TRIVIALITY OF DIFFERENTIAL GALOIS COHOMOLOGY OF LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL ALGEBRAIC GROUPS

ANDREI MINCHENKO AND ALEXEY OVCHINNIKOV

ABSTRACT. For a partial differential field K, we show that the triviality of the first differential Galois cohomology of every linear differential algebraic group over K is equivalent to K being algebraically, Picard–Vessiot, and linearly differentially closed. This cohomological triviality condition is also known to be equivalent to the uniqueness up to an isomorphism of a Picard–Vessiot extension of a linear differential equation with parameters.

1. Introduction

Galois theory of linear differential equations with parameters [5] (also known as the parameterized Picard–Vessiot theory) provides theoretical and algorithmic tools to study differential algebraic dependencies of solutions of a linear ODE with one or several parameters. A parameterized Picard–Vessiot extension is a differential field generated by a complete set of solutions of the ODE and also satisfying additional technical conditions. How to decide whether such an extension is unique is an open problem in this theory. We study this question in the present paper as follows.

Let F be a differential field of characteristic zero with commuting derivations $\{\partial_x,\partial_1,\ldots,\partial_m\}$. One can show using [7] that the uniqueness up to an isomorphism of a Picard–Vessiot extension of any parameterized linear differential equation with coefficients in F is equivalent to the triviality of the first differential Galois (also known as constrained) cohomology [11] over K, the ∂_x -constants of F, of all linear differential algebraic groups with respect to $\{\partial_1,\ldots,\partial_m\}$ [2]. We show in our main result, Theorem 1, that the latter triviality holds if and only if K is algebraically, Picard–Vessiot, and linearly differentially closed (the terminology is explained in Section 2).

Such a question for m=1 was settled in [15], in which case the "linearly differentially closed" condition does not play a role. This was extended to m>1 in [6] in terms of generalized strongly normal extensions. Our characterization is different and our arguments can be viewed as more transparent. The first Galois cohomology for groups defined by algebraic difference equations were studied in [1].

2. Definitions and notation

Definition 1 (Differential rings and fields).

- A differential ring is a ring R with a finite set $\Delta = \{\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_m\}$ of commuting derivations on R. A differential ideal of (R, Δ) is an ideal of R stable under any derivation in Δ .
- For any derivation $\delta: R \to R$, we denote $R^{\delta} = \{r \in R \mid \delta(r) = 0\}$, which is a δ -subring of R and is called the *ring of* δ -constants of R. If R is a field and (R, Δ) is a differential ring, then (R, Δ) is called a differential field. The notion of differential algebra over (R, Δ) is defined analogously.

• The ring of Δ -differential polynomials $K\{x_1,\ldots,x_n\}$ in the differential indeterminates x_1,\ldots,x_n and with coefficients in a Δ -field (K,Δ) is the ring of polynomials in the indeterminates formally denoted

$$\left\{\delta_1^{i_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \delta_m^{i_m} x_i \mid i_1, \ldots, i_m \geqslant 0, \ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n\right\}$$

with coefficients in K. We endow this ring with a structure of K- Δ -algebra by setting

$$\delta_k \left(\delta_1^{i_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \delta_m^{i_m} x_i \right) = \delta_1^{i_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \delta_k^{i_k+1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \delta_m^{i_m} x_i.$$

• A differential field (K, Δ) is said to be differentially closed if, for every $n \ge 1$ and every finite set of Δ -polynomials $F \subset K\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, if the system of differential equations F = 0 has a solution with entries in some Δ -field extension L, then it has a solution with entries in K (see [13, Corollary 1.2(ii)]).

Let $\mathcal U$ be a differentially closed Δ -field of characteristic 0 and $K\subset \mathcal U$ be a differential subfield.

Definition 2 (Kolchin-closed sets).

• A Kolchin-closed set $W \subset \mathcal{U}^n$ over K is the set of common zeroes of a system of differential polynomials with coefficients in K, that is, there exists $S \subset K\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ such that

$$W = \{a \in \mathcal{U}^n \mid f(a) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in S\}.$$

More generally, for a differential algebra R over K,

$$W(R) = \{ a \in R^n \mid f(a) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in S \}.$$

• If $W \subset \mathcal{U}^n$ is a Kolchin-closed set defined over K, the differential ideal

$$\mathbb{I}(W) = \{ f \in K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \mid f(w) = 0 \text{ for all } w \in W(\mathcal{U}) \}$$

is called the *defining ideal* of W over K. Conversely, for a subset S of $K\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$, the following subset is Kolchin-closed in \mathcal{U}^n and defined over K:

$$\mathbf{V}(S) = \{ a \in \mathcal{U}^n \mid f(a) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in S \}.$$

• Let $W \subset \mathcal{U}^n$ be a Kolchin-closed set defined over K. The *coordinate ring* $K\{W\}$ of W over K is the differential algebra over K

$$K\{W\} = K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}/\mathbb{I}(W).$$

If $K\{W\}$ is an integral domain, then W is said to be *irreducible*. This is equivalent to $\mathbb{I}(W)$ being a prime differential ideal.

- Let $W \subset \mathcal{U}^n$ be a Kolchin-closed set defined over K. Let $\mathbb{I}(W) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \ldots \cap \mathfrak{p}_q$ be a minimal differential prime decomposition of $\mathbb{I}(W)$, that is, the $\mathfrak{p}_i \subset K\{y_1,\ldots,y_n\}$ are prime differential ideals containing $\mathbb{I}(W)$ and minimal with this property. This decomposition is unique up to permutation (see [9, Section VII.29]). The irreducible Kolchin-closed sets $W_i = \mathbf{V}(\mathfrak{p}_i)$ are defined over K and called the *irreducible components* of W. We have $W = W_1 \cup \ldots \cup W_q$.
- Let $W_1 \subset \mathcal{U}^{n_1}$ and $W_2 \subset \mathcal{U}^{n_2}$ be two Kolchin-closed sets defined over K. A differential polynomial map (morphism) defined over K is a map

$$\varphi: W_1 \to W_2, \quad a \mapsto (f_1(a), \dots, f_{n_2}(a)), \quad a \in W_1,$$

where $f_i \in K\{x_1, \ldots, x_{n_1}\}$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n_2$.

If $W_1 \subset W_2$, the inclusion map of W_1 in W_2 is a differential polynomial map. In this case, we say that W_1 is a Kolchin-closed subset of W_2 .

Let W be an irreducible Kolchin-closed set and $P \subset K\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be its defining differential ideal, which is prime. It is shown in [10, Section II.12] that there exists a non-negative integer H such that, for all $h \geqslant H$,

$$\dim \left(P \cap K \left[\delta_1^{i_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \delta_m^{i_m} x_i \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n, \ i_j \geqslant 0, \ 1 \leqslant j \leqslant m, \ i_1 + \ldots + i_m \leqslant h \right] \right)$$

coincides with a polynomial in h. The degree of this polynomial is denoted by $\tau(W)$ and called the *differential type* of W (if W is a single point and so the above polynomial is 0, we set $\tau(W) = -1$).

Example 1. Let $\mathrm{GL}_n \subset \mathcal{U}^n$ be the group of $n \times n$ invertible matrices with entries in \mathcal{U} . One can see GL_n as a Kolchin-closed subset of $\mathcal{U}^{n^2} \times \mathcal{U}$ defined over K, defined by the equation $x \cdot \det(X) - 1$ in $K\{\mathcal{U}^{n^2} \times \mathcal{U}\} = K\{X,x\}$, where X is an $n \times n$ -matrix of differential indeterminates over K and X a differential indeterminate over K. One can thus identify the differential coordinate ring of GL_n over K with $F\{X,1/\det(X)\}$, where $X = (x_{i,j})_{1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant n}$ is a matrix of differential indeterminates over K. We also denote the special linear group that consists of the matrices of determinant 1 by $\mathrm{SL}_n \subset \mathrm{GL}_n$.

Definition 3 (Linear differential algebraic groups).

- [2, Chapter II, Section 1, page 905] A linear differential algebraic group (LDAG) $G \subset \mathcal{U}^{n^2}$ defined over K is a subgroup of GL_n that is a Kolchin-closed set defined over K. If $G \subset H \subset \mathrm{GL}_n$ are Kolchin-closed subgroups of GL_n , we say that G is a Kolchin-closed subgroup of H.
- Let G be an LDAG defined over F. The irreducible component of G containing the identity element e is called the *identity component* of G and denoted by G° . The LDAG G° is a δ -subgroup of G defined over F. The LDAG G is said to be *connected* if $G = G^{\circ}$, which is equivalent to G being an irreducible Kolchin-closed set [2, page 906].
- [4, Definition 2.6] Let G be an LDAG over K. The strong identity component G_0 of G is defined to be the smallest differential algebraic subgroup H of G defined over \mathcal{U} such that $\tau(G/H) < \tau(G)$.

By [4, Remark 2.7(2)], G_0 is a normal subgroup of G defined over K.

• [4, Definition 2.10] An infinite LDAG G defined over K is almost simple if, for any normal proper differential algebraic subgroup H of G defined over K, we have $\tau(H) < \tau(G)$.

Definition 4 (Differentially closed with respect to systems of equations).

- For a system S of Δ -differential equations over K, K is said to be S-closed, or closed w.r.t. S, if the consistency of S (i.e., the existence of a solution in U) implies the existence of a solution in K.
- Let $K\Delta$ denote the K-span of Δ (it is a Lie ring). The field K is said to be PV closed if, for all $r, 1 \le r \le m$, for all sets $\{D_1, \ldots, D_r\} \subset K\Delta$ of commuting derivations, for all $n \ge 1$, and for all $A_1, \ldots, A_r \in \operatorname{Mat}_{n \times n}(K)$, K is closed w.r.t.

(1)
$$\{D_i(Z) = A_i \cdot Z, \ z \cdot \det Z = 1\}_{i=1}^r,$$

where Z and z are unknown matrices of sizes $n \times n$ and 1×1 , respectively (see [8, page 51] for a coordinate-free definition).

• K is said to be Δ -linearly closed if it is closed w.r.t. any system of linear (not necessarily homogeneous) Δ -differential equations in one unknown over K.

Definition 5 (The first differential Galois cohomology).

- A principal homogeneous space (PHS) over an LDAG G over K is a Kolchin-closed X defined over K together with a differential algebraic isomorphism $X \times G \to X \times X$ over K.
- For an LDAG G and G-principal homogeneous spaces X and Y, a differential algebraic map $\phi: X \to Y$ is said to be a morphism if it is G-equivariant.
- The set of isomorphism classes of PHS of G over K is denoted by $H^1_{\Delta}(K,G)$. We write $H^1_{\Delta}(K,G)=\{1\}$ if all principal homogeneous spaces of G are isomorphic over K. For example, $H^1_{\Delta}(\mathcal{U},G)=\{1\}$.

3. Main result

Theorem 1. The following are equivalent:

- (1) K is algebraically closed, PV closed, and Δ -linearly closed;
- (2) for any linear differential algebraic group G, $H^1_{\Delta}(K,G) = \{1\}$.

Remark 1. If we additionally assume that the field of Δ -constants of K is algebraically closed, then K being algebraically closed is implied by K being PV closed [16, Exercise 1.24].

Proof. Let us show the implication \Leftarrow . If K is not algebraically closed, then there exists a non-trivial (finite) Galois extension E/K. Let $\alpha \in E$ be such that $E = K(\alpha)$ and f be the minimal polynomial of α over K. The set X of roots of f is a K-torsor for G = Gal(E/K). It is non-trivial since there are no K-points of X, that is, homomorphisms $E \to K$ over K. Hence, $H^1(K,G) \cong H^1_{\Delta}(K,G) \neq \{1\}$ (with the isomorphism following from [11, p. 177, Theorem 4]).

Suppose that K is not PV closed. Hence, there exists a set $D = \{D_1, \ldots, D_r\} \subset K\Delta$ of commuting derivations and a system (1) with no solutions in $\operatorname{GL}_n(K)$. We claim that $H^1_{\Delta}(K,\operatorname{GL}_n^D) \neq \{1\}$. Indeed, let

$$J := \{ (B_1, \dots, B_r) \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathcal{U})^r : D_i B_i - D_i B_i = [B_i, B_i] \}$$

and

$$\ell: \mathrm{GL}_n \to J, \qquad x \mapsto (D_1(x) \cdot x^{-1}, \dots, D_r(x) \cdot x^{-1}).$$

We have $\mathrm{Ker}(\ell)=\mathrm{GL}_n^D$. Moreover, by [11, Proposition 14, p. 26], ℓ is surjective. Hence, the sequence

$$\{1\} \; \longrightarrow \; \mathrm{GL}_n^D \; \longrightarrow \; \mathrm{GL}_n \; \stackrel{\ell}{\longrightarrow} \; J \; \longrightarrow \; \{0\}$$

is exact. By assumption, ℓ is not surjective on K-points. Let $(C_1, \ldots, C_n) \notin \ell(\mathrm{GL}_n(K))$. By [11, p. 192, Proposition 8], $\ell^{-1}(C_1, \ldots, C_n)$ is a non-trivial torsor for $\mathrm{GL}_n^D(K)$.

If K is not Δ -linearly closed, then there exist a positive integer r, a Δ -subgroup $B \subset \mathbf{G}_a^r$ defined over K, and a surjective Δ -linear map $\Lambda: \mathbf{G}_a \to B$ over K that is not surjective on K-points. By [11, p. 192, Proposition 8], $H^1_\Delta(K, \operatorname{Ker} \Lambda) \neq \{1\}$.

Let us prove the implication \implies . By [11, p. 170, Theorem 2], given a short exact sequence

$$\{1\} \longrightarrow G' \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow G'' \longrightarrow \{1\}$$

of LDAGs over K in which $G' \subset G$ is normal [11, p. 169],

(2)
$$H^1_{\Delta}(K, G') = H^1_{\Delta}(K, G'') = \{1\} \implies H^1_{\Delta}(K, G) = \{1\}.$$

This is called the *inductive principle* [15]. As in [15], the problem reduces to the following cases:

(1) G is finite;

- (2) $G \subset \mathbf{G}_a$;
- (3) $G = \mathbf{G}_m(\mathcal{C})$ the group of constants of \mathbf{G}_m ;
- (4) $G = H^P$, where H is a linear algebraic group (LAG) over \mathbb{Q} , $P \subset K\Delta$ is a Lie subspace, and H^P is the functor of taking constant points with respect to P: $H^P(L) := H(L^P)$ for a Δ -ring extension L of K. Note that case (3) is included into this case, but we have separated case (3) for the clarity of the presentation.

Let us explain the reduction and then show that $H^1_{\Delta}(K,\widetilde{G})=1$ for any \widetilde{G} of types (1)–(4). The exact sequence

$$\{1\} \longrightarrow G^{\circ} \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow G/G^{\circ} \longrightarrow \{1\}$$

reduces the problem to case (1) and to showing that, for a connected G, $H^1_{\Delta}(K,G)=1$. To show the latter equality, let us use induction on the differential type $\tau(G)$, the case $\tau(G)=-1$ (that is, $G=\{1\}$, because G is assumed to be connected) being trivial. Let $G_0\subset G$ be the strong identity component. Suppose $\tau(G)\geqslant 0$. One has the following exact sequence:

$$\{1\} \longrightarrow G_0 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow G/G_0 \longrightarrow \{1\},$$

and $\tau(G/G_0) < \tau(G)$. By the induction, this reduces the problem to the case $G = G_0$. Moreover, by [4, Theorem 2.27 and Remark 2.28(2)], it suffices to assume that G is almost simple. If G is almost simple non-commutative, it is simple by [14, Theorem 3]. By [3, Theorems 9 and 17], it corresponds to case (4) because K is PV-closed. If G is commutative, the Zariski closure \overline{G} is also commutative, hence there are $n_1, n_2 \geqslant 0$ such that \overline{G} is isomorphic over K (recall that K is algebraically closed) to a direct product of n_1 copies of G_a and n_2 copies of G_m (we will not use the almost simplicity in the commutative case). It follows by induction on n_1+n_2 that $H^1_{\Delta}(K,G)=1$ if $H^1_{\Delta}(K,\widetilde{G})=1$ for any connected Kolchin closed subgroup \widetilde{G} of G_a or G_m . Indeed, if $n_1\geqslant 1$, one has a natural projection $G\subset \overline{G}\to G_a$ whose kernel is contained in the direct product of n_1-1 copies of G_a and n_2 copies of G_m . Similarly, if $n_2\geqslant 1$, one considers a projection $G\subset \overline{G}\to G_m$.

The case $G \subset \mathbf{G}_m$ reduces to $G = \mathbf{G}_m^{K\Delta} = \mathbf{G}_m(\mathcal{C})$ and case (2) by considering the logarithmic derivatives (defined on \mathbf{G}_m)

$$\ell_i: G \to \mathbf{G}_a, \quad x \mapsto (\partial_i x) \cdot x^{-1},$$

for $i=1,\ldots,m$ subsequently, as all infinite differential algebraic subgroups of \mathbf{G}_m contain $\mathbf{G}_m^{K\Delta}$ [2, Proposition 31].

In case (1), $H^1_{\Delta}(K,G) = \{1\}$ by [11, p. 177, Theorem 4] because K is algebraically closed. In case (2), we have the following exact sequence:

$$\{0\} \longrightarrow G \stackrel{\iota}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{G}_a \stackrel{\pi}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{G}_a \longrightarrow \{0\},$$

and we have $H^1_{\Delta}(K,G)=\{1\}$ by [11, p. 193, Corollary 1] since K is linearly Δ -closed. Case (3) is included into case (4), as noted before. It remains to consider case (4). Choose a basis $\{D_1,\ldots,D_r\}$ of commuting derivations of P and let

(3)
$$J := \{ (B_1, \dots, B_r) \in (\text{Lie } H)^r : D_i B_i - D_j B_i = [B_i, B_j] \}$$

and, since H is defined over \mathbb{Q} , by [2, p. 924, Corollary], we have:

$$\ell: H \to J, \qquad x \mapsto (D_1(x) \cdot x^{-1}, \dots, D_r(x) \cdot x^{-1}).$$

We have $\operatorname{Ker}(\ell) = H^P = G$. Let $B_1, \dots, B_r \in J$. Since [12, Lemma 1] can be rewritten in a straightforward way for several commuting derivations, the surjectivity of ℓ is implied

by the fact that p does not belong to the differential ideal generated by

$$(4) D_1(x) - B_1 \cdot x, \dots, D_r(x) - B_r \cdot x$$

for any order 0 non-zero differential polynomial p in x (which includes $p = \det x$), as in the proof [12, Proposition 6]. Since (4) is shown in the proof of [11, Proposition 14, p. 26] given the conditions in (3), we conclude that ℓ is surjective. Hence, the sequence

$$\{1\} \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow H \stackrel{\ell}{\longrightarrow} J \longrightarrow \{0\}$$

is exact. Since K is algebraically closed, $H^1_{\Delta}(K,H)=\{1\}$. By [11, p. 192, Proposition 8], this implies that all torsors for G are isomorphic to $\ell^{-1}(a)$, $a\in J(K)$. Moreover, all of them are isomorphic (that is, $H^1_{\Delta}(K,G)=\{1\}$) if ℓ is surjective on K-points.

Let $H = \operatorname{GL}_n$. Due to the PV closedness, ℓ is surjective. Hence, by the above, $H^1_{\Delta}(K,\operatorname{GL}_n^P) = \{1\}$. Let $H \subset \operatorname{GL}_n$ now be any linear algebraic group defined over \mathbb{Q} . Then taking P-constants, which can be viewed as applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}(\cdot,\mathcal{U}^P)$, is exact, because \mathcal{U}^P is algebraically closed and so the polynomial map π is surjective:

$$\{1\} \longrightarrow G = H^P \stackrel{\iota}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{GL}_n^P \stackrel{\pi}{\longrightarrow} (\operatorname{GL}_n/H)^P \longrightarrow \{1\}.$$

Since K is algebraically closed, the map π is surjective on K-points. Therefore, by the corresponding exact sequence of cohomology [11, p. 170, Theorem 2], $H^1_{\Delta}(K,G) = H^1_{\Delta}(K,\operatorname{GL}_n^P) = \{1\}.$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Anand Pillay and Michael F. Singer for the discussions and to referees for their comments. This work has been partially supported by the NSF grants CCF-0952591, DMS-1413859, DMS-1760448, by the Austrian Science Foundation FWF, grant P28079, and by the PSC-CUNY grant 60098-00 48.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bachmayr and M. Wibmer. Torsors for difference algebraic groups. 2016. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/1607.07035.
- [2] P. Cassidy. Differential algebraic groups. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 94: 891–954, 1972. URL http://www.jstor.org/stable/2373764.
- [3] P. Cassidy. The classification of the semisimple differential algebraic groups and linear semisimple differential algebraic Lie algebras. *Journal of Algebra*, 121 (1):169–238, 1989. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693 (89) 90092-6.
- [4] P. Cassidy and M. Singer. A Jordan-Hölder theorem for differential algebraic groups. *Journal of Algebra*, 328(1):190-217, 2011. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2010.08.019.
- [5] P. Cassidy and M. F. Singer. Galois theory of parametrized differential equations and linear differential algebraic group. *IRMA Lectures in Mathematics and Theoretical Physics*, 9:113–157, 2007. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.4171/020-1/7.
- [6] Z. Chatzidakis and A. Pillay. Generalized Picard–Vessiot extensions and differential Galois cohomology. 2017. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1702.01969.
- [7] H. Gillet, S. Gorchinskiy, and A. Ovchinnikov. Parameterized Picard–Vessiot extensions and Atiyah extensions. *Advances in Mathematics*, 238:322–411, 2013. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2013.02.006.

- [8] S. Gorchinskiy and A. Ovchinnikov. Isomonodromic differential equations and differential categories. *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, 102:48–78, 2014. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matpur.2013.11.001.
- [9] I. Kaplansky. An introduction to differential algebra. Hermann, Paris, 1957.
- [10] E. Kolchin. Differential Algebra and Algebraic Groups. Academic Press, New York, 1973.
- [11] E. R. Kolchin. *Differential Algebraic Groups*, volume 114 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press Inc., Orlando, FL, 1985. ISBN 0-12-417640-2.
- [12] J. Kovacic. The inverse problem in the Galois theory of differential fields. *Annals of Mathematics*, 89(3):583–608, 1969. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1970653.
- [13] D. Marker. Model theory of differential fields. In *Model theory, algebra, and geometry*, volume 39 of *Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications*, pages 53–63. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000. URL http://library.msri.org/books/Book39/files/dcf.pdf.
- [14] A. Minchenko. On central extensions of simple differential algebraic groups. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 143:2317–2330, 2015. arXiv:1401.0522.
- [15] A. Pillay. The Picard–Vessiot theory, constrained cohomology, and linear differential algebraic groups. *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, 108(6):809–817, 2017. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matpur.2017.05.005.
- [16] M. van der Put and M. F. Singer. *Galois theory of linear differential equations*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-55750-7.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF VIENNA, AUSTRIA *E-mail address*: an.minchenko@gmail.com

CUNY QUEENS COLLEGE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 65-30 KISSENA BLVD, QUEENS, NY 11367 AND CUNY GRADUATE CENTER, Ph.D. PROGRAMS IN MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, 365 FIFTH AVENUE, NEW YORK, NY 10016

E-mail address: aovchinnikov@qc.cuny.edu
URL: http://qc.edu/~aovchinnikov/