singular.
(i) Assume $A \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$. Then $G_{A} \cong G_{B}$ if and only if $B \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ if and only if $G_{A}=G_{B}=\mathbb{Z}^{n}$.
(ii) Let $G_{A} \cong G_{B}$ and $A \notin \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$, i.e., $\operatorname{det} A \neq \pm 1$. Then $\operatorname{det} B \neq \pm 1$ and $\operatorname{det} A$, $\operatorname{det} B$ have the same prime divisors (in $\mathbb{Z}$ ).

Therefore, for the rest of the paper we assume $\mathcal{P} \neq \emptyset$. Denote

$$
\mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(A)=\left\{p \in \mathcal{P}, h_{A} \not \equiv x^{n}(\bmod p)\right\},
$$

where $h_{A} \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ denotes the characteristic polynomial of $A$. The case $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\emptyset$ has been settled as well.
Lemma 2.7. [S22, Lemma 3.10] Let $A, B \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be non-singular and let $h_{A}, h_{B} \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be their respective characteristic polynomials. Assume that for any prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$ that divides $\operatorname{det} A$ we have

$$
h_{A} \equiv x^{n}(\bmod p) .
$$

Then $G_{A} \cong G_{B}$ (with $\left.T=I_{n}\right)$ if and only if $\operatorname{det} A$, $\operatorname{det} B$ have the same prime divisors and for any prime $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ that divides $\operatorname{det} B$ we have $h_{B} \equiv x^{n}(\bmod p)$.

Therefore, for the rest of the paper we assume $\mathcal{P}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$.
Remark 2.8. By Proposition 2.5, for non-singular $A, B \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$, if $G_{A} \cong G_{B}$, then $\mathcal{P}(A)=\mathcal{P}(B), \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(A)=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(B)$, and $t_{p}(A)=t_{p}(B)$ for any prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$. The converse is not true (see e.g., [S22, Example 1], where non-singular $A, B \in \mathrm{M}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ share the same characteristic polynomial, but $G_{A}$ is not isomorphic to $G_{B}$ ).

## Corollary 2.9.

$$
G_{A}=\bigcap_{p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{n} \cap \bar{G}_{A, p}\right) .
$$

Proof. Follows from Corollary 2.4, since

$$
\bar{G}_{A, p}=\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{n} \text { for any } p \in \mathcal{P} \backslash \mathcal{P}^{\prime}
$$

by Proposition 2.5.
The following lemma provides an explicit basis for the decomposition of $\bar{G}_{A, p}$ as in (2.3). Let $t_{p}=t_{p}(A)$ denote the multiplicity of zero in the reduction of the characteristic polynomial of $A$ modulo $p, 0 \leq t_{p} \leq n$. Let

$$
\mathbb{Z}\left(p^{\infty}\right)=\mathbb{Q}_{p} / \mathbb{Z}_{p}
$$

denote the Prüfer $p$-group.
Lemma 2.10. Let $A \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be non-singular. For any $p \in \mathcal{P}$ there exists $W_{p} \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ such that

$$
W_{p}^{-1} A W_{p}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1} & *  \tag{2.4}\\
0 & A_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $A_{1} \in \mathrm{M}_{t_{p}}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$, $A_{2} \in \mathrm{GL}_{n-t_{p}}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$, and $A_{1}$ has characteristic polynomial $h_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}[x]$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{1} \equiv x^{t_{p}}(\bmod p) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $W_{p}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}\mathbf{w}_{p 1} & \ldots & \mathbf{w}_{p n}\end{array}\right)$, where $\mathbf{w}_{p 1}, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_{p n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{p}(A) & =\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}\left(\mathbf{w}_{p 1}, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_{p t_{p}}\right) \cong \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{t_{p}}  \tag{2.6}\\
R_{p}(A) & =\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(\mathbf{w}_{p t_{p}+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_{p n}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n-t_{p}} \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{G}_{A, p} / \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n} \cong \mathbb{Z}\left(p^{\infty}\right)^{t_{p}} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. One can show that for an irreducible polynomial $\chi \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}[x]$ of degree $n$, either $p$ does not divide $\chi(0)$ or $\chi \equiv x^{n}(\bmod p)$ (see, e.g., the proof of [S22, Prop. 3.8]). Therefore, the existence of $W_{p} \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ satisfying (2.4) and (2.5) follows from Theorem 9.1 below. Moreover, the proof of Theorem 9.1 gives an algorithm to construct $W_{p}$. Let $\overparen{A}=W_{p}^{-1} A W_{p}, \tilde{A} \in \mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$, and

$$
G_{\tilde{A}}=\left\{\tilde{A}^{-k} \mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n}, k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}=\mathbb{Q}_{p} \mathbf{e}_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Q}_{p} \mathbf{e}_{t_{p}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p} \mathbf{e}_{t_{p}+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p} \mathbf{e}_{n}
$$

i.e., with respect to the standard basis $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{n}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{n}$,

$$
G_{\tilde{A}}=\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{t_{p}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n-t_{p}}
$$

(this follows, e.g., from Proposition 2.5 applied to $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ ). Since $W_{p} \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$, we have $\bar{G}_{A, p}=W_{p}\left(G_{\tilde{A}}\right)$ and $\left\{W_{p} \mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, W_{p} \mathbf{e}_{n}\right\}$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-basis of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n}, \mathbf{w}_{p i}=W_{p} \mathbf{e}_{i}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence, (2.6) - (2.8) follow.

## 3. Minimax groups

Definition 3.1. [GM81] A torsion-free abelian group $G$ of rank $n$ is called a minimax group if there exists a free subgroup $H$ of $G$ of rank $n$ such that

$$
G / H \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} \mathbb{Z}\left(p_{i}^{\infty}\right)^{t_{i}}
$$

where $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{l} \in \mathbb{N}$ are distinct primes and $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{l} \in \mathbb{N}$.
Let $A \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be non-singular. We show that $G_{A}$ defined by (2.1) is a minimax group in the lemma below. Let $h_{A} \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ denote the characteristic polynomial of $A$.

Lemma 3.2. $G_{A}$ is a minimax group. Namely,

$$
G_{A} / \mathbb{Z}^{n} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} \mathbb{Z}\left(p_{i}^{\infty}\right)^{t_{i}}
$$

where $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{l} \in \mathbb{N}$ are all distinct prime divisors of $\operatorname{det} A$, and $t_{i}$ is the multiplicity of zero in the reduction of $h_{A}$ modulo $p_{i}, 0<t_{i} \leq n, 1 \leq i \leq l$.

Proof. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be prime, and let $x=x_{0}+x_{1} \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}$, where $x_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and $x_{0} \in \mathbb{Q}$ is a "fractional" part of $x$. It is well-known that the correspondence $\phi_{p}(x)=x_{0}$ induces a well-defined injective homomorphism $\phi_{p}: \mathbb{Q}_{p} / \mathbb{Z}_{p} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$ and that $\phi=\bigoplus_{p} \phi_{p}$ is a group isomorphism

$$
\phi=\bigoplus_{p} \phi_{p}: \bigoplus_{p} \mathbb{Q}_{p} / \mathbb{Z}_{p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z} .
$$

Let

$$
\psi: \bigoplus_{p} \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{n} / \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Q}^{n} / \mathbb{Z}^{n}
$$

be the natural isomorphism induced by $\phi$. It restricts to an isomorphism

$$
\psi_{A}: \bigoplus_{p} \bar{G}_{A, p} / \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{A} / \mathbb{Z}^{n}
$$

Indeed, recall that $A$ has integer entries and therefore, multiplication by $A^{i}$ commutes with $\psi$ for any non-negative integer $i$. Furthermore, $\mathbf{u} \in \bar{G}_{A, p}$ (resp., $\mathbf{v} \in G_{A}$ ) if and only if $A^{k} \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n}$ (resp., $A^{k} \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ ) for some $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. Finally, $\bar{G}_{A, p} / \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n}$ is trivial for any $p$
that does not divide $\operatorname{det} A$ by Proposition 2.5. Therefore, $\psi_{A}$ is an isomorphism between the following groups

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{A}: \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} \bar{G}_{A, p_{i}} / \mathbb{Z}_{p_{i}}^{n} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{A} / \mathbb{Z}^{n} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combined with (2.8), this proves the lemma.
Using Lemma 2.10 and isomorphism $\psi_{A}$ in (3.1), one can now write down (infinitely many) group generators of $G_{A}$ (c.f., GM81]).

Lemma 3.3. Let $A \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be non-singular. For each $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$, let

$$
W_{p}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbf{w}_{p 1} & \ldots & \mathbf{w}_{p n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

be as in Lemma 2.10, $\mathbf{w}_{p j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n}, 1 \leq j \leq n$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{A}=<\mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{n}, q^{-\infty} \mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, q^{-\infty} \mathbf{e}_{n}, p^{-\infty} \mathbf{w}_{p 1}, \ldots, p^{-\infty} \mathbf{w}_{p t_{p}}> \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., $G_{A}$ is generated over $\mathbb{Z}$ by $\mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{n}, q^{-s} \mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, q^{-s} \mathbf{e}_{n}$, and $p^{-k} \mathbf{w}_{p i}^{(k)}$, where $\mathbf{w}_{p i}^{(k)}$ is the $(k-1)$-st partial sum of the standard $p$-adic expansion of $\mathbf{w}_{p i}, k, s \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq t_{p}$, $q \in \mathcal{P} \backslash \mathcal{P}^{\prime}, p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$.

Proof. Let $h_{A} \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ denote the characteristic polynomial of $A$. Let $q \in \mathcal{P} \backslash \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$, i.e., $q \in \mathbb{N}$ is a prime such that

$$
h_{A} \equiv x^{n}(\bmod q), \quad t_{q}=n
$$

By Proposition 2.5, we have $\bar{G}_{A, q}=\mathbb{Q}_{q}^{n}$. Then $\bar{G}_{A, q}$ is generated over $\mathbb{Z}_{q}$ by $\mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{n}$, $q^{-s} \mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, q^{-s} \mathbf{e}_{n}$, where $s \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e., in our notation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{G}_{A, q}=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}_{q}}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{n}, q^{-\infty} \mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, q^{-\infty} \mathbf{e}_{n}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$, by (2.6), (2.7), $\bar{G}_{A, p}$ is generated over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ by $\mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{n}, p^{-k} \mathbf{w}_{p i}$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{G}_{A, p}=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{n}, p^{-\infty} \mathbf{w}_{p 1}, \ldots, p^{-\infty} \mathbf{w}_{p t_{p}}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying isomorphism $\psi_{A}$ in (3.1) to the generators of $\bar{G}_{A, p}$ in (3.3) and (3.4), we get (3.2).

Generators of $G_{A}$ in (3.2) are written in terms of the standard basis $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{n}\right\}$ and vectors $\left\{\mathbf{w}_{p 1}, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_{p n}\right\}, p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$. In what follows, we show the existence of a free basis $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{n}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ (that does not depend on $p$ ) and $p$-adic integers $\alpha_{p i j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ with $1 \leq i \leq t_{p}$, $t_{p}+1 \leq j \leq n, p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$, that determine generators of $G_{A}$. It is often useful to extend constants from $\mathbb{Q}$ to a number field $K$, a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, i.e., to consider $G_{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{K}$, where $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ denotes the ring of integers of $K$ (see Remark 4.4 below). Therefore, we start with a preliminary result, which holds over $K$.

Lemma 3.4. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a finite set of primes in $\mathbb{N}$, let $K$ be a number field, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $p \in \mathcal{S}$ let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ above $p$ and let $V_{\mathfrak{p}}$ denote a non-zero proper subspace of $K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n}$, where $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the completion of $K$ with respect to $\mathfrak{p}$, $\operatorname{dim} V_{\mathfrak{p}}=t_{p}$, $0<t_{p}<n$. There exists a basis $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{n}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ such that for any $p \in \mathcal{S}$ there are $\alpha_{p i j} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, 1 \leq i \leq t_{p}<j \leq n$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{x}_{p i}=\mathbf{f}_{i}+\sum_{j=t_{p}+1}^{n} \alpha_{p i j} \mathbf{f}_{j}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq t_{p} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$-basis of $V_{\mathfrak{p}}$. (Here, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ denotes the ring of integers of $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$.)
Proof. It is a straightforward generalization of GM81, p. 194, Lemma 1] from $\mathbb{Q}$ to a number field. We repeat their argument in order to use later in specific examples. The argument does not depend on the choice of prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$. Therefore, for simplicity, we denote $\mathcal{O}_{p}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, K_{p}=K_{\mathfrak{p}}, V_{p}=V_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and so on.

For a fixed $p \in \mathcal{S}$ let $\mathbf{y}_{p 1}, \ldots, \mathbf{y}_{p t_{p}}$ be a $K_{p}$-basis of $V_{p}$. Let $(\pi)$ be the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{p}$. Let

$$
\mathbf{y}_{p i}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_{p i}^{k} \mathbf{e}_{k}
$$

where $\forall \gamma_{p i}^{k} \in K_{p}$. By multiplying or dividing by positive powers of $\pi$ if necessary, without loss of generality, we can assume that $\forall \gamma_{p i}^{k} \in \mathcal{O}_{p}$ and for $\forall i$ there is a unit among $\gamma_{p i}^{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{p i}^{n}$. Let $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{n}\right\}$ be an ordered basis of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ obtained by permuting elements in the standard basis $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{n}\right\}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{y}_{p 1}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta_{p 1}^{k} \mathbf{f}_{k}, \quad \delta_{p 1}^{1} \in \mathcal{O}_{p}^{\times} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathcal{O}_{p}^{\times}$denotes the set of all units in $\mathcal{O}_{p}$. Now we show that, without loss of generality, we can assume $\delta_{q 1}^{1} \in \mathcal{O}_{q}^{\times}$for any $q \in \mathcal{S}$ other than $p$. Indeed, denote by $\Gamma$ the set of all primes $q \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\delta_{q 1}^{1} \in \mathcal{O}_{q}^{\times}$. By (3.6), $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\prod_{p \in \Gamma} p \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $s \in \mathcal{S} \backslash \Gamma$, i.e., $\delta_{s 1}^{1} \in \mathcal{O}_{s}$ is not a unit. By assumption, there is $j \in\{2, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\delta_{s 1}^{j} \in \mathcal{O}_{s}^{\times}$. Consider $\mathbf{f}_{j}^{\prime}=\mathbf{f}_{j}-t \mathbf{f}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{f}_{i}^{\prime}=\mathbf{f}_{i}$ for any $i \neq j, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Then, with respect to the new basis $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$, we have

$$
\mathbf{y}_{p 1}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \tilde{\delta}_{p 1}^{k} \mathbf{f}_{k}^{\prime}, \quad \tilde{\delta}_{p 1}^{1} \in \mathcal{O}_{p}^{\times}
$$

for any $p \in \Gamma$ and $p=s$. We now add $s$ to $\Gamma$ and change $t$ in (3.7) to $t s$. Repeating the process for the remaining elements in $\mathcal{S} \backslash \Gamma$, we obtain a basis $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ such that
for any $p \in \mathcal{S}$ we have

$$
\mathbf{y}_{p i}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \epsilon_{p i}^{k} \mathbf{f}_{k}^{\prime \prime}, \quad \epsilon_{p 1}^{1} \in \mathcal{O}_{p}^{\times}, \quad \epsilon_{p i}^{2}, \ldots, \epsilon_{p i}^{n} \in \mathcal{O}_{p}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq t_{p}
$$

By dividing $\mathbf{y}_{p 1}$ by $\epsilon_{p 1}^{1}$, without loss of generality, $\epsilon_{p 1}^{1}=1$. Let $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}=\left\{p \in \mathcal{S}, t_{p} \geq 2\right\}$. For any $p \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ and $2 \leq i \leq t_{p}$, let $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{p i}=\mathbf{y}_{p i}-\epsilon_{p i}^{1} \mathbf{y}_{p 1}$. Then

$$
\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{p i} \in \operatorname{Span}_{\mathcal{O}_{p}}\left(\mathbf{f}_{2}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right), \quad 2 \leq i \leq t_{p}, p \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}
$$

Applying induction to vectors $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{p i}, 2 \leq i \leq t_{p}, p \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$, we get a free $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\left\{\mathbf{g}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{g}_{n}\right\}$ of $\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathbf{f}_{2}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{p i}=\mathbf{g}_{i}+\sum_{j=t_{p}+1}^{n} \mu_{p i}^{j} \mathbf{g}_{j}, \quad \mu_{p i}^{t_{p}+1}, \ldots, \mu_{p i}^{n} \in \mathcal{O}_{p}, 2 \leq i \leq t_{p}, \\
& \operatorname{Span}_{\mathcal{O}_{p}}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{p 2}, \ldots, \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{p t_{p}}\right)=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathcal{O}_{p}}\left(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{p 2}, \ldots, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{p t_{p}}\right), p \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, for any $p \in \mathcal{S}$ let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{p 1}=\mathbf{f}_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\sum_{k=2}^{n} \mu_{p 1}^{k} \mathbf{g}_{k}, \quad \mu_{p 1}^{2}, \ldots, \mu_{p 1}^{n} \in \mathcal{O}_{p} \\
& \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{p 1}=\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{p 1}-\sum_{k=2}^{t_{p}} \mu_{p 1}^{k} \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{p k}=\mathbf{f}_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\sum_{j=t_{p}+1}^{n} \tilde{\mu}_{p 1}^{j} \mathbf{g}_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, with respect to the $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \mathbf{g}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{g}_{n}\right\}, \mathbf{x}_{p i}=\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{p i}, 1 \leq i \leq t_{p}, p \in \mathcal{S}$, have the form (3.5).

In the next lemma we apply Lemma 3.4 to divisible parts of $\bar{G}_{A, p}$ and more generally, to the divisible parts of $\bar{G}_{A, p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathcal{O}_{p}$. The result is a free basis $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{n}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ and numbers $\alpha_{p i j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}, p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(A)$, that produce generators of $G_{A}$ over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 3.5. Let $A \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be non-singular and let $K$ be a number field. There exists a basis $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{n}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ such that for any $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(A)$ and a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ above $p$ there are $\alpha_{p i j} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, i \in\left\{1, \ldots, t_{p}\right\}, j \in\left\{t_{p}+1, \ldots, n\right\}$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{G}_{A, p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}=\operatorname{Span}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{p 1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{p t_{p}}\right) \oplus \operatorname{Span}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}\left(\mathbf{f}_{t_{p}+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{n}\right)  \tag{3.8}\\
& \mathbf{x}_{p i}=\mathbf{f}_{i}+\sum_{j=t_{p}+1}^{n} \alpha_{p i j} \mathbf{f}_{j}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq t_{p} \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, all $\alpha_{p i j}$ belong to $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$, they do not depend on $K, \mathfrak{p}$ above $p$, and are uniquely defined for a fixed ordered basis $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{n}\right\}$. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{A}=<\mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{n}, q^{-\infty} \mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, q^{-\infty} \mathbf{f}_{n}, p^{-\infty} \mathbf{x}_{p i}>, \quad q \in \mathcal{P} \backslash \mathcal{P}^{\prime}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq t_{p} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, $\bar{G}_{A, p}=D_{p}(A) \oplus R_{p}(A)$, where as $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-modules, $D_{p}(A) \cong \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{t_{p}}$, $R_{p}(A) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n-t_{p}}$. Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{G}_{\mathfrak{p}} & =\bar{G}_{A, p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \\
D_{\mathfrak{p}} & =D_{p}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \\
R_{\mathfrak{p}} & =R_{p}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\bar{G}_{\mathfrak{p}}=D_{\mathfrak{p}} \oplus R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, where as $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$-modules, $D_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{t_{p}}, R_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n-t_{p}}$. We apply Lemma 3.4 to $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(A), K$, and $V_{\mathfrak{p}}=D_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then there exists a basis $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{n}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ such that for any $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(A), D_{\mathfrak{p}}=\operatorname{Span}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{p 1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{p t_{p}}\right)$, and $\mathbf{x}_{p i}$ 's are given by (3.5). We only need to show $\bar{G}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq D_{\mathfrak{p}} \oplus \operatorname{Span}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}\left(\mathbf{f}_{t_{p}+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{n}\right)$. Indeed, by (2.7), for any $\mathbf{u} \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}$,

$$
\mathbf{u}=\sum_{i=t_{p}+1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \mathbf{w}_{p i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} \mathbf{f}_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{t_{p}} \gamma_{i} \mathbf{x}_{p i}+\sum_{i=t_{p}+1}^{n} \gamma_{i} \mathbf{f}_{i},
$$

where all $\alpha_{i} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ by definition of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and all $\beta_{i} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, since all $\mathbf{w}_{p i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n}$. Finally, all $\gamma_{i} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ by definition of $\mathbf{x}_{p i}$. This proves (3.8).

We now show that for any $K$, all $\alpha_{p i j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. By enlarging $K$ if necessary, without loss of generality, we assume $K$ is Galois over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(A)$ be arbitrary. By above, (3.8), 3.9) hold. For any $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$, we have $\sigma\left(\bar{G}_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=\bar{G}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \sigma\left(R_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and $\sigma\left(D_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=\operatorname{Span}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}\left(\sigma\left(\mathbf{x}_{p i}\right)\right)$, where

$$
\sigma\left(\mathbf{x}_{p i}\right)=\mathbf{f}_{i}+\sum_{j=t_{p}+1}^{n} \sigma\left(\alpha_{p i j}\right) \mathbf{f}_{j}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq t_{p}
$$

since $A, \mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{n}$ are defined over $\mathbb{Z}$. By the uniqueness of the divisible part, we have $\operatorname{Span}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}\left(\sigma\left(\mathbf{x}_{p i}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Span}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{p i}\right)$ and hence $\sigma\left(\alpha_{p i j}\right)=\alpha_{p i j}$ for any $i, j$. Since $\alpha_{p i j} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, this implies $\alpha_{p i j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and hence $\mathbf{x}_{p i j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n}$ for all $p, i, j$. Furthermore, $\bar{G}_{A, p}$ consists of elements in $G_{\mathfrak{p}}$ invariant under the action of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$. Hence,

$$
\bar{G}_{A, p}=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{Q}_{\mathfrak{p}}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{p 1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{p t_{p}}\right) \oplus \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(\mathbf{f}_{t_{p}+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{n}\right)
$$

On the other hand, if (3.8), (3.9) hold for $K=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ and the same basis $\mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{n}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{G}_{A, p}=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{p 1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{p t_{p}}^{\prime}\right) \oplus \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(\mathbf{f}_{t_{p}+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{n}\right), \\
& \mathbf{x}_{p i}^{\prime}=\mathbf{f}_{i}+\sum_{j=t_{p}+1}^{n} \alpha_{p i j}^{\prime} \mathbf{f}_{j}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq t_{p},
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $\alpha_{p i j}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$, a priori, different from $\alpha_{p i j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. As above, by the uniqueness of the divisible part, we have $\alpha_{p i j}=\alpha_{p i j}^{\prime}$ for all $p, i, j$. This shows that $\alpha_{p i j}$ 's do not depend on $K$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ 's.

For each $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(A)$ let $\mathbf{w}_{p 1}, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_{p t_{p}}$ be as in Lemma 2.10. By (2.6), $\left\{\mathbf{w}_{p 1}, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_{p t_{p}}\right\}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$-basis of $D_{p}(A)$. By Lemma 3.4 applied to $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(A), K=\mathbb{Q}$, and $V_{p}=D_{p}(A)$, we get $\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}\left(\mathbf{w}_{p 1}, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_{p t_{p}}\right)=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{p 1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{p t_{p}}\right)$. Thus, 3.10) follows from (3.2).
Definition 3.6. GM81 Let $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{n}\right\}$ and $\alpha_{p i j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ be as in Lemma3.5. The set

$$
M\left(A ; \mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{n}\right)=\left\{\alpha_{p i j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} \mid p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}, 1 \leq i \leq t_{p}<j \leq n\right\}
$$

is called the characteristic of $G_{A}$ relative to the ordered basis $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{n}\right\}$.
Remark 3.7. To calculate a characteristic of $G_{A}$ in practice, one can start with a basis $\mathcal{W}_{p}=\left\{\mathbf{w}_{p 1}, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_{p t_{p}}\right\}$ of the divisible part $D_{p}(A)$, and then apply the procedure in the proof of Lemma 3.4 for $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(A), K=\mathbb{Q}, V_{\mathfrak{p}}=D_{p}(A)$ (see Lemma 2.10 for the definition of $\mathcal{W}_{p}$ ). In turn, to find $\mathcal{W}_{p}$, one can use the procedure described in the proof of Theorem 9.1 below.

Our ultimate goal is to characterize when $G_{A} \cong G_{B}$ for non-singular $A, B \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$. In the next lemma we show that by conjugating $A$ by a matrix in $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ corresponding to $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{n}\right\}$, without loss of generality, we can assume that the characteristics of both $G_{A}, G_{B}$ are given with respect to the standard basis $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{n}\right\}$.

Lemma 3.8. Let $A \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be non-singular and let $M\left(A ; \mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{n}\right)$ be the characteristic of $G_{A}$ relative to a free $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{n}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$. Let $\left\{\mathbf{g}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{g}_{n}\right\}$ be another free $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ and let $S \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be a change-of-basis matrix: $S \mathbf{f}_{i}=\mathbf{g}_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $S\left(G_{A}\right)=G_{S A S^{-1}}, \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(A)=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\left(S A S^{-1}\right), t_{p}(A)=t_{p}\left(S A S^{-1}\right)$, and

$$
M\left(S A S^{-1} ; \mathbf{g}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{g}_{n}\right)=M\left(A ; \mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{n}\right)
$$

Proof. Follows easily from the definition (2.1) of $G_{A}$ and Lemma 3.5.
Lemma 3.9. Let $A \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be non-singular and let

$$
M\left(A ; \mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{n}\right)=\left\{\alpha_{p i j} \mid p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}, 1 \leq i \leq t_{p}<j \leq n\right\}
$$

be the characteristic of $G_{A}$ relative to a free basis $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{n}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$. For $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Q}^{n}$ let $\mathbf{b}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{k} \mathbf{f}_{k}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then $\mathbf{b} \in \bar{G}_{A, p}$ for $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{j}-\sum_{i=1}^{t_{p}} b_{i} \alpha_{p i j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}, t_{p}+1 \leq j \leq n \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $\mathbf{b} \in G_{A}$ if and only if $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n} \in \mathcal{R}$ and (3.11) holds for any $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$.
Proof. It follows easily from GM81, p. 195, Lemma 2]. We repeat the argument adapted to our case. Since $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{n}\right\}$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$, it follows from Corollary 2.9 that $\mathbf{b} \in G_{A}$ if and only if $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n} \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\mathbf{b} \in \bar{G}_{A, p}$ for any $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$. Since $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n} \subseteq \bar{G}_{A, p}$, by Lemma 3.5. $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{p 1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{p t_{p}}, \mathbf{f}_{t_{p}+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{n}\right\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{n}$ as a $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$-vector space. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{b}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{k} \mathbf{f}_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{t_{p}} y_{i} \mathbf{x}_{p i}+\sum_{j=t_{p}+1}^{n} y_{j} \mathbf{f}_{j}, \quad y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n} \in \mathbb{Q}_{p} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by Lemma 3.5 applied to $K=\mathbb{Q}, \mathbf{b} \in \bar{G}_{A, p}$ if and only if $y_{t_{p}+1}, \ldots, y_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Comparing coefficients in (3.12) and using (3.5), each $y_{i}=b_{i}$ and each $y_{j}=b_{j}-\sum_{i=1}^{t_{p}} b_{i} \alpha_{p i j}$, hence (3.11).

We are interested in studying isomorphism classes of groups $G_{A}$, i.e., when $G_{A} \cong G_{B}$ for non-singular $A, B \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$. If $n=1$, we have $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $G_{A} \cong G_{B}$ if and only if $A, B$ have the same prime divisors in $\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, for the rest of the paper we assume $n \geq 2$.

The next result is a criterion for $G_{A}, G_{B}$ to be isomorphic. It is based on the facts that any isomorphism $\phi$ between $G_{A}$ and $G_{B}$ is induced by a matrix $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Q})$ ([S22, Lemma 3.1]), $\phi$ induces a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-module isomorphism between $\bar{G}_{A, p}$ and $\bar{G}_{B, p}$ for any prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$, and, therefore, $\phi$ restricts to an isomorphism between the divisible parts $D_{p}(A)$, $D_{p}(B)$ (see 2.6) for the definition).

Let $A, B \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be non-singular. Define

$$
\mathcal{R}(A)=\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{N}\right]=\left\{\left.\frac{x}{N^{k}} \right\rvert\, x, k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}, \quad N=\operatorname{det} A
$$

By Lemma 3.8, without loss of generality, we can assume that we have the characteristics of $G_{A}, G_{B}$ with respect to the same standard basis $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{n}\right\}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
& M\left(A ; \mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{n}\right)=\left\{\alpha_{p i j}(A) \mid p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(A), 1 \leq i \leq t_{p}(A)<j \leq n\right\}  \tag{3.13}\\
& M\left(B ; \mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{n}\right)=\left\{\alpha_{p i j}(B) \mid p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(B), 1 \leq i \leq t_{p}(B)<j \leq n\right\} . \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

We say that $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Q})$ satisfies the condition $(A, B, p), p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(B)$, if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& j-\text { th column }\left(\gamma_{1 j} \cdots \quad \gamma_{n j}\right) \text { of } T \text { satisfies } \\
& \gamma_{k j}-\sum_{i=1}^{t_{p}} \gamma_{i j} \alpha_{p i k}(B) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} \text { for any } k, j \in\left\{t_{p}+1, n\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.10. Let $A, B \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be non-singular and let $G_{A}, G_{B}$ have characteristics (3.13), (3.14), respectively. For $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Q})$ we have $T\left(G_{A}\right)=G_{B}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P}(A) & =\mathcal{P}(B), \\
\mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(A) & =\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(B), \\
\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R}(A) & =\mathcal{R}(B), \\
t_{p}(A) & =t_{p}(B), \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{P},
\end{aligned}
$$

$T \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathcal{R}), T\left(D_{p}(A)\right)=D_{p}(B)$, and $T$ (resp., $\left.T^{-1}\right)$ satisfies the condition $(A, B, p)$ (resp., $(B, A, p)$ ) for any $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$.
Proof. By Corollary 2.4. $T\left(G_{A}\right)=G_{B}$ if and only if for any prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
T\left(\bar{G}_{A, p}\right)=\bar{G}_{B, p} .
$$

In particular, using Proposition 2.5, if $T\left(G_{A}\right)=G_{B}$, then $\mathcal{P}(A)=\mathcal{P}(B), \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(A)=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(B)$, $t_{p}(A)=t_{p}(B)$, and hence $\mathcal{R}(A)=\mathcal{R}(B)$. Also, $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathcal{R})$ by [S22, Lemma 3.4].

By Lemma 3.5 applied to $K=\mathbb{Q}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{G}_{A, p} & =D_{p}(A) \oplus \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(\mathbf{e}_{t_{1}+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{n}\right),  \tag{3.15}\\
\bar{G}_{B, p} & =D_{p}(B) \oplus \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\left(\mathbf{e}_{t_{2}+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{n}\right) \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $t_{1}=t_{p}(A), t_{2}=t_{p}(B)$, and $D_{p}(A) \cong \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{t_{1}}, D_{p}(B) \cong \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{t_{2}}$ as $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-modules. Therefore, $T$ defines a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-module isomorphism from $\bar{G}_{A, p}$ to $\bar{G}_{B, p}$ if and only if $t=t_{1}=t_{2}$, $T\left(D_{p}(A)\right)=D_{p}(B)$, and with respect to the decompositions 3.15) and 3.16), $T$ has the form

$$
\tilde{T}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
T_{1} & * \\
0 & T_{2}
\end{array}\right), \quad T_{1} \in \mathrm{GL}_{t}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right), \quad T_{2} \in \mathrm{GL}_{n-t}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)
$$

Note that $T_{2} \in \mathrm{GL}_{n-t}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ if and only if $T \mathbf{e}_{j} \in \bar{G}_{B, p}$ and $T^{-1} \mathbf{e}_{j} \in \bar{G}_{A, p}$ for any $j \in$ $\{t+1, \ldots, n\}$, which is equivalent to the conditions $(A, B, p),(B, A, p)$ for columns of $T$, $T^{-1}$, respectively, by Lemma 3.9.

## 4. Generalized eigenvectors

Let $A, B \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be non-singular. Using Theorem 3.10 , one can already check whether $G_{A} \cong G_{B}$ and also find such isomorphisms if they exist. In this section, we make Theorem 3.10 even more practical by describing the $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-divisible part $D_{p}(A)$ of $G_{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ in terms of generalized eigenvectors of $A$.

Throughout the text, $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ denotes a fixed algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $K \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ that contains all the eigenvalues of $A$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ denote the ring of integers of $K$. Throughout the paper, $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n} \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ denote (not necessarily distinct) eigenvalues of $A$ and $\left\{\mathbf{u}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_{n}\right\}$ denotes a Jordan canonical basis of $A$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that each $\mathbf{u}_{i} \in\left(\mathcal{O}_{K}\right)^{n}, i=1, \ldots, n$. For a prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ above $p$ and let $X_{A, \mathfrak{p}}$ denote the span over $K$ of vectors in $\left\{\mathbf{u}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_{n}\right\}$ corresponding to eigenvalues divisible by $\mathfrak{p}$. Note that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{K} X_{A, \mathfrak{p}}=t_{p}(A)
$$

where $t_{p}=t_{p}(A)$ denotes the multiplicity of zero in the reduction $\bar{h}_{A}$ modulo $p$ of the characteristic polynomial $h_{A}$ of $A, 0 \leq t_{p} \leq n$. Indeed, $\operatorname{dim}_{K} X_{A, \mathfrak{p}}$ is the number of eigenvalues (with multiplicities) of $A$ divisible by $\mathfrak{p}$. One can write $h_{A}=\left(x-\lambda_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x-\lambda_{n}\right)$ over $\mathcal{O}_{K}$. Considering the reduction $\bar{h}_{A}$ of $h_{A}$ modulo $\mathfrak{p}$, we see that the number of eigenvalues of $A$ divisible by $\mathfrak{p}$ is equal to the multiplicity $t_{p}$ of zero in $\bar{h}_{A}$. Equivalently, $X_{A, \mathfrak{p}}$ is generated over $K$ by generalized $\lambda$-eigenvectors of $A$ for any eigenvalue $\lambda$ of $A$ divisible by $\mathfrak{p}$.

Lemma 4.1. Let $A \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be non-singular. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be prime and let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ above $p$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ denote the ring of integers of $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$, the completion of $K$ with respect to $\mathfrak{p}$. Then, considered as subsets of $K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n}$,

$$
D_{p}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}=X_{A, \mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{K} K_{\mathfrak{p}},
$$

i.e., upon the extension of constants from $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, the divisible part of $\bar{G}_{A, p}$ is generated over $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ by generalized eigenvectors of $A$ (considered as elements of $K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n}$ via the embedding $K \hookrightarrow K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ) corresponding to eigenvalues divisible by $\mathfrak{p}$.

Proof. Let $(\pi) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ denote the prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Via $K \hookrightarrow K_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we have $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and without loss of generality, we can assume $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{t_{p}} \in(\pi), \lambda_{t_{p}+1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n} \in\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)^{\times}$. Thus, $Y=X_{A, \mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{K} K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is generated over $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ by generalized eigenvectors of $A$ corresponding to $\lambda_{i}, i=1, \ldots, t_{p}$. Let

$$
Z=\bar{G}_{A, p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}=\left\{A^{-k} \mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n}, k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n} \subseteq Z \subseteq K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n}
$$

Using Lemma 2.10, we have

$$
Z=\bar{G}_{A, p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}=\left(D_{p}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \oplus\left(R_{p}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}\right),
$$

where, as $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$-modules,

$$
D_{p}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{t_{p}}, \quad R_{p}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n-t_{p}} .
$$

We first prove $Y \subseteq Z$, by showing $\operatorname{Span}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathbf{u}) \subseteq Z$ for any generalized eigenvector $\mathbf{u}$ corresponding to $\lambda_{i}, i=1, \ldots, t_{p}$. The proof is by induction on the rank of $\mathbf{u}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n}$. If $\operatorname{rank} \mathbf{u}=1$, then $\mathbf{u}$ is an eigenvector of $A$ corresponding to $\lambda_{i}$ and hence $\lambda_{i}^{-k} \mathbf{u}=A^{-k} \mathbf{u} \in Z$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\lambda_{i}=\pi^{\alpha} \beta$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}, \beta \in\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)^{\times}$, and $Z$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$-module, we have $\operatorname{Span}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathbf{u}) \subseteq Z$. Assume now rank $\mathbf{u}=m, m>1$. Then, $\left(A-\lambda_{i} \mathrm{Id}\right)^{m} \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{0}$, where Id denotes the $n \times n$-identity matrix, and $\mathbf{v}=\left(A-\lambda_{i} \mathrm{Id}\right) \mathbf{u}$ is of rank $m-1$. By induction on $m, \operatorname{Span}_{K_{\mathbf{p}}}(\mathbf{v}) \subseteq Z$. We have $\mathbf{v}=A \mathbf{u}-\lambda_{i} \mathbf{u}$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{i}^{-k} A^{-1} \mathbf{v}=\lambda_{i}^{-k} \mathbf{u}-\lambda_{i}^{-k+1} A^{-1} \mathbf{u}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.1), we can show $\lambda_{i}^{-k} \mathbf{u} \in Z$ by induction on $k \geq 0$. Indeed, for $k=0$, we have $\mathbf{u} \in Z$, since $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n}$. Assume $\lambda_{i}^{-(k-1)} \mathbf{u} \in Z$. Then $A^{-1}\left(\lambda_{i}^{-(k-1)} \mathbf{u}\right)=\lambda_{i}^{-k+1} A^{-1} \mathbf{u} \in Z$, since $Z$ is $A^{-1}$-invariant. Analogously, $\lambda_{i}^{-k} A^{-1} \mathbf{v} \in Z$, since $\lambda_{i}^{-k} \mathbf{v} \in Z$ by induction on the rank. Thus, $\lambda_{i}^{-k} \mathbf{u} \in Z$ by (4.1). As before, it shows $\operatorname{Span}_{K_{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathbf{u}) \subseteq Z$. Here $\mathbf{u}$ is a generalized eigenvector of an arbitrary rank corresponding to an eigenvalue of $A$ divisible by $\mathfrak{p}$ and hence $Y \subseteq Z$. Finally, since $Y$ is a divisible $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$-module, it is contained inside the divisible part of $Z$, i.e., $Y \subseteq D_{p}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathcal{O}_{p}$. Since both have the same dimension $t_{p}$ over $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$, they coincide and the claim follows.

Remark 4.2. Note that we cannot claim that the reduced part $R_{p}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $\bar{G}_{A, p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is generated by generalized eigenvectors of $A$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, since in general, $\left\{\mathbf{u}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_{n}\right\}$ is not a free basis of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n}$. Equivalently, the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{lll}\mathbf{u}_{1} & \ldots & \mathbf{u}_{n}\end{array}\right)$ might not be in $\operatorname{GL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$.

Combining Lemma 4.1 with Theorem 3.10, we get a criterion for $G_{A} \cong G_{B}$ in terms of generalized eigenvectors of $A$ and $B$.

Theorem 4.3. Let $A, B \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be non-singular, let $K \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be any finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ that contains the eigenvalues of both $A$ and $B$, and let $G_{A}, G_{B}$ have characteristics (3.13), (3.14), respectively. For $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Q})$ we have $T\left(G_{A}\right)=G_{B}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P}(A) & =\mathcal{P}(B) \\
\mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(A) & =\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(B), \\
\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R}(A) & =\mathcal{R}(B), \\
t_{p}(A) & =t_{p}(B), \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{P},
\end{aligned}
$$

$T \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathcal{R})$, for any $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ and a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ above $p$ we have

$$
T\left(X_{A, \mathfrak{p}}\right)=X_{B, \mathfrak{p}}
$$

and $T$ (resp., $T^{-1}$ ) satisfies the condition $(A, B, p)$ (resp., $(B, A, p)$ ) for any $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$.
Proof. We have $T\left(D_{p}(A)\right)=D_{p}(B)$ if and only if $T\left(D_{p}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=D_{p}(B) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, since $T$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. By Lemma 4.1, $D_{p}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}=X_{A, \mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{K} K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ above $p$. Finally, $T\left(X_{A, \mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{K} K_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=X_{B, \mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{K} K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ if and only if $T\left(X_{A, \mathfrak{p}}\right)=X_{B, \mathfrak{p}}$, since $T$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Thus, the theorem follows from Theorem 3.10.

Remark 4.4. We find Theorem 4.3 more practical than Theorem 3.10. The difference between the two is that to find a characteristic of $G_{A}$ using Theorem 3.10, for each $p$ one finds a possibly different matrix $W_{p}$ and then modifies the rows according to the procedure described in Lemma 3.4 to get a basis $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{n}\right\}$ (see Remark 3.7). Whereas, in Theorem 4.3, we can start with a Jordan canonical basis of $A$ (which does not depend on $p$ ) and then modify it using the same procedure (see Example 8 below). By Lemma 3.5 (and, possibly, Lemma 3.8), up to an isomorphism of $G_{A}$, both ways produce the same characteristic.

## 5. Reducible characteristic polynomials

Let $A, B \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be non-singular with $G_{A}, G_{B}$ defined by (2.1). In this section we explore necessary conditions for $G_{A} \cong G_{B}$, when at least one of the characteristic polynomials of $A, B$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[t]$.
5.1. Irreducible isomorphisms. We start by introducing the notion of an irreducible isomorphism between $G_{A}$ and $G_{B}$. Let $K \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ denote a finite Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ that contains all the eigenvalues of $A$ and $B$ and let $G=\operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbb{Q})$. For an eigenvalue $\lambda \in K$ of $A$ let $K(A, \lambda)$ denote the generalized $\lambda$-eigenspace of $A$. By definition, $K(A, \lambda)$ is generated over $K$ by all generalized eigenvectors of $A$ corresponding to $\lambda$ or, equivalently, by vectors in a Jordan canonical basis of $A$ corresponding to $\lambda$. Let $h_{A} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ denote the characteristic polynomial of $A$. Assume $h_{A}=f g$ for non-constant $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$. By Theorem 9.1 below, there exists $S \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$
S A S^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A^{\prime} & * \\
0 & A^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $A^{\prime}, A^{\prime \prime}$ are matrices with integer coefficients of appropriate sizes such that the characteristic polynomial of $A^{\prime}$ (resp., $A^{\prime \prime}$ ) is $f$ (resp., $g$ ). We have a natural embedding $G_{A^{\prime}} \hookrightarrow G_{S A S^{-1}}$ induced by $\mathbf{x} \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathbf{x} & \mathbf{0}\end{array}\right)$, where $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Q}^{n_{1}}, n_{1}=\operatorname{deg} f$, and $\mathbf{0}$ is the zero vector in $\mathbb{Q}^{n-n_{1}}$. There is an exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow G_{A^{\prime}} \longrightarrow G_{A} \longrightarrow G_{A^{\prime \prime}} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $S\left(G_{A}\right)=G_{S A S^{-1}}$. We denote $G_{A^{\prime}}=G_{f}, G_{A^{\prime \prime}}=G_{g}$.
Definition 5.1. We say that an isomorphism $T: G_{A} \longrightarrow G_{B}$ is reducible if there exist $S, L \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ and non-constant $f, g, f^{\prime}, g^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ such that $h_{A}=f g, h_{B}=f^{\prime} g^{\prime}$,

$$
S A S^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A^{\prime} & * \\
0 & A^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right), \quad L B L^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
B^{\prime} & * \\
0 & B^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$h_{A^{\prime}}=f, h_{B^{\prime}}=f^{\prime}, \operatorname{deg} f=\operatorname{deg} f^{\prime}$, and $\operatorname{LTS}^{-1}\left(G_{f}\right)=G_{f^{\prime}}$. Otherwise, we say that $T$ is irreducible.

Clearly, if the characteristic polynomial of $A$ or $B$ is irreducible, then an isomorphism $T: G_{A} \longrightarrow G_{B}$ is irreducible. The converse is not true in general. For instance,

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1 \\
0 & 2
\end{array}\right), \quad B=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
4 & 1 \\
0 & 4
\end{array}\right), \quad T=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
1 & 4
\end{array}\right)
$$

where both characteristic polynomials $h_{A}, h_{B}$ are reducible, but $T: G_{A} \longrightarrow G_{B}$ is an irreducible isomorphism. Indeed, any $S, L, T \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{Q})$ satisfying the conditions in Definition 5.1 have to be upper-triangular. However, for $\mathcal{R}=\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{2}\right]$ any $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathcal{R})$ is an isomorphism between $G_{A}$ and $G_{B}$ by Corollary 2.4 and Proposition 2.5.
Note that $L T S^{-1}\left(G_{f}\right)=G_{f^{\prime}}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(\sum_{\lambda} K(A, \lambda)\right)=\sum_{\mu} K(B, \mu) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ (resp., $\mu \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ ) runs through all the roots of $f$ (resp., $f^{\prime}$ ). Also, $\operatorname{LTS}^{-1}\left(G_{f}\right)=$ $G_{f^{\prime}}$ implies $L T S^{-1}\left(G_{g}\right)=G_{g^{\prime}}$. Thus, if $T$ is reducible, then $G_{f} \cong G_{f^{\prime}}, G_{g} \cong G_{g^{\prime}}$. In other words, if $h_{A}=f g$ and there is a reducible isomorphism $G_{A} \cong G_{B}$, then $G_{f} \cong G_{f^{\prime}}$, $G_{g} \cong G_{g^{\prime}}$ for some $f^{\prime}, g^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ such that $h_{B}=f^{\prime} g^{\prime}$. The converse is not true in general.

Example 1. Let

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 0 \\
0 & 5
\end{array}\right), \quad B=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 4 \\
0 & 5
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Here, in the notation of Definition 5.1, $f(t)=f^{\prime}(t)=t-2, g(t)=g^{\prime}(t)=t-5$, $G_{A} \cong G_{f} \oplus G_{g}$, where $G_{f}=\left\{\left.\frac{k}{2^{n}} \right\rvert\, k, n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}, G_{g}=\left\{\left.\frac{k}{5^{n}} \right\rvert\, k, n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$. Using Theorem 3.10 together with Lemma 4.1, one can show $G_{A} \not \approx G_{B}$, hence the sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow G_{f^{\prime}} \longrightarrow G_{B} \longrightarrow G_{g^{\prime}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

does not split. This is also an example when $G_{f} \cong G_{f^{\prime}}, G_{g} \cong G_{g^{\prime}}$, but $G_{A} \not \neq G_{B}$.
5.2. Splitting sequences. There is a case, however, when sequence (5.1) splits, namely, when $\operatorname{det} A^{\prime \prime}= \pm 1$. Then, $G_{A^{\prime \prime}}=\mathbb{Z}^{k}$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module, $A^{\prime \prime} \in \mathrm{M}_{k}(\mathbb{Z})$. More precisely, let $A \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be non-singular with characteristic polynomial $h_{A} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$. Let $h_{A}=f g$, where $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ are non-constant, $f=f_{1} f_{2} \cdots f_{s}, f_{i}(0) \neq \pm 1$ for each irreducible component $f_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ of $f, 1 \leq i \leq s, g(0)= \pm 1$. Then $G_{g}=\mathbb{Z}^{k}, k=k(A)=\operatorname{deg} g$, and hence the sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow G_{f} \longrightarrow G_{A} \longrightarrow G_{g} \longrightarrow 0
$$

splits, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{A} \cong G_{f} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{k(A)} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5.2. Let $A, B \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be non-singular with corresponding characteristic polynomials $h_{A}, h_{B} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$. Then

$$
G_{A} \cong G_{B} \Longleftrightarrow k(A)=k(B), \quad G_{f} \cong G_{f^{\prime}},
$$

where $h_{B}=f^{\prime} g^{\prime}, r(0) \neq \pm 1$ for each irreducible component $r \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ of $f^{\prime}$, and $g^{\prime}(0)= \pm 1$.
Proof. Clearly, the conditions are sufficient by (5.3). We now show that they are necessary. Assume $G_{A} \cong G_{B}$. By (5.3), without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$
G_{A}=G_{f} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{k(A)}, \quad G_{B}=G_{f^{\prime}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{k(B)}
$$

By Lemma 8.1 below, $G_{f}$ is dense in $\mathbb{Q}^{n-k(A)}$. Therefore, the closure $\bar{G}_{A}$ of $G_{A}$ in $\mathbb{Q}^{n}$ with its usual topology is

$$
\bar{G}_{A}=\mathbb{Q}^{n-k(A)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{k(A)}
$$

and, analogously, for $B$

$$
\bar{G}_{B}=\mathbb{Q}^{n-k(B)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{k(B)} .
$$

An isomorphism between $G_{A}$ and $G_{B}$ is induced by a linear isomorphism $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Q})$ of $\mathbb{Q}^{n}$ [S22, Lemma 3.1] such that $T\left(G_{A}\right)=G_{B}$. Thus, $T\left(\bar{G}_{A}\right)=\bar{G}_{B}$, hence $k(A)=k(B)$, $T\left(\mathbb{Q}^{n-k(A)}\right)=\mathbb{Q}^{n-k(B)}$, and therefore $T\left(G_{f}\right)=G_{f^{\prime}}$.

Remark 5.3. By Lemma 5.2, without loss of generality, for the rest of the section we can assume that $r(0) \neq \pm 1$ for any irreducible component $r \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ of $h_{A}$, and the same holds for $h_{B}$.
5.3. Properties of irreducible isomorphisms. We now explore necessary conditions for an isomorphism between $G_{A}$ and $G_{B}$ to be irreducible. For any $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(A)$ let $\tilde{h}, h_{A, p} \in$ $\mathbb{Z}[t]$ be such that $h_{A}=\tilde{h} h_{A, p}, p$ does not divide $\tilde{h}(0)$, and $p$ divides $r(0)$ for any irreducible component $r \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ of $h_{A, p}$. Also, let $S_{A, p}$ denote the set of distinct roots of $h_{A, p}$ (not counting multiplicities). For a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ of $K$ above $p$, let

$$
X_{A, p}=\sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma\left(X_{A, \mathfrak{p}}\right)=\sum_{\sigma \in G} X_{A, \sigma(\mathfrak{p})},
$$

where the second equality holds, since $A$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}, G=\operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbb{Q})$, and $X_{A, \mathfrak{p}}$ is defined in Section 4. Equivalently,

$$
X_{A, p}=\sum_{\lambda \in S_{A, p}} K(A, \lambda), \quad S_{A, p}=\left\{\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_{K} \mid h_{A, p}(\lambda)=0\right\},
$$

since $G$ acts transitively on the roots of an irreducible component $r \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ of $h_{A}$. Note that

$$
\operatorname{dim} X_{A, p}=\operatorname{deg} h_{A, p}, \quad \sigma\left(X_{A, p}\right)=X_{A, p} \text { for any } \sigma \in G
$$

Moreover, for $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k} \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(A)$ denote recursively
where the second equality holds, since generalized eigenvectors corresponding to distinct eigenvalues are linearly independent. We write $h_{A}=h_{1} \cdots h_{s}$, where each $h_{i}=r_{i}^{u_{i}}$, $u_{i} \in \mathbb{N}, r_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ is irreducible, and $h_{i}, h_{j}$ have no common roots in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ for $i \neq j$. In this notation,

$$
h_{A, p}=\prod_{p \mid h_{i}(0)} h_{i}, \quad h_{A, p_{1} \cdots p_{k}}=\prod_{p_{1} \cdots p_{k} \mid h_{i}(0)} h_{i},
$$

where $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}$ are assumed to be distinct. Then, $\operatorname{dim} X_{A, p_{1} \cdots p_{k}}=\operatorname{deg} h_{A, p_{1} \cdots p_{k}}$. We now assume $B \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ is non-singular and $T\left(G_{A}\right)=G_{B}$ for some $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Q})$. Then, by Theorem 4.3, we have $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(A)=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(B)$ and $T\left(X_{A, \mathfrak{p}}\right)=X_{B, \mathfrak{p}}$. Since $T, A, B$ are all defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, for any $\sigma \in G$ we have

$$
T\left(X_{A, \sigma(\mathfrak{p})}\right)=T \sigma\left(X_{A, \mathfrak{p}}\right)=\sigma\left(T\left(X_{A, \mathfrak{p}}\right)\right)=\sigma\left(X_{B, \mathfrak{p}}\right)=X_{B, \sigma(\mathfrak{p})}
$$

and hence $T\left(X_{A, p}\right)=X_{B, p}$. This implies the following lemma.
Lemma 5.4. Let $A, B \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be non-singular and let $T\left(G_{A}\right)=G_{B}, T \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Q})$. Then $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(A)=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(B)$ and for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with distinct $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k} \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$,

$$
T\left(X_{A, p_{1} \cdots p_{k}}\right)=X_{B, p_{1} \cdots p_{k}}
$$

In particular,

$$
\operatorname{deg} h_{A, p_{1} \cdots p_{k}}=\operatorname{deg} h_{B, p_{1} \cdots p_{k}} .
$$

Example 2. Let $A, B \in \mathrm{M}_{5}(\mathbb{Z})$ be non-singular with characteristic polynomials

$$
h_{A}=\left(t^{2}+t+2\right)\left(t^{3}+t+6\right), \quad h_{B}=\left(t^{2}+4\right)\left(t^{3}+t+3\right) .
$$

Then $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(A)=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(B)=\{2,3\}, t_{2}(A)=t_{2}(B)=2, t_{3}(A)=t_{3}(B)=1$. However,

$$
h_{A, 2}=h_{A}, \quad h_{B, 2}=t^{2}+4,
$$

so that $\operatorname{deg} h_{A, 2} \neq \operatorname{deg} h_{B, 2}$ and hence $G_{A} \not \neq G_{B}$ by Lemma 5.4.

Corollary 5.5. If an isomorphism $T: G_{A} \longrightarrow G_{B}$ is irreducible, then for all the irreducible components $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ (resp., $\left.g_{1}, \ldots, g_{s} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]\right)$ of the characteristic polynomial of $A$ (resp., of $B$ ), all $f_{1}(0), \ldots, f_{k}(0)$ (resp., $\left.g_{1}(0), \ldots, g_{s}(0)\right)$ have the same prime divisors (in $\mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. Assume $T\left(G_{A}\right)=G_{B}, T \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Q})$. By Theorem 4.3, $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(A)=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(B)$. In the above notation, for $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ and the characteristic polynomial $h_{A}$ (resp., $h_{B}$ ) of $A$ (resp., $B$ ) let $\tilde{h}, \hat{h}, h_{A, p}, h_{B, p} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ be such that $h_{A}=\tilde{h} h_{A, p}, h_{B}=\hat{h} h_{B, p}, p$ does not divide $\tilde{h}(0) \hat{h}(0)$, and $p$ divides $r(0)$ for any irreducible component $r \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ of $h_{A, p} h_{B, p}$. It follows from Lemma 5.4, (5.2), and the paragraph preceding Definition 5.1 that $L T S^{-1}\left(G_{h_{A, p}}\right)=G_{h_{B, p}}$ for some $L, S \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$. Since $T$ is irreducible, $\tilde{h}$ is constant. Since $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ is arbitrary, we conclude that for all the irreducible components $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ of $h_{A}, f_{1}(0), \ldots, f_{k}(0)$ have the same prime divisors (in $\mathbb{Z}$ ). By symmetry, the same holds for $B$.
5.4. Galois action. We explore the action of the Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbb{Q})$ on eigenvalues of non-singular $A, B \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ when $G_{A} \cong G_{B}$. Let $A, B$ have characteristic polynomials $h_{A}=h_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots h_{k}^{\alpha_{k}}, h_{B}=r_{1}^{\beta_{1}} \cdots r_{s}^{\beta_{s}}$, respectively, where $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s} \in \mathbb{N}$, and $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{k} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ (resp., $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ ) are distinct and irreducible. Let $K \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a finite Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ that contains all the eigenvalues of $A$ and $B$. Let $\Sigma \subset K$ (resp., $\Sigma^{\prime} \subset K$ ) denote the set of all distinct eigenvalues of $A$ (resp., $B$ ) with cardinality denoted by $|\Sigma|$, and let $\Sigma=\Sigma_{1} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \Sigma_{k}$ (resp., $\Sigma^{\prime}=\Sigma_{1}^{\prime} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \Sigma_{s}^{\prime}$ ), where each $\Sigma_{i}$ (resp., $\Sigma_{j}^{\prime}$ ) is the set of all (distinct) roots of $h_{i}$ (resp., $r_{j}$ ), $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}, j \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$. Thus,

$$
n=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{i}\left|\Sigma_{i}\right|=\sum_{j=1}^{s} \beta_{j}\left|\Sigma_{j}^{\prime}\right|, \quad n_{i}(A)=\left|\Sigma_{i}\right|, \quad n_{j}(B)=\left|\Sigma_{j}^{\prime}\right|,
$$

where $n_{i}(A)$ (resp., $n_{j}(B)$ ) is the number of distinct roots of $h_{i}$ (resp., $r_{j}$ ).
Let $T: G_{A} \longrightarrow G_{B}$ be an isomorphism. By Theorem 4.3, $\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R}(A)=\mathcal{R}(B)$, $\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P}(A)=\mathcal{P}(B), \mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(A)=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(B)$, and $t_{p}=t_{p}(A)=t_{p}(B)$ for any prime $p \in \mathcal{P}$. By assumption, $\mathcal{P}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ and for any $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ we have $1 \leq t_{p} \leq n-1$. For a subset $M$ of $\Sigma$ (resp., $M^{\prime}$ of $\Sigma^{\prime}$ ) we denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{M} & =\bigoplus_{\lambda \in M} K(A, \lambda), \quad M=M_{1} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup M_{k}, \\
V_{M^{\prime}} & =\bigoplus_{\mu \in M^{\prime}} K(B, \mu), \quad M^{\prime}=M_{1}^{\prime} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup M_{s}^{\prime},
\end{aligned}
$$

where each $M_{i}\left(\right.$ resp., $\left.M_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ is a subset of $\Sigma_{i}\left(\right.$ resp., $\left.\Sigma_{j}^{\prime}\right)$, and $K(A, \lambda)$ (resp., $K(B, \mu)$ ) denotes the generalized $\lambda$-eigenspace of $A$ (resp., generalized $\mu$-eigenspace of $B$ ). Denote

$$
\|M\|=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{i}\left|M_{i}\right|, \quad\left\|M^{\prime}\right\|=\sum_{j=1}^{s} \beta_{j}\left|M_{j}^{\prime}\right| .
$$

By Theorem 4.3, we have $T\left(X_{A, \mathfrak{p}}\right)=X_{B, \mathfrak{p}}$ for a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ above $p$, i.e., in the above notation there exist $M \subset \Sigma, M^{\prime} \subset \Sigma^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(U_{M}\right)=V_{M^{\prime}}, \quad t_{p}=\|M\|=\left\|M^{\prime}\right\|, \quad t_{p, i}(A)=\left|M_{i}\right|, \quad t_{p, j}(B)=\left|M_{j}^{\prime}\right| . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $t_{p, i}(A)$ (resp., $\left.t_{p, j}(B)\right)$ is the number of distinct roots of $h_{i}$ (resp., $r_{j}$ ) divisible by $\mathfrak{p}$. Equivalently, $t_{p, i}(A)$ (resp., $t_{p, j}(B)$ ) is the multiplicity of zero in the reduction of $h_{i}$ (resp., $r_{j}$ ) modulo $p$.

Lemma 5.6. Assume $T: G_{A} \longrightarrow G_{B}$ is an irreducible isomorphism. Let $S \subset \Sigma$ be a non-empty subset of $\Sigma$ of the smallest cardinality with the property that there exists $S^{\prime} \subset \Sigma^{\prime}$ with

$$
T\left(U_{S}\right)=V_{S^{\prime}}, \quad S=S_{1} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup S_{k}, \quad S^{\prime}=S_{1}^{\prime} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup S_{s}^{\prime},
$$

where each $S_{i}\left(\right.$ resp., $\left.S_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ is a subset of $\Sigma_{i}\left(\right.$ resp., $\left.\Sigma_{j}^{\prime}\right)$. Then, $S_{i} \neq \emptyset, S_{j}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}, j \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$. Moreover, $\|S\|=\left\|S^{\prime}\right\|$, for any $i, p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$,
(a) $\|S\|$ divides $n, t_{p}$,
(b) $\left|S_{i}\right|$ divides $n_{i}(A), t_{p, i}(A)$,
(c) $\frac{n_{i}(A)}{\left|S_{i}\right|}=\frac{n}{\|S\|}$,
(d) $\frac{t_{p, i}(A)}{\left|S_{i}\right|}=\frac{t_{p}}{\|S\|}$,
and, similarly, for $B$.
Proof. By (5.4), $S$ exists and $1 \leq\|S\|<n$. Assume $T$ is irreducible and there exists $S_{i}=\emptyset$, e.g., $S_{1}=\cdots=S_{l}=\emptyset, S_{l+1}, \ldots, S_{k}$ are non-empty, $l \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq l \leq k-1$, $f=h_{l+1}^{\alpha_{l+1}} \cdots h_{k}^{\alpha_{k}}, J=\left\{j \mid S_{j}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset\right\}, J \neq \emptyset$, and $f^{\prime}=\prod_{j \in J} r_{j}^{\beta_{j}}$. From the definition of $S, S^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in S} K(A, \lambda)\right)=\bigoplus_{\mu \in S^{\prime}} K(B, \mu) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying any $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbb{Q})$ to (5.5) and using the transitivity of the Galois action on roots of irreducible polynomials with rational coefficients, we see that

$$
T\left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in\{\text { roots of } f\}} K(A, \lambda)\right)=\bigoplus_{\mu \in\left\{\text { roots of } f^{\prime}\right\}} K(B, \mu)
$$

By the dimension count, this implies $\operatorname{deg} f^{\prime}=\operatorname{deg} f<n$ and (5.2) holds. This contradicts the assumption that $T$ is irreducible. Thus, all $S_{i} \neq \emptyset$ and, analogously, all $S_{j}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$.

The Galois group $G=\operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbb{Q})$ acts on $\Sigma$ by acting on each $\Sigma_{i}$, i.e., $\sigma\left(\Sigma_{i}\right)=\Sigma_{i}$ for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}, \sigma \in G$. Note that for any $P, R \subseteq \Sigma, P^{\prime}, R^{\prime} \subseteq \Sigma^{\prime}$ and $\sigma \in G$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{P} \cap U_{R} & =U_{P \cap R}, & V_{P^{\prime}} \cap V_{R^{\prime}} & =V_{P^{\prime} \cap R^{\prime}},  \tag{5.6}\\
\sigma\left(U_{P}\right) & =U_{\sigma(P)}, & \sigma\left(V_{P^{\prime}}\right) & =V_{\sigma\left(P^{\prime}\right)} . \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $N \subseteq \Sigma, N^{\prime} \subseteq \Sigma^{\prime}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(U_{N}\right)=V_{N^{\prime}} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\sigma \in G$ be arbitrary. Applying $\sigma$ to (5.8) and using properties (5.6), (5.7), we have $T\left(U_{\sigma(N)}\right)=V_{\sigma\left(N^{\prime}\right)}$, since $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Q})$. Hence, $T\left(U_{S \cap \sigma(N)}\right)=V_{S^{\prime} \cap \sigma\left(N^{\prime}\right)}$. Since $S$ is the smallest with this property, either $S \cap \sigma(N)=S$ or $S \cap \sigma(N)=\emptyset$. Equivalently, $\sigma(S) \cap N=\sigma(S)$ or $\sigma(S) \cap N=\emptyset$. In particular, taking $N=\tau(S)$ for an arbitrary $\tau \in G$, either $\sigma(S)=\tau(S)$ or $\sigma(S) \cap \tau(S)=\emptyset$. Let

$$
S=S_{1} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup S_{k}, \quad N=N_{1} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup N_{k}, \quad \forall S_{i}, N_{i} \subseteq \Sigma_{i},
$$

$i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$. Then for any $\sigma \in G$, we have either $\sigma\left(S_{i}\right) \cap N_{i}=\sigma\left(S_{i}\right)$ for all $i$ or $\sigma\left(S_{i}\right) \cap N_{i}=\emptyset$ for all $i$. Analogously, for any $\sigma, \tau \in G$, we have either $\sigma\left(S_{i}\right)=\tau\left(S_{i}\right)$ for all $i$ or $\sigma\left(S_{i}\right) \cap \tau\left(S_{i}\right)=\emptyset$ for all $i$. Moreover, since each $h_{i}$ is irreducible, $G$ acts transitively on $\Sigma_{i}$. This implies that each $N_{i}$ is a disjoint union of orbits $\sigma\left(S_{i}\right)$ of $S_{i}$, $\sigma \in G$ and, furthermore, there exists a subset $H \subseteq G$ depending on $N$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{i}=\bigsqcup_{\sigma \in H} \sigma\left(S_{i}\right), \quad\left|N_{i}\right|=|H| \cdot\left|S_{i}\right| \text { for all } i \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, (5.8) holds for $N=\Sigma$ and also for $N=M$ by (5.4). Thus, by (5.9), there exists $H_{1}, H_{2} \subseteq G$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{i}(A)=\left|H_{1}\right|\left|S_{i}\right|, \\
& t_{p, i}(A)=\left|H_{2}\right|\left|S_{i}\right|, \quad t_{p} \\
& t_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{i}\left|\Sigma_{i}\right|=\left|H_{1}\right| \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{i}\left|S_{i}\right|=\left|H_{1}\right| \cdot\|S\| \\
& \alpha_{i}\left|M_{i}\right|=\left|H_{2}\right| \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{i}\left|S_{i}\right|=\left|H_{2}\right| \cdot\|S\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, (a), (b), (c), and (d) hold. By symmetry, we have analogous formulas for $B$.
We now use Lemma 5.6 in a special case when the greatest common divisor $\left(n, t_{p}\right)$ of $n$ and $t_{p}$ is one, e.g., when $t_{p}=1$, or $t_{p}=n-1$, or $n$ is prime. The conclusion is that an irreducible isomorphism $T$ between $G_{A}, G_{B}$ implies that both characteristic polynomials $h_{A}, h_{B}$ are irreducible and $T$ takes any eigenvector of $A$ to an eigenvector of $B$.

Proposition 5.7. Let $A, B \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be non-singular. Assume there exists a prime $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(A)$ with $\left(n, t_{p}(A)\right)=1$. If $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Q})$ is an irreducible isomorphism from $G_{A}$ to $G_{B}$, then both $h_{A}, h_{B}$ are irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[t]$, and there exist eigenvalues $\lambda, \mu \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of $A, B$, respectively, such that $K=\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)=\mathbb{Q}(\mu)$. Moreover, $\lambda$ and $\mu$ have the same prime ideal divisors in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$, and for an eigenvector $\mathbf{u} \in(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})^{n}$ of $A, T(\mathbf{u})$ is an eigenvector of $B$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.6, $\|S\|=1$ and each $S_{i}$ is non-empty. Hence $k=\alpha_{1}=1,\left|S_{1}\right|=1$ and $h_{A}$ is irreducible. By symmetry, $h_{B}$ is irreducible and $T$ takes an eigenvector of $A$ to an eigenvector of $B$. Assume $A \mathbf{u}=\lambda \mathbf{u}, B \mathbf{v}=\mu \mathbf{v}$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Q}(\lambda)^{n}$. From $T \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{v}$ we have $B T \mathbf{u}=B \mathbf{v}=\mu T \mathbf{u}$. Since $B, T$ are defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, this implies $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ and hence $\mathbb{Q}(\mu)=\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$.

We now show the existence of eigenvalues of $A, B$ sharing the same prime ideal divisors in the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ of $K$. The argument is the same as in the proof of [S22, Proposition 4.1]. We repeat it for the sake of completeness. By the previous paragraph, there exist $\mu \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ and an eigenvector $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{O}_{K}^{n}$ corresponding to an eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ of $A$ such that $T(\mathbf{u})$ is an eigenvector of $B$ corresponding to $\mu$. Since $T\left(G_{A}\right)=G_{B}$, by definition (2.1) of groups $G_{A}, G_{B}$, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{k_{m}} T=P_{m} A^{m}, \quad k_{m} \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}, \quad P_{m} \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $T=\frac{1}{l} T^{\prime}$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}-\{0\}$ and non-singular $T^{\prime} \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ that divides $\lambda$. By above, $B(T \mathbf{u})=\mu(T \mathbf{u})$. Hence, multiplying (5.10) by $\mathbf{u}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{k_{m}} T \mathbf{u}=B^{k_{m}} T \mathbf{u}=P_{m} A^{m} \mathbf{u}=P_{m} \lambda^{m} \mathbf{u}, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $T \mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{0}, T \mathbf{u}$ does not depend on $m$, and $\mathfrak{p}$ divides $\lambda$. This implies that $\mathfrak{p}$ divides $\mu$ (e.g., this follows from the existence and uniqueness of decomposition of non-zero ideals into prime ideals in the Dedekind domain $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ ). Analogously, it follows from (5.11) that all prime (ideal) divisors of $\lambda$ also divide $\mu$ (in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ ). Repeating the same argument with $A$ replaced by $B$ and $\lambda$ replaced by $\mu$, we see that all prime divisors of $\mu$ also divide $\lambda$. Thus, $\lambda$ and $\mu$ have the same prime divisors.

Example 3. We demonstrate how Lemma 5.6 can be used to describe irreducible isomorphisms when $2 \leq n \leq 4$. If $n=2,3$, then any irreducible isomorphism between $G_{A}, G_{B}$ implies $h_{A}, h_{B}$ are irreducible by Proposition 5.7. Let $n=4$ and assume there is an irreducible isomorphism between $G_{A}, G_{B}$. Using properties (a)-(d) in Lemma 5.6 and Proposition 5.7, one can show that either $h_{A}$ is irreducible or $h_{A}=h_{1} h_{2}$, where $h_{1}, h_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ are irreducible of degree 2 and, analogously, for $h_{B}$. In particular, e.g., one cannot have $h_{A}=f_{1} f_{2}$, where $f_{1}, f_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}[t], f_{1}$ is linear, and $f_{2}$ is irreducible of degree 3 .

## 6. Irreducible characteristic polynomials, ideal classes

We first show that in the case of irreducible characteristic polynomials $h_{A}, h_{B}$, it is enough to assume that $T$ takes an eigenvector of $A$ to an eigenvector of $B$ for $T\left(G_{A}\right)=G_{B}$.

Lemma 6.1. Let $A, B \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be non-singular and let $G_{A}, G_{B}$ have characteristics (3.13), (3.14), respectively. Assume the characteristic polynomials of $A, B$ are irreducible. Assume there exist eigenvalues $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ corresponding to eigenvectors $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in K^{n}$ of $A, B$, respectively, such that $\lambda, \mu$ have the same prime ideal divisors in the ring of integers of $K$. Then $\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P}(A)=\mathcal{P}(B), \mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(A)=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(B)$, and $\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R}(A)=\mathcal{R}(B)$. If $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathcal{R}), T(\mathbf{u})=\mathbf{v}$, and $T$ (resp., $T^{-1}$ ) satisfies the condition $(A, B, p)$ (resp., $(B, A, p))$ for any $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$, then $T\left(G_{A}\right)=G_{B}$.

Proof. By enlarging $K$ if necessary, without loss of generality, we can assume that $K$ is Galois over $\mathbb{Q}$. For any $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbb{Q}), \sigma(\lambda)$ and $\sigma(\mu)$ have the same prime ideal divisors. Thus, since $\operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbb{Q})$ acts transitively on roots of irreducible polynomials $h_{A}, h_{B} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$, we have $t_{p}(A)=t_{p}(B), \mathcal{P}(A)=\mathcal{P}(B), \mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(A)=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(B)$, and hence $\mathcal{R}(A)=\mathcal{R}(B)$.

Furthermore, for $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$, a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ above $p$, and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbb{Q}), \sigma(\mathbf{u})$ (resp., $\sigma(\mathbf{v})$ ) is an eigenvector of $A$ (resp., $B$ ) corresponding to $\sigma(\lambda)$ (resp., $\sigma(\mu)$ ) and $T(\sigma(\mathbf{u}))=\sigma(\mathbf{v})$, since $A, B, T$ are defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Thus, $T\left(X_{A, \mathfrak{p}}\right)=X_{B, \mathfrak{p}}$ and the lemma follows from Theorem 4.3.

Remark 6.2. We know that when $G_{A} \cong G_{B}$ and $n \geq 4$, not every isomorphism between $G_{A}$ and $G_{B}$ takes an eigenvector of $A$ to an eigenvector of $B$ (see Example 10 below). Also, in general, if $n>2, G_{A} \cong G_{B}$, and the characteristic polynomial of $A$ is irreducible, then not necessarily the characteristic polynomial of $B$ is also irreducible (see Example 11 below).

We now recall generalized ideal classes introduced in [S22]. Let $A, B \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be non-singular and let $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be an eigenvalue of $A$ corresponding to an eigenvector $\mathbf{u}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}u_{1} & u_{2} & \ldots & u_{n}\end{array}\right)^{t} \in \mathbb{Q}(\lambda)^{n}$ of $A$. For the rest of this section we assume that the characteristic polynomials of $A, B$ are irreducible. Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\mathbb{Z}}(A, \lambda) & =\left\{m_{1} u_{1}+\cdots+m_{n} u_{n} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \subset \mathbb{Q}(\lambda), \\
I_{\mathcal{R}}(A, \lambda) & =I_{\mathbb{Z}}(A, \lambda) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{R} \subset \mathbb{Q}(\lambda), \mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R}(A)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{R}$ is given by $(2.2)$. Since $\lambda \mathbf{u}=A \mathbf{u}$ and $A$ has integer entries, $I_{\mathbb{Z}}(A, \lambda)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ module and $I_{\mathcal{R}}(A, \lambda)$ is an $\mathcal{R}[\lambda]$-module. Let $\mu \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be an eigenvalue of $B$, and let $K$ be a number field with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ such that $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$. Assume $\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R}(A)=\mathcal{R}(B)$ (which is a necessary condition for $G_{A} \cong G_{B}$ ). There exists $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathcal{R})$ such that $T(\mathbf{u})$ is an eigenvector of $B$ corresponding to $\mu$ if and only if

$$
I_{\mathcal{R}}(A, \lambda)=y I_{\mathcal{R}}(B, \mu), \quad y \in K^{\times}
$$

denoted by $\left[I_{\mathcal{R}}(A, \lambda)\right]=\left[I_{\mathcal{R}}(B, \mu)\right]$. We know that $\left[I_{\mathcal{R}}(A, \lambda)\right]=\left[I_{\mathcal{R}}(B, \mu)\right]$ is among sufficient conditions for $G_{A} \cong G_{B}$ for any $n \geq 2$ (Lemma 6.1 above). In S22, Theorem 6.6] we prove that this is also a necessary condition when $n=2$. Proposition 6.3 below extends the result to an arbitrary $n$ under an additional assumption that there exists $t_{p}$ coprime with $n$ (denoted by $\left(n, t_{p}\right)=1$ ). In fact, the proposition shows more, namely, than any isomorphism takes an eigenvector of $A$ to an eigenvector of $B$. It turns out that $\left[I_{\mathcal{R}}(A, \lambda)\right]=\left[I_{\mathcal{R}}(B, \mu)\right]$ is not a necessary condition for $G_{A} \cong G_{B}$ for an arbitrary $n$ (see Example 10 below, where the condition $\left(n, t_{p}\right)=1$ does not hold). The next proposition is a direct consequence of Proposition 5.7, since if the characteristic polynomial of $A$ is irreducible, then clearly, any isomorphism between $G_{A}, G_{B}$ is irreducible.

Proposition 6.3. Let $A, B \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be non-singular. Assume the characteristic polynomial of $A$ is irreducible and there exists a prime $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(A)$ with $\left(n, t_{p}(A)\right)=1$. Let $K \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ that contains the eigenvalues of both $A$ and $B$. If $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Q})$ is an isomorphism from $G_{A}$ to $G_{B}$ (equivalently, $T\left(G_{A}\right)=G_{B}$ ), then there exist eigenvectors $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in K^{n}$ corresponding to eigenvalues $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ of $A, B$, respectively, such that $T(\mathbf{u})=\mathbf{v}$, and $\lambda, \mu$ have the same prime ideal divisors in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$.

Combining Proposition 6.3 with Lemma 6.1 and Theorem 4.3, we get the following necessary and sufficient criterion for $G_{A} \cong G_{B}$ under the additional condition in Proposition 6.3 .

Proposition 6.4. Let $A, B \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be non-singular with irreducible characteristic polynomials and let $G_{A}, G_{B}$ have characteristics (3.13), (3.14), respectively. Assume there exists a prime $p$ with $\left(t_{p}(A), n\right)=1$. Let $K \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ that contains the eigenvalues of both $A$ and $B$. Then $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Q})$ is an isomorphism from $G_{A}$ to $G_{B}$ if and only if there exist eigenvalues $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ corresponding to eigenvectors $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in K^{n}$ of $A, B$, respectively, such that $\lambda$, $\mu$ have the same prime ideal divisors in $\mathcal{O}_{K}, T \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathcal{R})$, $T(\mathbf{u})=\mathbf{v}$, and $T\left(\right.$ resp., $\left.T^{-1}\right)$ satisfies the condition $(A, B, p)$ (resp., $\left.(B, A, p)\right)$ for any $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$.

In the case $n=2$, to decide whether $G_{A}$ and $G_{B}$ are isomorphic, we can omit conditions $(A, B, p),(B, A, p)$.

Proposition 6.5. S22, Theorem 6.6] Let $A, B \in \mathrm{M}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ be non-singular. Assume the characteristic polynomial of $A$ is irreducible and $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(A) \neq \emptyset$. Then $G_{A} \cong G_{B}$ if and only if there exist eigenvalues $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ of $A, B$, respectively, such that $\lambda, \mu$ have the same prime ideal divisors in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ and

$$
\left[I_{\mathcal{R}}(A, \lambda)\right]=\left[I_{\mathcal{R}}(B, \mu)\right], \quad \mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R}(A)
$$

Proposition 6.5 can be generalized to an arbitrary $n$ under an additional condition, which automatically holds when $n=2$. Namely, $t_{p}=n-1$ for any $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$.

Lemma 6.6. Let $A, B \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be non-singular with irreducible characteristic polynomials, $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(A) \neq \emptyset$, and $t_{p}(A)=n-1$ for any $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}(A)$. Then $G_{A} \cong G_{B}$ if and only if there exist eigenvalues $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ of $A, B$, respectively, such that $\lambda, \mu$ have the same prime ideal divisors in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ and

$$
\left[I_{\mathcal{R}}(A, \lambda)\right]=\left[I_{\mathcal{R}}(B, \mu)\right] .
$$

Proof. By Proposition 6.4, it is enough to show the sufficient part. As in the proof of Lemma 6.1, we have

$$
\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P}(A)=\mathcal{P}(B), \mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(A)=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(B), \mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R}(A)=\mathcal{R}(B)
$$

and $t_{p}=t_{p}(A)=t_{p}(B)$ for any prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that $\left[I_{\mathcal{R}}(A, \lambda)\right]=\left[I_{\mathcal{R}}(B, \mu)\right]$ is equivalent to the existence of $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathcal{R})$ such that $T(\mathbf{u})$ is an eigenvector of $B$ corresponding to $\mu$ for an eigenvector $\mathbf{u}$ of $A$ corresponding to $\lambda$. As in the proofs of Theorem 4.3 and Lemma 6.1, such $T$ induces an isomorphism between the divisible parts $D_{p}(A)$ and $D_{p}(B)$ of $\bar{G}_{A, p}$ and $\bar{G}_{B, p}$, respectively, for any $p$. Under the assumption $t_{p}=n-1, p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$, the reduced parts $R_{p}(A)$ and $R_{p}(B)$ of $\bar{G}_{A, p}$ and $\bar{G}_{B, p}$, respectively, are free $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-modules of rank 1. Hence, there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for $T^{\prime}=p^{k} T$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\prime}\left(R_{p}(A)\right) \subseteq D_{p}(B) \oplus R_{p}(B) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(T^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(R_{p}(B)\right) \subseteq D_{p}(A) \oplus R_{p}(A) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, as follows from (3.15) and (3.16), $T\left(\mathbf{e}_{n}\right)=a+y \mathbf{e}_{n}$ for some $a \in D_{p}(B)$ and $y \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}$. Let $y=p^{-k} u$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $u \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$. Then $T^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{e}_{n}\right)=p^{k} a+u \mathbf{e}_{n}$, where $p^{k} a \in D_{p}(B)$ and hence $T^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{e}_{n}\right) \in \bar{G}_{B, p}$, since $\bar{G}_{B, p}=D_{p}(B) \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p} \mathbf{e}_{n}$. Clearly, $T^{\prime}$ still induces an isomorphism between $D_{p}(A), D_{p}(B)$, and $T^{\prime} \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathcal{R})$, since $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$. Moreover, for a prime $q$ distinct from $p, q T^{\prime}$ also satisfies (6.1), since $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$. Since $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ is finite, it shows that there exists $a \in \mathcal{R}^{\times}$such that $a T \in \operatorname{GL}_{n}(\mathcal{R})$ is an isomorphism from $\bar{G}_{A, p}$ to $\bar{G}_{B, p}$ for any $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ and hence $a T$ is an isomorphism from $G_{A}$ to $G_{B}$ by Corollary 2.9.

## 7. Examples

Example 4. One of the easiest examples is when $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\emptyset$. Let

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 4 \\
2 & 0
\end{array}\right), B=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 8 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Both $A$ and $B$ have the same characteristic polynomial $x^{2}-8$, irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$, so that $A$ and $B$ are conjugate over $\mathbb{Q}$ and have the same eigenvalues. There is only one prime $p=2$ that divides $\operatorname{det} A$ and it also divides $\operatorname{Tr} A=0$. Hence, by Lemma 3.3,

$$
G_{A}=G_{B}=<\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, 2^{-\infty} \mathbf{e}_{1}, 2^{-\infty} \mathbf{e}_{2}>.
$$

In general, if $h_{A} \equiv x^{n}(\bmod p)$ for any prime $p$ that divides $\operatorname{det} A$, then

$$
G_{A}=<p^{-k} \mathbf{e}_{i}|i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}, p| \operatorname{det} A, k \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}>
$$

Example 5. In this and the next examples we show how Theorem 3.10 can be effectively used in the case when the characteristic polynomials are not irreducible. Let

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
88 & -68 \\
34 & -14
\end{array}\right), B=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
-192 & 304 \\
-144 & 248
\end{array}\right)
$$

Here $A$ has eigenvalues 20,54 and $B$ has eigenvalues $-40,96$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{1}=20=2^{2} \cdot 5 \\
& \lambda_{2}=54=2 \cdot 3^{3} \\
& \mu_{1}=-40=-2^{3} \cdot 5, \\
& \mu_{2}=96=2^{5} \cdot 3
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P} & =\mathcal{P}(A)=\mathcal{P}(B)=\{2,3,5\} \\
\mathcal{P}^{\prime} & =\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(A)=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(B)=\{3,5\} \\
t_{3} & =t_{3}(A)=t_{3}(B)=1 \\
t_{5} & =t_{5}(A)=t_{5}(B)=1 \\
\mathcal{R} & =\mathcal{R}(A)=\mathcal{R}(B)=\left\{n 2^{k} 3^{l} 5^{m} \mid k, l, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
A=S\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda_{1} & 0 \\
0 & \lambda_{2}
\end{array}\right) S^{-1}, \quad S=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 2 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{u}_{1} & \mathbf{u}_{2}
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})
$$

Thus, in the notation of Lemma 3.3, $W_{5}=W_{5}(A)=S, W_{3}=W_{3}(A)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathbf{u}_{2} & \mathbf{u}_{1}\end{array}\right)$, and

$$
G_{A}=<\mathbf{u}_{1}, \mathbf{u}_{2}, 2^{-\infty} \mathbf{u}_{1}, 2^{-\infty} \mathbf{u}_{2}, 5^{-\infty} \mathbf{u}_{1}, 3^{-\infty} \mathbf{u}_{2}>
$$

Also,

$$
G_{A}=<\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, 2^{-\infty} \mathbf{e}_{1}, 2^{-\infty} \mathbf{e}_{2}, 5^{-\infty}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}+\mathbf{e}_{2}\right), 3^{-\infty}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}+2^{-1} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)>
$$

since $2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{\times}$. Thus,

$$
M\left(A ; \mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)=\left\{\alpha_{512}(A)=1, \alpha_{312}(A)=2^{-1}\right\}
$$

is the characteristic of $G_{A}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right\}$. Similarly, we find a characteristic of $G_{B}$. One can show that

$$
B=P\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mu_{1} & 0 \\
0 & \mu_{2}
\end{array}\right) P^{-1}, \quad P=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 & 19 \\
1 & 18
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{v}_{1} & \mathbf{v}_{2}
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{M}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})
$$

Note that $\operatorname{det} P=17 \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$for any $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\{3,5\}$. Thus, in the notation of Lemma 3.3. $W_{5}=W_{5}(B)=P, W_{3}=W_{3}(B)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathbf{v}_{2} & \mathbf{v}_{1}\end{array}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{B} & =<\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, 2^{-\infty} \mathbf{e}_{1}, 2^{-\infty} \mathbf{e}_{2}, 5^{-\infty} \mathbf{v}_{1}, 3^{-\infty} \mathbf{v}_{2}>= \\
& =<\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, 2^{-\infty} \mathbf{e}_{1}, 2^{-\infty} \mathbf{e}_{2}, 5^{-\infty}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}+2^{-1} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right), 3^{-\infty}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}+\frac{18}{19} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)>
\end{aligned}
$$

since $2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{5}^{\times}, 19 \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{\times}$. Thus,

$$
M\left(B ; \mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)=\left\{\alpha_{512}(B)=2^{-1}, \alpha_{312}(B)=\frac{18}{19}\right\}
$$

is the characteristic of $G_{B}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right\}$. Using Theorem 3.10, one can show that $G_{A}$ is not isomorphic to $G_{B}$. Namely, one can show that if $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{Q})$ and $T\left(\mathbf{u}_{i}\right)=m_{i} \mathbf{v}_{i}$, $i=1,2$, for some $m_{1}, m_{2} \in \mathbb{Q}$, then $T \notin \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathcal{R})$.
Example 6. Let

$$
C=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
87 & -67 \\
33 & -13
\end{array}\right), B=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
-192 & 304 \\
-144 & 248
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $C$ has eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}=20, \lambda_{2}=54$, and $B$ is the same as in Example 5. We claim that $G_{C} \cong G_{B}$. Indeed,

$$
C=S\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda_{1} & 0 \\
0 & \lambda_{2}
\end{array}\right) S^{-1}, \quad S=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -67 \\
1 & -33
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{w}_{1} & \mathbf{w}_{2}
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{M}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) .
$$

We have $\mathcal{P}=\{2,3,5\}, \mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\{3,5\}, t_{3}=1, t_{5}=1$. Since $\operatorname{det} S=34 \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$for any $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$, by Lemma 3.3, $W_{5}=W_{5}(C)=S, W_{3}=W_{3}(C)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathbf{w}_{2} & \mathbf{w}_{1}\end{array}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{C} & =<\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, 2^{-\infty} \mathbf{e}_{1}, 2^{-\infty} \mathbf{e}_{2}, 5^{-\infty} \mathbf{w}_{1}, 3^{-\infty} \mathbf{w}_{2}>= \\
& =<\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, 2^{-\infty} \mathbf{e}_{1}, 2^{-\infty} \mathbf{e}_{2}, 5^{-\infty}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}+\mathbf{e}_{2}\right), 3^{-\infty}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}+\frac{33}{67} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)>
\end{aligned}
$$

since $67 \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{\times}$. Thus,

$$
M\left(C ; \mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)=\left\{\alpha_{512}(C)=1, \alpha_{312}(C)=\frac{33}{67}\right\}
$$

is the characteristic of $G_{C}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right\}$. Using Theorem 3.10, one can find $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathcal{R})$ such that $T\left(\mathbf{v}_{i}\right)=m_{i} \mathbf{w}_{i}, m_{i} \in \mathbb{Q}, i=1,2$. For example,

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
5 & -9 \\
3 & -5
\end{array}\right), \quad \operatorname{det} T=2 \in \mathcal{R}^{\times}
$$

the conditions in Theorem 3.10 are satisfied and, hence, $T: G_{B} \longrightarrow G_{C}$ is an isomorphism.
There are several examples in S22 when $n=2$ and characteristic polynomials are irreducible. We now look at higher-dimensional examples.

Example 7. In this and the next examples we show two ways to compute characteristics. Let $n=3, h=t^{3}+t^{2}+2 t+6$, and

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & -6 \\
1 & 0 & -2 \\
0 & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

a rational canonical form of $h$. Note that $h \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[t]$. We will compute the characteristic of $G_{A}$ with respect to the standard basis $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{3}\right\}$. The calculation is justified by the proof of Theorem 9.1.

We have $\operatorname{det} A=-6, \mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\{2,3\}$. Let $p=2$. Then

$$
h \equiv t^{2} \cdot(t+1)(\bmod 2), \quad \bar{G}_{A, p} \cong \mathbb{Q}_{p}^{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p}, \quad t_{p}=2
$$

by Proposition 2.5 above. As follows from the proof of Lemma 3.5, to determine a characteristic of $G_{A}$, we need to find generators of the divisible part $D_{p}(A)$ of $\bar{G}_{A, p}$, i.e., a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-submodule of $\bar{G}_{A, p}$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{2}$. By Hensel's lemma, $h=(t-\lambda) g(t)$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$and $g \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}[t]$ is of degree 2 . One can show that $g$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. Let $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}$ be a root of $g$. Let $\mathbf{u}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}[\alpha]^{3}$ denote an eigenvector of $A$ corresponding to $\alpha$. We can take

$$
\mathbf{u}(\alpha)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
-6 \\
\alpha(\alpha+1) \\
\alpha
\end{array}\right)=C\binom{1}{\alpha}, \quad C=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-6 & 0 \\
6 \lambda^{-1} & -\lambda \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{M}_{3 \times 2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)
$$

We then look for a Smith normal form of $C$ :

$$
C=U\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-6 & 0 \\
0 & -\lambda \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad U=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
-\lambda^{-1} & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -\lambda^{-1} & 1
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}_{3}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)
$$

The first two columns $\mathbf{u}_{21}, \mathbf{u}_{22}$ of $U$ give us generators of $D_{p}(A)$ :

$$
\mathbf{u}_{21}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
-\lambda^{-1} \\
0
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathbf{u}_{22}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 \\
-\lambda^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Analogously, for $p=3$ we have

$$
h \equiv t \cdot\left(t^{2}+t+2\right)(\bmod 3), \quad \bar{G}_{A, p} \cong \mathbb{Q}_{p} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{2}, \quad t_{p}=1
$$

by Proposition 2.5 above. By Hensel's lemma, $h$ has a root $\gamma \in p \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. As a generator of $D_{p}(A)$, we can take an eigenvector $\mathbf{u}_{31}=\mathbf{u}(\gamma)$ of $A$ corresponding to $\gamma$. By Lemma 3.3,

$$
G_{A}=<\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, 2^{-\infty} \mathbf{u}_{21}, 2^{-\infty} \mathbf{u}_{22}, 3^{-\infty} \mathbf{u}_{31}>.
$$

We now change the system $\left\{\mathbf{u}_{i j}\right\}$ so that it has the form (3.5) with respect to $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{3}\right\}$. For $\mathbf{x}_{21}=\mathbf{u}_{21}+\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{u}_{22}, \mathbf{x}_{22}=\mathbf{u}_{22}, \mathbf{x}_{31}=(-1 / 6) \mathbf{u}_{31}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}_{21}=\mathbf{e}_{1}-\lambda^{-2} \mathbf{e}_{3}, \quad p=2 \\
& \mathbf{x}_{22}=\mathbf{e}_{2}-\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{e}_{3}, \quad p=2, \\
& \mathbf{x}_{31}=\mathbf{e}_{1}-(1 / 2)(\gamma / 3)(\gamma+1) \mathbf{e}_{2}-(1 / 2)(\gamma / 3) \mathbf{e}_{3}, \quad p=3
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that in $\mathbf{x}_{31}, 2$ is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ and 3 divides $\gamma$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$, so that $1 / 2, \gamma / 3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}$. Therefore,

$$
M\left(A ; \mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{3}\right)=\left\{\alpha_{213}, \alpha_{223}, \alpha_{312}, \alpha_{313}\right\},
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{213}=-\lambda^{-2}, & \alpha_{312}=-(1 / 2)(\gamma / 3)(\gamma+1), \\
\alpha_{223}=-\lambda^{-1}, & \alpha_{313}=-(1 / 2)(\gamma / 3)
\end{array}
$$

is the characteristic of $G_{A}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{3}\right\}$.
Example 8. In this example we show another way to calculate a characteristic. We use Remark 4.4 above that a characteristic can be calculated over an extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ for each prime $p$. We find a characteristic of $G_{A^{t}}$, where $A$ is from Example 7 and $A^{t}$ is the transpose of $A$. Note that if $\delta$ is an eigenvalue of $A$, then $\mathbf{v}(\delta)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & \delta & \delta^{2}\end{array}\right)^{t}$ is an eigenvector of $A^{t}$ corresponding to $\delta$. We use the notation of Example 7. For $p=2$, let $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}$ be (distinct) roots of $g$. By Lemma 4.1, $\mathbf{v}\left(\alpha_{1}\right), \mathbf{v}\left(\alpha_{2}\right)$ are generators of the divisible part of $\bar{G}_{A, p}$ over the ring of integers of a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ that contains $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$. We now change $\left\{\mathbf{v}\left(\alpha_{1}\right), \mathbf{v}\left(\alpha_{2}\right)\right\}$ so that it has the form (3.5). Namely, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{v}_{22}=\frac{1}{\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}}\left(\mathbf{v}\left(\alpha_{2}\right)-\mathbf{v}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}
\end{array}\right)^{t}, \\
& \mathbf{v}_{21}=\mathbf{v}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)-\alpha_{1} \mathbf{v}_{22}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & -\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}
\end{array}\right)^{t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \lambda$ are roots of $h$ and $h=t^{3}+t^{2}+2 t+6$, we have $\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\lambda=-1$ and $\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \lambda=-6$. Recall $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{v}_{21} & =\mathbf{e}_{1}+6 \lambda^{-1} \mathbf{e}_{3}, \\
\mathbf{v}_{22} & =\mathbf{e}_{2}-(\lambda+1) \mathbf{e}_{3}, \\
\mathbf{v}_{31} & =\mathbf{v}(\gamma)=\mathbf{e}_{1}+\gamma \mathbf{e}_{2}+\gamma^{2} \mathbf{e}_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $M\left(A^{t} ; \mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{3}\right)=\left\{\alpha_{213}^{\prime}, \alpha_{223}^{\prime}, \alpha_{312}^{\prime}, \alpha_{313}^{\prime}\right\}$, where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{213}^{\prime}=6 \lambda^{-1}, & \alpha_{312}^{\prime}=\gamma \\
\alpha_{223}^{\prime}=-(\lambda+1), & \alpha_{313}^{\prime}=\gamma^{2}
\end{array}
$$

is the characteristic of $G_{A^{t}}$ with respect to the basis $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{3}\right\}$.
Example 9. Using Examples 7 and 8 , we show $G_{A} \cong G_{A^{t}}$. Let

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & -6 \\
1 & 0 & -2 \\
0 & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathbf{u}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
-6 \\
\delta(\delta+1) \\
\delta
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathbf{v}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\delta \\
\delta^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$ are eigenvectors of $A, A^{t}$, respectively, corresponding to an eigenvalue $\delta$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R} & =\mathcal{R}(A)=\mathcal{R}\left(A^{t}\right)=\left\{n 2^{k} 3^{l} \mid n, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \\
I_{\mathcal{R}}(A, \delta) & =\operatorname{Span}_{\mathcal{R}}(-6, \delta, \delta(\delta+1))=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathcal{R}}\left(1, \delta, \delta^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

since $6 \in \mathcal{R}^{\times}$, and

$$
I_{\mathcal{R}}\left(A^{t}, \delta\right)=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathcal{R}}\left(1, \delta, \delta^{2}\right)=I_{\mathcal{R}}(A, \delta)
$$

We obtain $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{3}(\mathcal{R})$ by expressing coordinates of $\mathbf{u}$ in terms of coordinates of $\mathbf{v}$ :

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-6 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad T^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 / 6 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Note that we were able to compute the characteristics of both $G_{A}, G_{A^{t}}$ with respect to the standard basis, without having to change the basis (or, equivalently, conjugate $A$, $A^{t}$ by matrices in $\left.\mathrm{GL}_{3}(\mathbb{Z})\right)$. Therefore, $T$ (resp., $T^{-1}$ ) satisfies the condition $(A, B, p)$ (resp., $(B, A, p)$ ) for any $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$, since 2 nd and 3rd columns of both $T, T^{-1}$ consist of integers. Since $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{3}(\mathcal{R})$, characteristics of both $A, A^{t}$ are with respect to the standard basis, and $A, A^{t}$ share the same eigenvalues, by Proposition 6.4, $T: G_{A^{t}} \longrightarrow G_{A}$ is an isomorphism.

Example 10. Assume $A, B \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ have irreducible characteristic polynomials. By Proposition 6.4, if $G_{A} \cong G_{B}$, then $\left[I_{\mathcal{R}}(A, \lambda)\right]=\left[I_{\mathcal{R}}(B, \mu)\right]$ under some additional conditions on $A$. In this example we show that this is not true in general. More precisely, $A, B \in \mathrm{M}_{4}(\mathbb{Z})$ share the same irreducible characteristic polynomial, $G_{A} \cong G_{B}$, but $\left[I_{\mathcal{R}}(A, \lambda)\right] \neq\left[I_{\mathcal{R}}(B, \mu)\right]$. In particular, it shows that even when the characteristic polynomials of $A, B$ are irreducible and $G_{A} \cong G_{B}$, not every isomorphism between $G_{A}$
and $G_{B}$ takes an eigenvector of $A$ to an eigenvector of $B$ (unlike e.g., the case of a prime dimension $n$ ). Here $n=4$ and $t_{p}=2$, so that the condition $\left(t_{p}, n\right)=1$ in Proposition 6.4 does not hold.

Let $h(t)=t^{4}-2 t^{3}+21 t^{2}-20 t+5$, irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$, and let $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a root of $h$. By LMFDB, $\mathcal{O}_{K}=\mathbb{Z}[\lambda], K$ is Galois over $\mathbb{Q}, \operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbb{Q}) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$, and the ideal class group of $K$ is non-trivial. Thus, there exists an ideal $J_{1}$ of $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ such that its ideal class [ $J_{1}$ ] is not trivial, i.e., there is no $x \in K$ such that $J_{1}=x \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$. By [SAGE], we can take $J_{1}$ to be the ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ generated by 7 and $\lambda^{3}-\lambda^{2}+20 \lambda-4$ over $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$, denoted by $J_{1}=\left(7, \lambda^{3}-\lambda^{2}+20 \lambda-4\right)$. One can also find a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $J_{1}$, e.g., $J_{1}=\mathbb{Z}\left[\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}, \omega_{4}\right]$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{1}=7 \\
& \omega_{2}=2 \lambda^{3}-3 \lambda^{2}+41 \lambda-16 \\
& \omega_{3}=\lambda^{3}-\lambda^{2}+20 \lambda-4 \\
& \omega_{4}=-2 \lambda^{3}+3 \lambda^{2}-40 \lambda+25
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left[J_{1}\right]$ is non-trivial, by Latimer-MacDuffee-Taussky Theorem [T49], matrices $A, B$ corresponding to $(1)=\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ and $J_{1}$, respectively, are not conjugated by a matrix from $\mathrm{GL}_{4}(\mathbb{Z})$. We find $A, B$ from the condition that

$$
\mathbf{u}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & \lambda & \lambda^{2} & \lambda^{3}
\end{array}\right)^{t}, \quad \mathbf{v}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\omega_{1} & \omega_{2} & \omega_{3} & \omega_{4}
\end{array}\right)^{t}
$$

are eigenvectors of $A, B$, respectively, corresponding to $\lambda$. Thus,

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
-5 & 20 & -21 & 2
\end{array}\right), \quad B=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-9 & 7 & 0 & 7 \\
-6 & 4 & 1 & 4 \\
5 & -4 & 1 & -4 \\
-8 & 5 & 1 & 6
\end{array}\right)
$$

Both $A, B$ have characteristic polynomial $h(t)=t^{4}-2 t^{3}+21 t^{2}-20 t+5, \operatorname{det} A=\operatorname{det} B=5$, $\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\{5\}, t_{5}=2$, and $\left[I_{\mathbb{Z}}(A, \lambda)\right] \neq\left[I_{\mathbb{Z}}(B, \lambda)\right]$. We show $\left[I_{\mathcal{R}}(A, \lambda)\right] \neq\left[I_{\mathcal{R}}(B, \lambda)\right]$, where $\mathcal{R}=\left\{\left.\frac{m}{5^{k}} \right\rvert\, m, k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$. Equivalently, we show that there is no $x \in K$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left(I_{\mathbb{Z}}(A, \lambda) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{R}\right)=I_{\mathbb{Z}}(B, \lambda) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{R} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\mathbb{Z}}(A, \lambda) & =\mathbb{Z}\left[1, \lambda, \lambda^{2}, \lambda^{3}\right]=(1), \\
I_{\mathbb{Z}}(B, \lambda) & =\mathbb{Z}\left[\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}\right]=J_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We also demonstrate how the standard methods of working with fractional ideals of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ (such as the prime ideal factorization and divisibility properties) can be used in the case of the ring $\mathcal{R}$. This suggests the practicality of using generalized ideal classes. Assume there exists $x \in K$ satisfying (7.1). Then $5^{k} x \in J_{1}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. In particular, $y=5^{k} x \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$. Then $y \in J_{1}$ implies that $J_{1}$ divides the ideal $(y)=y \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ of $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ generated by $y$, i.e., $(y)=J_{1} \mathfrak{A}$ for an (integral) ideal $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ of $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$. Note that $\mathfrak{A}$ is not principal (i.e., $\mathfrak{A} \neq x \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ for any $x \in K$ ), since the class of $J_{1}$ is non-trivial. Analogously,
(7.1) implies $5^{t} J_{1} \subseteq(y)$ and hence $5^{t} J_{1}=(y) \mathfrak{A}^{\prime}$ for an (integral) ideal $\mathfrak{A}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ of $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$. Combining the two equalities, we get

$$
5^{t} J_{1}=(y) \mathfrak{A}^{\prime}=J_{1} \mathfrak{A}^{\prime}
$$

Cancelling $J_{1}$, this implies $\left(5^{t}\right)=\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{A}^{\prime}$. Using [SAGE], we can check that all the prime ideal divisors of the ideal (5) are principal, hence $\mathfrak{A}$ is principal and so is $J_{1}$, which is a contradiction. This shows $\left[I_{\mathcal{R}}(A, \lambda)\right] \neq\left[I_{\mathcal{R}}(B, \lambda)\right]$. Nonetheless, we show next that $G_{A} \cong G_{B}$.

By SAGE], (5) $=\mathfrak{p}_{1}^{2} \mathfrak{p}_{2}^{2}$, where $\mathfrak{p}_{1}, \mathfrak{p}_{2}$ are prime ideals of $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda], \mathfrak{p}_{1}=(\lambda)$, and there exists $g \in \operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbb{Q})$ of order 2 such that $g\left(\mathfrak{p}_{i}\right)=\mathfrak{p}_{i}, i=1,2$. In the notation of Theorem 4.3, $X_{A, \mathfrak{p}_{1}}=\operatorname{Span}_{K}(\mathbf{u}, g(\mathbf{u})), X_{B, \mathfrak{p}_{1}}=\operatorname{Span}_{K}(\mathbf{v}, g(\mathbf{v}))$. We look for $f_{1}, f_{2} \in K$ such that $f_{1} \mathbf{v}+f_{2} g(\mathbf{v}) \in \mathcal{R}[\lambda]$. Using the action of $g$, the condition is equivalent to the existence of $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{4}(\mathcal{R})$ with $T\left(X_{A, \mathfrak{p}_{1}}\right)=X_{B, \mathfrak{p}_{1}}$, namely, $f_{1} \mathbf{v}+f_{2} g(\mathbf{v})=T(\mathbf{u})$. Note that any element in $K$ can be written as $\mathbb{Q}$-linear combination of $1, \lambda, \lambda^{2}, \lambda^{3}$, since $K=\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ of degree 4 over $\mathbb{Q}$. In other words, for any $f_{1}, f_{2} \in K$ there is $L \in \mathrm{GL}_{4}(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $f_{1} \mathbf{v}+f_{2} g(\mathbf{v})=L(\mathbf{u})$. The goal is to find $f_{1}, f_{2} \in K$ so that both $L, L^{-1}$ have coefficients in $\mathcal{R}$, i.e., the denominators of coefficients of both $L, L^{-1}$ are powers of 5 . It turns out that such $f_{1}, f_{2}$ exist, namely,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=\frac{39}{350} \lambda^{3}-\frac{29}{175} \lambda^{2}+\frac{739}{350} \lambda-\frac{5}{14} \\
& f_{2}=\frac{61}{350} \lambda^{3}-\frac{46}{175} \lambda^{2}+\frac{1261}{350} \lambda-\frac{27}{14}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $f_{1} \mathbf{v}+f_{2} g(\mathbf{v})=T(\mathbf{u})$ with

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-21 & 40 & -3 & 2 \\
-\frac{72}{5} & \frac{141}{5} & -\frac{11}{5} & \frac{7}{5} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-20 & 40 & -3 & 2
\end{array}\right), \quad \operatorname{det} T=-\frac{1}{5}, \quad T \in \mathrm{GL}_{4}(\mathcal{R})
$$

We use Theorem 4.3 to show that $T$ is an isomorphism from $G_{A}$ to $G_{B}$, i.e., $T\left(G_{A}\right)=G_{B}$. First, we find characteristics of $G_{A}, G_{B}$. We apply the process described in the proof of Lemma 3.4 to vectors $\mathbf{u}, g(\mathbf{u})$. We have

$$
\mathbf{u}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & \lambda & \lambda^{2} & \lambda^{3}
\end{array}\right)^{t}, \quad g(\mathbf{u})=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & g(\lambda) & g\left(\lambda^{2}\right) & g\left(\lambda^{3}\right)
\end{array}\right)^{t},
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(\lambda) & =-4 \lambda^{3}+6 \lambda^{2}-81 \lambda+40 \\
g\left(\lambda^{2}\right) & =-4 \lambda^{3}+5 \lambda^{2}-80 \lambda+20 \\
g\left(\lambda^{3}\right) & =75 \lambda^{3}-114 \lambda^{2}+1520 \lambda-770
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying column operations on $(\mathbf{u} g(\mathbf{u}))$ corresponding to multiplications by matrices from $\mathrm{GL}_{4}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{5}\right)$, we arrive at

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & -\delta & -2 \delta+10 \\
0 & 1 & 2 \delta+40 & 3 \delta+40
\end{array}\right)^{t}, \quad \delta=-2 \lambda^{3}+3 \lambda^{2}-40 \lambda+20
$$

Therefore,

$$
M\left(A ; \mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{4}\right)=\left\{\alpha_{513}(A), \alpha_{514}(A), \alpha_{523}(A), \alpha_{524}(A)\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{513}(A)=-\delta, & \alpha_{514}(A)=-2 \delta+10 \\
\alpha_{523}(A)=2 \delta+40, & \alpha_{524}(A)=3 \delta+40
\end{array}
$$

Note that $K_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}}$ is an extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{5}$ of degree 2 and $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}} / \mathbb{Q}_{5}\right)$ is generated by $g$. Since $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$, under an embedding $K \hookrightarrow K_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}}, \delta$ becomes an element of the ring of integers of $K_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}}$. Since $\delta=\lambda \cdot g(\lambda), \delta$ is an integral element of $\mathbb{Q}_{5}$ and therefore, $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_{5}$. Therefore, all the elements $\alpha_{5 i j}(A)$ in $M\left(A ; \mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{4}\right)$ belong to $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$. To find a characteristic of $G_{B}$, we repeat the above process for vectors $\mathbf{v}, g(\mathbf{v})$. We arrive at

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & \frac{1}{7}(1-4 \delta) & \frac{1}{7}(\delta+5) \\
0 & 1 & \delta & 0
\end{array}\right)^{t}, \quad \delta=-2 \lambda^{3}+3 \lambda^{2}-40 \lambda+20
$$

and

$$
M\left(B ; \mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{4}\right)=\left\{\alpha_{513}(B), \alpha_{514}(B), \alpha_{523}(B), \alpha_{524}(B)\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{513}(B)=\frac{1}{7}(1-4 \delta), & \alpha_{514}(B)=\frac{1}{7}(\delta+5), \\
\alpha_{523}(B)=\delta, & \alpha_{524}(B)=0 .
\end{array}
$$

Note that all $\alpha_{5 i j}(B) \in \mathbb{Z}_{5}$. We can now check the condition $(A, B, 5)$ for $T$ in Theorem 4.3. It holds, because $\alpha(B)_{523}=\delta, \alpha(B)_{524}=0$ are both divisible by 5 in $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ (by the choice of $\mathfrak{p}_{1}, \lambda$ is divisible by $\mathfrak{p}_{1}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}}$ ). Since $T^{-1}$ has integer coefficients, the condition $(B, A, 5)$ holds automatically. In Theorem 4.3 , the conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}(A) & =\mathcal{P}(B)=\{5\}, & & \mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R}(A)=\mathcal{R}(B), \\
\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(A) & =\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(B)=\{5\}, & & t_{5}(A)=t_{5}(B)=2
\end{aligned}
$$

hold automatically, since $A, B$ share the same eigenvalues. Also, $\operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbb{Q})$ acts transitively on the prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_{1}, \mathfrak{p}_{2}$ above 5 , so there exists $g^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbb{Q})$ such that $g^{\prime}\left(\mathfrak{p}_{1}\right)=\mathfrak{p}_{2}$. By above, $T\left(X_{A, \mathfrak{p}_{1}}\right)=X_{B, \mathfrak{p}_{1}}, T \in \mathrm{GL}_{4}(\mathcal{R})$, and applying $g^{\prime}$, we get $T\left(X_{A, \mathfrak{p}_{2}}\right)=X_{B, \mathfrak{p}_{2}}$. By Theorem 4.3, $G_{A} \cong G_{B}$, but $\left[I_{\mathcal{R}}(A, \lambda)\right] \neq\left[I_{\mathcal{R}}(B, \lambda)\right]$, even though the characteristic polynomials of $A, B$ are irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Example 11. The motivation behind this example is the following question. Assume the characteristic polynomial of $A$ is irreducible and $G_{A} \cong G_{B}$. Is necessarily the characteristic polynomial of $B$ also irreducible? This is true for $n=2$ (see [S22, Remark 4.2]) and
it turns out that this is not true for an arbitrary $n$. In our example, $n=4, A, C \in \mathrm{M}_{4}(\mathbb{Z})$ have the same irreducible characteristic polynomial $h(t)=t^{4}+t^{2}+9$, and $G_{A}=G_{C}$. Let $B=C^{2}$. Then the minimal polynomial of $B$ is $t^{2}+t+9$, so that the characteristic polynomial of $B$ is $\left(t^{2}+t+9\right)^{2}$, not irreducible. However, $G_{B}=G_{C}=G_{A}$. More precisely,

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
-9 & 0 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad C=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
9 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\
9 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
-18 & -9 & 7 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\operatorname{det} A=\operatorname{det} C=9, \mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\{3\}$, and $t_{3}=2$. By Hensel's lemma, there exists a root $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of $h$ such that $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}^{\times}$under $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\lambda)_{\mathfrak{p}}$, where $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal of the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ above 3. One can show that

$$
G_{A}=G_{C}=<\mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{4}, 3^{-\infty}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}+\lambda^{2} \mathbf{e}_{3}\right), 3^{-\infty}\left(\mathbf{e}_{2}+\lambda^{2} \mathbf{e}_{4}\right)>
$$

(For example, we can apply the process described in the proof of Lemma 3.4 to eigenvectors

$$
\mathbf{u}_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & \pm \lambda & \lambda^{2} & \pm \lambda^{3}
\end{array}\right)^{t}, \quad \mathbf{v}_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \pm \lambda+\lambda^{2} & \lambda^{2}
\end{array} \quad \pm \lambda^{3}-\lambda^{2}-9\right)^{t}, i=1,2
$$

of $A, C$, respectively, corresponding to $\pm \lambda$.) Thus, $G_{B}=G_{C}=G_{A}$, the characteristic polynomial of $A$ is irreducible, and the characteristic polynomial of $B$ is not irreducible.

## 8. APPLICATIONS

8.1. $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$-odometers. In this section we generalize our results in [S22] on application of groups $G_{A}$ to $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-odometers to the $n$-dimensional case. By definition, a $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$-odometer is a dynamical system consisting of a topological space $X$ and an action of the group $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ on $X$ (by homeomorphisms). There is a way to construct a $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$-odometer out of a subgroup $H$ of $\mathbb{Q}^{n}$ that contains $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ GPS19, p. 914]. Namely, the associated odometer $Y_{H}$ is the Pontryagin dual of the quotient $H / \mathbb{Z}^{n}$, i.e., $Y_{H}=\widehat{H / \mathbb{Z}^{n}}$. The action of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ on $Y_{H}$ is given as follows. Let $\rho$ denote the embedding

$$
\rho: H / \mathbb{Z}^{n} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{n} / \mathbb{Z}^{n} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T}^{n}, \quad \mathbb{T}^{n}=\mathbb{R}^{n} / \mathbb{Z}^{n}
$$

Identifying Pontryagin dual $\widehat{\mathbb{T}^{n}}$ of $\mathbb{T}^{n}$ with $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$, we have the induced map

$$
\widehat{\rho}: \mathbb{Z}^{n} \longrightarrow Y_{H}=\widehat{H / \mathbb{Z}^{n}}
$$

The action of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ on $Y_{H}$ is given by $\widehat{\rho}$. Let $A \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be non-singular. Applying the process to the group $H=G_{A}$, we get the associated $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$-odometer $Y_{G_{A}}$. For simplicity, we denote $Y_{G_{A}}$ by $Y_{A}$.

In the next lemma we analyze when $G_{A}$ is dense in $\mathbb{Q}^{n}$. The result generalizes the case $n=2$ [S22, Lemma 8.4]. Let $A \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be non-singular and let $h_{A} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ be the characteristic polynomial of $A$. Let $h_{A}=h_{1} h_{2} \cdots h_{s}$, where $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{s} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ are
irreducible of degrees $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{s}$, respectively. By Theorem 9.1 below, there exists $S \in$ $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$
S A S^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
A_{1} & * & \cdots & *  \tag{8.1}\\
0 & A_{2} & \cdots & * \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & A_{s}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where each $A_{i} \in \mathrm{M}_{n_{i}}(\mathbb{Z})$ has characteristic polynomial $h_{i}, i \in\{1,2, \ldots, s\}$.
Lemma 8.1. $G_{A}$ is dense in $\mathbb{Q}^{n}$ if and only if $A_{i} \notin \operatorname{GL}_{n_{i}}(\mathbb{Z})$ for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, s\}$. Equivalently, $G_{A}$ is dense in $\mathbb{Q}^{n}$ if and only if $\operatorname{det} A_{i} \neq \pm 1$ for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, s\}$ if and only if $h_{i}(0) \neq \pm 1$ for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, s\}$.
Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 8.4 in [S22], $G_{A}$ is dense in $\mathbb{Q}^{n}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{-i} \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \text { for any } i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies $\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{0}$. We first show that if there exists $A_{i} \in \mathrm{GL}_{n_{i}}(\mathbb{Z})$, then $G_{A}$ is not dense. Indeed, without loss of generality, we can assume that $A$ itself has the block uppertriangular form (8.1) and that $A_{1} \in \mathrm{GL}_{n_{1}}(\mathbb{Z})$. Then for any $\mathbf{y}_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n_{1}}$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $A_{1}^{-i} \mathbf{y}_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n_{1}}$, so that there exists non-zero $\mathbf{y}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathbf{y}_{0} & \mathbf{0}\end{array}\right)^{t} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ satisfying 8.2), and $G_{A}$ is not dense.

We are now left to show that if $G_{A}$ is not dense, then there exists $A_{i} \in \mathrm{GL}_{n_{i}}(\mathbb{Z})$. We first consider the case when $h_{A}$ is irreducible. Assume $G_{A}$ is not dense, hence there exists $\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{0}$ satisfying (8.2). Note that $A$ is diagonalizable with eigenvectors $\mathbf{u}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_{n} \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$, linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}$, corresponding to eigenvectors $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n} \in \mathbb{C}$, respectively. Let $M=\left(\begin{array}{lll}\mathbf{u}_{1} & \ldots & \mathbf{u}_{n}\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{C})$. Let $K$ be a finite Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ that contains all the eigenvalues of $A$ and let $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ denote its ring of integers. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $M \in \mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K}\right)$, so that $\operatorname{det} M \in \mathcal{O}_{K}-\{0\}$. Let $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ satisfy (8.2), $\mathbf{y}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} \mathbf{u}_{j}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n} \in K$, not all are zeroes. Then (8.2) implies

$$
A^{-i} \mathbf{y}=M \cdot\left(\begin{array}{llll}
c_{1} \lambda_{1}^{-i} & c_{2} \lambda_{2}^{-i} & \ldots & c_{n} \lambda_{n}^{-i}
\end{array}\right)^{t} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}
$$

Thus, multiplying the last formula (on the left) by the adjoint matrix $\tilde{M} \in \mathrm{M}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K}\right)$ of $M$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} M c_{j} \lambda_{j}^{-i} \in \mathcal{O}_{K} \text { for any } i \in \mathbb{N} \text { and } j \in\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since there exists $c_{k} \neq 0$ for some $k \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $\operatorname{det} M \neq 0$, we have $\lambda_{k} \in \mathcal{O}_{K}^{\times}$, i.e., $\lambda_{k}$ is a unit in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$. Indeed, otherwise there exists a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ dividing $\lambda_{k}$. Then, writing, $c_{k}=\gamma_{k} / \delta_{k}, \gamma_{k}, \delta_{k} \in \mathcal{O}_{K}-\{0\}$, from (8.3) for $j=k$ we get that non-zero $\operatorname{det} M \gamma_{k} \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ (which does not depend on $i$ ) is divisible by arbitrary powers $\mathfrak{p}^{i}, i \in \mathbb{N}$, which is impossible. Since $h_{A}$ is irreducible by assumption, $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q})$ acts transitively on the set of eigenvalues of $A$. Thus, since there is one eigenvalue $\lambda_{k} \in \mathcal{O}_{K}^{\times}$, all the eigenvalues of $A$ are units in $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ and their product $\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \cdots \lambda_{n}=\operatorname{det} A$ is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}$, i.e., $\operatorname{det} A= \pm 1$ and $A \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$.

We now assume that $h_{A}$ is not irreducible. We need to show that if $G_{A}$ is not dense, then there exists $A_{i} \in \mathrm{GL}_{n_{i}}(\mathbb{Z})$. Equivalently, if all $A_{i} \notin \mathrm{GL}_{n_{i}}(\mathbb{Z})$, then $G_{A}$ is dense. Assume all $A_{i} \notin \mathrm{GL}_{n_{i}}(\mathbb{Z})$. We prove that this implies that $G_{A}$ is dense by induction on the number of irreducible components of $h_{A}$; the base of the induction (the case of one irreducible component) is considered in the preceding paragraph. Let $h_{A}=h_{1} h_{2}$, where $h_{1}, h_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ are monic polynomials of degrees $n_{1}, n_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$, respectively. By Theorem 9.1 below, there exists $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$
T A T^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1} & *  \tag{8.4}\\
0 & A_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where each $A_{i} \in \mathrm{M}_{n_{i}}(\mathbb{Z})$ has characteristic polynomial $h_{i}, i=1,2$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $A$ itself has the block triangular form (8.4). Clearly, $C \notin \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{Z})$ for any "irreducible" block $C$ of $A_{1}, A_{2}$. Then, by induction, $G_{A_{i}}$ is dense in $\mathbb{Q}^{n_{i}}, i=1,2$. Namely, if $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ satisfies (8.2) and $\mathbf{y}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathbf{y}_{1} & \mathbf{y}_{2}\end{array}\right)^{t}, \mathbf{y}_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n_{i}}, i=1,2$, then $A_{2}^{-i} \mathbf{y}_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n_{2}}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence $\mathbf{y}_{2}=\mathbf{0}$ by induction. Then, 88.2) implies $A_{1}^{-i} \mathbf{y}_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n_{1}}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence $\mathbf{y}_{1}=\mathbf{0}$ by induction as well. Thus, $\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{0}$ and $G_{A}$ is dense in $\mathbb{Q}^{n}$.

The other two equivalent formulations follow from the facts that $A \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ belongs to $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ if and only if $\operatorname{det} A= \pm 1$ and if $h \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ is the characteristic polynomial of $A$, then $\operatorname{det} A=(-1)^{n} h(0)$.

Lemma 8.2. Let $A, B \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be non-singular such that $G_{A}$ (resp., $G_{B}$ ) is dense in $\mathbb{Q}^{n}$ (see Lemma 8.1). Then $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$-actions $Y_{A}, Y_{B}$ are orbit equivalent if and only if $\operatorname{det} A$, $\operatorname{det} B$ have the same prime divisors.

Proof. Follows from [GPS19, Theorem 1.5] and [S22, Lemma 8.5].
In [GPS19, Theorem 1.5], the authors give a characterization of various equivalences of $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-odometers $Y_{H}$ in terms of the corresponding groups $H$. In our subsequent paper, we extend their results to the $n$-dimensional case of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$-odometers and apply them for odometers of the form $Y_{A}$ defined by non-singular matrices $A \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$.

## 9. Similarity to a block-triangular matrix over PID

In this section we give a proof of the fact that a matrix $A$ over a principal ideal domain $R$ with field of fractions of characteristic zero is similar over $R$ to a block-triangular matrix. This is proved in [N72, p. 50, Thm. III.12] for $R=\mathbb{Z}$ and the same proof works for a general principal ideal domain (PID) with field of fractions of characteristic zero. In particular, when $R=\mathbb{Z}_{p}$, the case of our interest. We repeat the proof here with a slight modification, which is useful in calculating examples.

Theorem 9.1. Let $R$ be a PID with field of fractions of characteristic zero. For any $A \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(R)$ there exists $S \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(R)$ such that

$$
S A S^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
A_{11} & * & \cdots & * \\
0 & A_{22} & \cdots & * \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & A_{t t}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where each $A_{i i}$ is a square matrix with irreducible characteristic polynomial, $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, t\}$, $1 \leq t \leq n$.

Proof. Let $F$ denote the field of fractions of $R$ and let $h_{A} \in R[t]$ denote the characteristic polynomial of $A$. If $h_{A}$ is irreducible, there is nothing to prove. Assume $h_{A}$ is not irreducible, i.e., $h_{A}=h_{1} h_{2}$, where $h_{1}, h_{2} \in R[t]$ are monic, and $h_{1}$ is irreducible of degree $k, 1 \leq k<n$. Let $\bar{F}$ denote a fixed algebraic closure of $F$, let $\alpha \in \bar{F}$ be a root of $h_{1}$, and let $L=F(\alpha)$. Then $L$ is a finite separable extension of $F$ of degree $k$. It is well-known that $L$ is the field of fractions of $R[\alpha]$. Let $\mathbf{u} \in(\bar{F})^{n}$ be an eigenvector of $A$ corresponding to $\alpha$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\mathbf{u} \in R[\alpha]^{n}$. Then

$$
\mathbf{u}=C \omega, \quad \omega=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & \alpha & \ldots & \alpha^{k-1}
\end{array}\right)^{t}
$$

for some $C \in \mathrm{M}_{n \times k}(R)$. Also, there exists $B \in \mathrm{M}_{k}(R)$ such that $\alpha \omega=B \omega$. Then

$$
A \mathbf{u}=A C \omega=\alpha C \omega=C B \omega
$$

and hence $A C=C B$, since entries of $A C-C B$ belong to $R$ and $1, \alpha, \ldots, \alpha^{k-1}$ is a basis of $L$ over $F$. Since $R$ is a PID, matrix $C$ has a Smith normal form, i.e., there exist $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r} \in R-\{0\}, U \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(R)$, and $V \in \mathrm{GL}_{k}(R)$ such that

$$
C=U T V, \quad T=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\Lambda & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $T \in \mathrm{M}_{n \times k}(R), \Lambda=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}\right)$ is a non-singular diagonal matrix, and $r \leq k$. We write

$$
U^{-1} A U=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1} & A_{2} \\
A_{3} & A_{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $A_{1} \in \mathrm{M}_{r}(R)$, and $A_{2}, A_{3}, A_{4}$ are matrices over $R$ of appropriate sizes. It follows from $A C=C B$ that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1} & A_{2}  \tag{9.1}\\
A_{3} & A_{4}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Lambda & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) V=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Lambda & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) V B .
$$

Thus, $A_{3} \Lambda=0$ and since $\Lambda$ is non-singular, we have $A_{3}=0$. We now show that $\alpha$ is an eigenvalue of $A_{1}$ and hence $k=r$. Indeed, multiplying (9.1) by $\omega$ on the right, we get

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1} & A_{2}  \tag{9.2}\\
A_{3} & A_{4}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\Lambda & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) V \omega=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Lambda & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) V B \omega=\alpha\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Lambda & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) V \omega,
$$

since $B \omega=\alpha \omega$. Let $\mathbf{v} \in \mathrm{M}_{r \times 1}(L)$ denote the first $r$ entries of $V \omega \in \mathrm{M}_{k \times 1}(L)$ and let $\mathbf{w}=\Lambda \mathbf{v}$. Note that $\mathbf{v}$ is non-zero, since $\omega$ is a basis and $V$ is non-singular. Also, $\mathbf{w}$ is non-zero, since $\Lambda=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}\right)$ is non-singular. Then (9.2) implies

$$
A_{1} \mathbf{w}=\alpha \mathbf{w}
$$

Since $\mathbf{w}$ is non-zero, $\alpha$ is an eigenvalue of $A_{1}$. Hence, $k=r, h_{1}$ is the characteristic polynomial of $A_{1}$, and $h_{2}$ is the characteristic polynomial of $A_{4}$. Applying the induction process on $n$, the statement of the theorem holds for $A_{4} \in \mathrm{M}_{n-k}(R)$ and therefore, holds for $A$.
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