

## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Incarceration, high-risk sexual partnerships and sexually transmitted infections in an urban population

Susan M Rogers,<sup>1</sup> Maria R Khan,<sup>2</sup> Sylvia Tan,<sup>1</sup> Charles F Turner,<sup>3</sup> William C Miller,<sup>4</sup> Emily Erbeling<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Statistics and Epidemiology Division, Research Triangle Institute, Washington, DC, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Epidemiology, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA

<sup>3</sup>City University of New York (Queens College and the Graduate Center), Flushing, New York, USA

<sup>4</sup>Schools of Medicine and Public Health, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA

<sup>5</sup>National Institute of AIDS and Infectious Diseases, Bethesda, Maryland, USA

## Correspondence to

Dr Susan M Rogers, Statistics and Epidemiology Division, Research Triangle Institute, 701 13th Street NW, Washington, DC 20009, USA; [smr@rti.org](mailto:smr@rti.org)

Accepted 19 November 2011

## ABSTRACT

**Objectives** The authors examined the associations between personal and partner incarceration, high-risk sexual partnerships and biologically confirmed sexually transmitted infection (STI) in a US urban population.

**Methods** Data from a probability survey of young adults 15–35 years of age in Baltimore, Maryland, USA, were analysed to assess the prevalence of personal and partner incarceration and its association with several measures of high-risk sexual partnerships including multiple partners, partner concurrency and current STI.

**Results** A history of incarceration was common (24.1% among men and 11.3% among women). Among women with an incarcerated partner in the past year (15.3%), the risk of current STI was significantly increased (adjusted prevalence ratio=2.3, 95% CI 1.5 to 3.5). Multiple partners (5+) in the past year and partner concurrency were disproportionately high among men and women who had been incarcerated or who had sexual partner(s) or who had recently been incarcerated. These associations remained robust independent of personal socio-demographic factors and illicit drug use.

**Conclusions** Incarceration may contribute to STI risk by influencing engagement in high-risk behaviours and by influencing contact with partners who engage in risky behaviours and who hence have elevated risk of infection.

Populations with a history of incarceration and members of their sexual networks more frequently engage in high-risk sexual partnerships compared with those with no exposure to incarceration.<sup>1–12</sup> Behaviours such as having multiple and concurrent partners influence the risk of sexually transmitted infections (STIs), but social and economic instability and substance use may also contribute to increased STI risk among incarcerated populations and their partners.<sup>1 2 6 13–16</sup> Several studies have indicated that incarceration and/or incarceration of a sex partner are correlates of STI-related sexual risk behaviours independent of adverse demographic and socioeconomic factors, including poverty and substance use, suggesting that incarceration itself may influence STI risk.<sup>1–9</sup> Incarceration may contribute to sexual risk behaviours and STIs by disrupting sexual networks, leading to increased levels of sexual partnership exchange and/or by increasing links between high- and low-risk individuals, resulting in increasing levels of STI-discordant partnerships.<sup>9</sup> Whether these associations are consistently observed in well-defined population samples, in addition to samples in prison and jail settings, needs to be evaluated.<sup>3–6 9 16</sup>

In this paper, we examine associations between personal and partner incarceration and measures of sexual risk and current STI status in a probability sample of young adults in Baltimore, Maryland, an urban community with high rates of STIs and incarceration. We analyse data from the 2006–2009 Monitoring STIs Survey Program (MSSP), a population-based behavioural survey of Baltimore residents aged 15–35 years that included biospecimen collection for testing of three STIs (trichomoniasis, gonorrhoea and chlamydial infection). We use these data to investigate whether individual and partner's incarceration are independently associated with multiple partnerships, partner concurrency and biologically confirmed STI at the population level.

## METHODS

All study procedures were approved by the institutional review boards of Research Triangle Institute, the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill (UNC), the University of Massachusetts at Boston and the Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions.

## Study sample

The MSSP study design has been described in detail elsewhere.<sup>17 18</sup> Telephone audio computer-assisted self-interviews (T-ACASI) and specimen collection kits (urine and/or vaginal swab) sent out and returned by US mail were used to estimate the prevalence of three STIs and associated risk behaviours from a probability sample of young adults residing in Baltimore, Maryland. The MSSP interviews began in September 2006 and were completed in June 2009. A stratified, list-assisted probability sampling design was used to maximise sample efficiency in identifying our target population—English-speaking men and women between 15 and 35 years of age residing in Baltimore households with landline telephones.

## Survey execution

Sampled households for which we had mailing addresses were sent a lead letter describing the study. Interview staff at the Center for Survey Research of the University of Massachusetts, Boston (UMASS), conducted telephone screening and recruitment. Screening was completed with an adult household member. In households with more than one eligible member, one was sampled probabilistically. Minors (<18 years of age) were recruited with parental permission. Parents were informed that their child's study results were confidential.

Verbal consent was obtained for the interview; separate written consent (adult or minor and parent) was required for specimen testing. Men and women who completed the interview were asked to provide a specimen for STI testing. Participants were informed that positive test results for gonorrhoea (GC) and/or chlamydial infection (CT) would be reported to the Baltimore City Health Department, and patients with positive test results would be referred for treatment, as required by law. Trichomoniasis (TV) is not a reportable disease. Because our TV assay had not received Food and Drug Administration clearance and to our knowledge had not been used in a large population study, participants were informed that they would not be re-contacted regarding their TV results. After further evaluation of the assay by the laboratory and in consultation with the four institutional review boards supervising this research, our protocol was amended in December 2007 to notify future participants whose TV assay was positive that they should seek testing and evaluation for TV infection.

### T-ACASI interview

After obtaining consent, interviewers transferred respondents to a T-ACASI system.<sup>19</sup> T-ACASI has been shown to increase reporting of sensitive and stigmatised behaviours compared with traditional telephone surveys conducted by human interviewers.<sup>20–23</sup> The survey included questions on respondents' demographic characteristics, sexual behaviours, previous STIs and other health behaviours and took 13 min, on average, to complete.

### Specimen collection and testing

Participants who agreed to provide a specimen for STI testing were mailed a collection kit with instructions and consent form. Urine specimens were collected in containers with DNA/RNA Protect (Sierra Diagnostics, Sonoma, California, USA) to prevent nucleic acid degradation without refrigeration. Participants mailed their specimen in pre-addressed postage-paid shipping cartons to the UNC Hospitals' McLendon Clinical Laboratories via US Postal Service first class mail. Only specimens submitted with a signed consent form were tested.

### Laboratory processing

Urine (2 ml) and vaginal swab specimens were transferred to APTIMA Combo 2 Assay specimen transport tubes (Gen-Probe, Inc., San Diego, California, USA) upon receipt at the UNC Hospitals laboratory. *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* and *Chlamydia trachomatis* nucleic acids were detected using the Food and Drug Administration-approved APTIMA Combo 2 Assay (Gen-Probe, Inc.). *Trichomonas vaginalis* nucleic acids were detected by transcription-mediated amplification using Gen-Probe analyte-specific reagents using interpretive criteria previously established with vaginal swabs.<sup>24</sup> Infection with either organism was defined as a repeatedly positive test result.

### Sample weighting

We constructed sample weights to adjust for the unequal selection probabilities in our sample design and for non-response to (1) survey and (2) specimen collection. Initial sample weights were calculated as the inverse of the probability of selection within each of four sample strata with adjustments for differing probabilities of selection within households and for different numbers of eligible individuals and/or numbers of landline telephones in the household. Post-stratification adjustments were then applied to match the sample distributions to the 2006 American Community Survey<sup>25</sup> for the Baltimore

population by age, gender, race/ethnicity and education. Two separate sets of adjusted weights were constructed—one for the sample of interviewed respondents and a second for the sample of respondents who provided a biospecimen for testing.

### Outcome measures

Our outcome measures of incarceration were derived from survey questions on partner and personal incarceration. The first question asked: "Next we would like to know if any of your sexual partners have ever been incarcerated in prison, jail or a detention center for more than 24 hours?" response categories offered: (1) 'none of your partners has ever been incarcerated', (2) 'one of your partners was incarcerated in the past year' and (3) 'one of your partners has been incarcerated, but the incarceration took place more than one year ago'. The subsequent question asked: "Have you ever been incarcerated in prison, jail or a detention center for more than 24 hours?" with response categories (1) 'you have never been incarcerated', (2) 'you were incarcerated in the past year' and (3) 'you were incarcerated more than one year ago'. Respondents selected one response to each question. We note the possibility that respondents with multiple incarcerations or multiple partners who had been incarcerated, responses (2) and (3) could apply. Such unexpected reporting is unlikely to have occurred with sufficient frequency to alter the interpretation of our results.

### Statistical analyses

We examined respondent socio-demographic characteristics and respondent and partner incarceration status—defined as incarcerated within the past year, incarcerated >1 year ago and never incarcerated—separately by sex, using sample weights described above. Pearson  $\chi^2$  tests were calculated to assess the bivariable associations between each measure and sex. Unadjusted and adjusted prevalence ratios (PRs) and 95% CIs were estimated for the associations between measures of incarceration and indicators of high-risk sexual partnerships *within the past year*, including having five or more sexual partners, partner concurrency (defined as a partner who had other partners during the same time the respondent was having sex with that partner) and having a current STI (defined as a positive test result for GC, CT and/or TV). For each behavioural outcome, models were estimated using Poisson regression and calculated for women and men separately. Potential confounding variables included in multivariate models included age, race, education, employment and marital status, and recent illicit drug use (cocaine and/or injection use in the past year). All statistical analyses accounted for the complex survey design using the *svy* algorithms of Stata V.11.<sup>26</sup>

## RESULTS

### Survey execution

A sample of 73 318 telephone numbers was released over the survey period and 62 335 (85%) of these numbers were screened by the UMASS interviewers. The majority of telephone numbers (65.6%) were determined to be non-residential, 20 435 (27.9%) were confirmed as residential and the status of 4747 (6.5%) numbers could not be determined after repeated attempts. Of the 20 435 residential numbers, 14 199 (69.5%) were screened for eligibility and 4998 included one or more eligible household members aged 15–35 years. Survey interviews were completed with 2936 (58.7%) eligible respondents. Respondent refusal (28.9%), parental refusal to provide consent for a minor (1.3%) and inability to contact the eligible

respondent after repeated attempts (11.1%) were major reasons that interviews did not occur.

Seventy-three per cent of interviewed respondents (n=2136) provided a biological specimen for STI testing. Three specimens that were damaged and leaked during transit, one non-urine specimen and 12 specimens without signed consent forms were not tested by the laboratory. Provision of a specimen did not vary by race, gender, age group or marital status. However, survey respondents with less than a high school education (or who were more than two grades behind in school, if under age 20) were more likely to provide a biospecimen than more educated respondents, 81.5% vs 70.2%.

### Respondent characteristics by sex

The mean age of women and men participating in the survey was 25 and 24.2 years, respectively (table 1). Most respondents were Africa–America (66% of women and 58.4% of men), had a high school education or less (53% of women and 59.5% of men) and approximately three-fourths were never married. Approximately one-third of women (34%) and men (37.9%) were unemployed, and the majority of women (76%) and men (77.7%) were never married.

**Table 1** Characteristics of study respondents by sex: monitoring sexually transmitted infections in the Population, 2006–2009

	Women (n=1843) % (n)	Men (n=1093) % (n)	p Value
<b>Demographic</b>			
<b>Age (yrs)</b>			
15–19	24.0 (427)	31.0 (348)	0.008
20–24	25.7 (430)	21.0 (219)	
25–29	22.0 (443)	23.8 (254)	
30–35	28.4 (543)	24.2 (272)	
Mean	25.0	24.2	
<b>Race</b>			
Black	66.0 (1221)	58.4 (631)	0.001
Non-black	34.0 (621)	41.6 (461)	
<b>Education*</b>			
Less than high school	25.4 (438)	31.7 (341)	0.002
High school	27.6 (455)	27.8 (279)	
Some college/trade school	25.8 (468)	19.2 (207)	
College+	21.2 (480)	21.2 (264)	
<b>Employed†</b>			
Full-time	45.7 (863)	45.2 (514)	0.09
Part time	20.3 (371)	16.8 (190)	
Unemployed	34.0 (609)	37.9 (388)	
<b>Marital status</b>			
Never married	76.0 (1404)	77.7 (863)	0.04
Married	19.0 (349)	19.6 (202)	
Widowed or divorced	5.0 (89)	2.7 (28)	
<b>Incarceration status</b>			
<b>Ever incarcerated for &gt;24 h</b>			
Within the past year	3.5 (55)	10.2 (103)	<0.001
Over 1 year ago	7.8 (125)	14.0 (136)	
Never	88.7 (1658)	75.9 (850)	
<b>Partner incarcerated for &gt;24 h</b>			
Within the past year	15.3 (262)	4.5 (41)	<0.001
Over 1 year ago	21.7 (379)	6.1 (67)	
Never‡	63.0 (1185)	89.4 (974)	

Weighted %, unweighted sample Ns

\*About 7.2% of 15–17-year-olds and 9.8% of 18–20-year-olds were behind the expected grade in school.

†Only one-quarter (24.8%) of 15–17-year-olds reported full- or part time employment.

Among those aged 18 years and older, 27.6% of women and 27% of men were unemployed.

‡Respondents reporting 0 lifetime partners recoded as having no partners incarcerated.

### Estimates of incarceration by sex

One in 10 men (10.2%) reported incarceration in the past year and 14% reported incarceration >1 year ago in comparison to 3.5% and 7.8% of women, respectively (p<0.001). While 10.6% of men reported a sex partner with previous incarceration (4.5% within the past year and 6.1% >1 year ago), over one-third of women reported having a sexual partner who had been incarcerated (15.3% within the past year and 21.7% >1 year ago, p<0.001).

### Incarceration and high-risk sexual partnerships in the past year

#### Women

**Personal incarceration**  
Women who were incarcerated in the past year were over five times as likely to report five or more sexual partners in the past year compared with those with no history of incarceration (PR=5.6, 95% CI 2.5 to 12.4; table 2). After adjusting for respondent's age, race, education, employment, marital status and recent illicit drug use, the PR was 3.4 (95% CI 1.3 to 8.9). The reduction in PR was primarily a result of controlling for illicit drug use: one in 10 (10.6%) women who had been incarcerated in the past year also reported illicit drug use in the past year compared with 1.1% of women with no history of incarceration (p<0.001). Women who had been incarcerated >1 year ago were also more likely to report recent multiple partners compared with those with no incarceration history (PR=3.7, 95% CI 1.8 to 7.4). Adjustment for socio-demographic characteristics and drug use had little effect on this association (adjusted PR=3.1, 95% CI 1.5 to 6.4).

Recent incarceration among women also was strongly associated with partner concurrency in the past year. Women who reported incarceration in the past year were 2.7 times (95% CI 1.9 to 4.0) as likely to report a partner with concurrent sexual relationships in the past year compared with those who had not been incarcerated. After adjustment for socio-demographic variables and illicit drug use, the association between recent incarceration and partner concurrency remained robust (adjusted PR=2.4, 95% CI 1.6 to 3.7).

#### Partner's incarceration

Women who reported that a sexual partner had been incarcerated in the past year were three times more likely than those without an incarcerated partner to have five or more sexual partners in the past year (adjusted PR=4.7, 95% CI 2.4 to 9.0) or to have a partner in the past year with concurrent sexual partners (adjusted PR=2.8, 95% CI 2.1 to 3.7) in adjusted analyses. Among women whose partner(s) had been incarcerated more than a year ago, PRs were smaller, but the association with multiple partners and partner concurrency remained after adjusting for demographic characteristics and drug use (adjusted PR=3.2, 95% CI 1.6 to 6.2 and adjusted PR=2.0, 95% CI 1.5 to 2.7, respectively).

#### Men

The strong associations between personal and partner incarceration and high-risk sexual partnerships in the past year among women also were observed among men. Men who had been incarcerated in the past year or who had a partner that had recently been incarcerated were twice as likely to report multiple partners in the past year (adjusted PR=2.1, 95% CI 1.3 to 3.4 and adjusted PR=4.0, 95% CI 2.6 to 6.2, respectively). Likewise, men reporting personal or partner incarceration in the past year were more likely to have partner(s) in the past year with concurrent sexual partnerships compared with those who had

**Table 2** Association between incarceration and high-risk sexual partnerships by sex: monitoring sexually transmitted infections in the population, 2006–2009

	5+ Partners past year		
	%	PR (95% CI)	Adjusted PR* (95% CI)
<b>Women</b>			
Incarcerated for 24+ h in the past year (n=55)	16.2	5.6 (2.5 to 12.4)	3.4 (1.3 to 8.9)
Incarcerated for 24+ h >1 year ago (n=125)	10.7	3.7 (1.8 to 7.4)	3.1 (1.5 to 6.4)
Never incarcerated (n=1655)	2.9	1	1
Partner incarcerated 24+ h in the past year (n=260)	10.6	7.0 (3.5 to 13.8)	4.7 (2.4 to 9.0)
Partner incarcerated >1 year ago (n=379)	6.3	4.2 (2.1 to 8.4)	3.2 (1.6 to 6.2)
Partner never incarcerated (n=1184)	1.5	1	1
<b>Men</b>			
Incarcerated for 24+ h in the past year (n=103)	28.0	2.8 (1.8 to 4.4)	2.1 (1.3 to 3.4)
Incarcerated for 24+ h >1 year ago (n=136)	18.1	1.8 (1.2 to 2.9)	1.5 (0.8 to 2.6)
Never incarcerated (n=850)	9.9	1	1
Partner incarcerated 24+ h in the past year (n=41)	50.5	5.0 (3.3 to 7.8)	4.0 (2.6 to 6.2)
Partner incarcerated >1 year ago (n=67)	25.4	2.5 (1.5 to 4.2)	2.1 (1.2 to 3.5)
Partner never incarcerated (n=974)	10	1	1
<b>Partner past year had other partner(s)†</b>			
	%	PR (95% CI)	Adjusted PR* (95% CI)
<b>Women</b>			
Incarcerated for 24+ h in the past year (n=55)	45.5	2.7 (1.9 to 4.0)	2.4 (1.6 to 3.7)
Incarcerated for 24+ h >1 year ago (n=125)	34.0	2.0 (1.5 to 2.8)	1.7 (1.2 to 2.4)
Never incarcerated (n=1657)	16.6	1	1
Partner incarcerated 24+ h in the past year (n=262)	38.0	3.3 (2.5 to 4.4)	2.6 (2.0 to 3.5)
Partner incarcerated >1 year ago (n=379)	27.5	2.4 (1.8 to 3.2)	2.0 (1.5 to 2.7)
Partner never incarcerated (n=1184)	11.4	1	1
<b>Men</b>			
Incarcerated for 24+ h in the past year (n=103)	32.2	3.1 (2.0 to 4.7)	2.6 (1.7 to 4.1)
Incarcerated for 24+ h >1 year ago (n=136)	23.1	2.2 (1.4 to 3.4)	1.8 (1.1 to 3.0)
Never incarcerated (n=850)	10.4	1	1
Partner incarcerated 24+ h in the past year (n=41)	48.2	4.3 (2.7 to 6.8)	3.8 (2.4 to 5.9)
Partner incarcerated >1 year ago (n=67)	35.9	3.2 (2.1 to 4.9)	2.6 (1.6 to 4.2)
Partner never incarcerated (n=974)	11.2	1	1

\*PR adjusted for race, age, employment, education, marital status and illicit drug use (cocaine or injection drugs) in the past year.

†Partner concurrency was assessed from the survey question, "As far as you know, during the past year, did any of your partners have other sexual partners during the time you were having sex with them?"

never been incarcerated, and these associations remained significant after adjusting for socio-demographic characteristics and illicit drug use (adjusted PR=2.6, 95% CI 1.7 to 4.1 and adjusted PR=3.8, 95% CI 2.4 to 5.9, respectively).

### Incarceration and current STI

Women and men who reported incarceration in the past year were over twice as likely to test positive for an STI (women PR=2.1, 95% CI 1.2 to 3.8 and men PR=2.4, 95% CI 1.1 to 5.3; table 3). After adjustment for socio-demographic characteristics and illicit drug use, the associations were reduced and were no longer statistically significant (women: adjusted PR=1.5, 95% CI 0.9 to 2.7 and men: adjusted PR=1.7, 95% CI 0.8 to 3.9).

Among women, but not men, there was a strong association between having an incarcerated partner in the past year and a current STI. One-third (30.1%) of women reporting an incarcerated partner in the past year tested positive for an STI (PR=3.3, 95% CI 2.2 to 4.9) compared with 16% of those whose partner had been incarcerated more than a year ago (PR=1.7, 95% CI 1.1 to 2.8) and 9.2% of women who never had an incarcerated partner. After adjusting for socio-demographic variables and drug use, the association between having a partner incarcerated in the past year and a current STI remained (adjusted PR=2.3, 95% CI 1.5 to 3.5).

### DISCUSSION

Incarceration among young men in Baltimore is common. Nearly one in four men report previous incarceration and 10.1% report being in jail, prison or a detention centre in the past year. Consequently, over one-third of young female adults in Baltimore report a sexual partner with a history of incarceration and 15.3% report an incarcerated partner(s) in the past year. High-risk sexual partnerships—including multiple and concurrent partnerships in the past year—were disproportionately high among the previously incarcerated and those whose sexual partner(s) were recently incarcerated, and these associations remained robust independent of personal socio-demographic factors and illicit drug use.

Among women, incarceration of a partner also was associated with current STI independent of confounding factors including recent substance use, highlighting the potential importance of partner's incarceration as an STI risk factor among women. It has been asserted that incarceration may influence the STI risk of partners left behind in the community. When a partner is incarcerated, new and/or concurrent partners may be sought to meet financial and emotional needs.<sup>16</sup> Among men, incarceration of a partner was a marker for but was not an independent correlate of current STI; however, small sample sizes may have limited our ability to detect an effect.

**Table 3** Association between incarceration and current STI by sex: monitoring STIs in the population, 2006–2009

	Current STI		
	%	PR (95% CI)	Adjusted PR* (95% CI)
<b>Women</b>			
Incarcerated for 24+ h in the past year (n=46)	28.4	2.1 (1.2 to 3.8)	1.5 (0.9 to 2.7)
Incarcerated >1 year ago (n=99)	16.0	1.2 (0.7 to 2.1)	1 (0.6 to 1.7)
Never incarcerated (n=1175)	13.3	1	1
Partner incarcerated 24+ h in the past year (n=206)	30.1	3.3 (2.2 to 4.9)	2.3 (1.5 to 3.5)
Partner incarcerated >1 year ago (n=282)	16.0	1.7 (1.1 to 2.8)	1.3 (0.8 to 2.1)
Partner never incarcerated (n=825)	9.2	1	1
<b>Men</b>			
Incarcerated for 24+ h in the past year (n=72)	14.4	2.4 (1.1 to 5.3)	1.7 (0.8 to 3.9)
Incarcerated >1 year ago (n=114)	6.9	1.2 (0.5 to 2.8)	1.2 (0.5 to 3.1)
Never incarcerated (n=610)	6.0	1	1
Partner incarcerated 24+ h in the past year (n=30)	16.1	2.5 (0.8 to 7.6)	1.6 (0.5 to 5.3)
Partner incarcerated >1 year ago (n=52)	8.7	1.4 (0.6 to 4.0)	1.3 (0.4 to 3.6)
Partner never incarcerated (n=709)	6.4	1	1

Current STI indicates infection with trichomoniasis, chlamydial infection and/or gonorrhoea.

\*PRs adjusted for race, age, education, employment status, marital status and illicit drug use in the past year.

STI, sexually transmitted infection.

These results corroborate prior studies identifying incarceration as an independent correlate of STI-related risk behaviours.<sup>1 2 5 8 9</sup> Engagement in multiple partnerships and in partnerships with high-risk partners who were involved in concurrent sexual partnerships—important determinants of STI risk—were much more common among both men and women with a history of either personal incarceration or partner incarceration. Our findings suggest that incarceration may contribute to STI risk by influencing risk of engagement in high-risk behaviours and by influencing risk of contact with high-risk partners who engage in concurrent partnerships and who hence have elevated risk of infection. Given the high prevalence of incarceration in Baltimore, this study highlights the population-level importance of incarceration's effects on sexual behaviours and STI risk. Our results suggest that public health interventions, such as STI screening and treatment in correctional settings, could have a substantial impact on community health. Further qualitative and quantitative research to better understand the causal pathways through which incarceration of a partner leads to infection among those in the community is needed.

Unfortunately, our study did not measure the duration of incarceration, the number of times incarcerated or the reason for incarceration. Measures of incarceration, both respondent and partner, were self-reported, and although the use of T-ACASI has been shown to reduce biases associated with the reporting of sensitive behaviours,<sup>20–23</sup> our estimates may be underestimated

due to respondent recall or knowledge of partners' incarceration history. The survey did not collect detailed data on incarceration within specific partnerships or on the nature of those partnerships, a result of limitations on the length of the T-ACASI questionnaire. Our data were cross-sectional, so it is not possible to determine temporal associations between risk behaviours and timing of incarceration; however, we attempted to minimise these effects by examining measures of behavioural outcomes that occurred in the past year. Finally, we note that results from the MSSP can only be generalised to the population that was sampled, and this was restricted to English-speaking households with landline telephones. US Census data indicate that over 96% of the population spoke English and that 92.7% of Baltimore households were telephone accessible during the survey period.<sup>25 27</sup> To the extent that household instability and poverty may be associated with both the likelihood of incarceration and the absence of landline telephones, our survey may underestimate the proportion of the Baltimore population that has experienced incarceration.

Our findings highlight the marked racial and educational disparities in incarceration in Baltimore. Our results suggest that one-third of black men and one-third of men with a high school education or less had a history of incarceration, while nearly one-half of black women and over four in 10 women with a high school education or less reported an incarcerated sexual partner. Social policies and population-level interventions that encourage educational achievement and school completion, that address racial and income disparities in arrests and incarceration, that offer men and women equal access to resources and opportunities and that encourage youth employment and training should be encouraged as an important goal in itself and as a means of addressing the factors driving STI racial disparities in the US population.

**Funding** Support for this research was provided by National Institutes of Health grant HD047163 to Research Triangle Institute.

**Competing interests** None.

**Ethics approval** Ethics approval was provided by institutional review boards of Research Triangle Institute, University of North Carolina, University of Massachusetts and Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions.

**Contributors** SMR, CFT, WCM and EE contributed to the conception and design of the study. SMR, CFT, WCM and EE contributed to the acquisition of data and SMR,

### Key messages

- ▶ Incarceration may increase sexually transmitted infection risk by influencing engagement in high-risk behaviours and contact with high-risk sexual partners.
- ▶ Marked racial and education disparities persist in incarcerated populations.
- ▶ Public health interventions, such as sexually transmitted infection screening and treatment in urban correctional facilities, could have an important impact on community-level health

CFT and WCM contributed to the questionnaire design. SMR and ST contributed to data management. SMR, MRK, ST, CFT and WCM contributed to analysis and interpretation of data. All authors contributed to writing of the manuscript.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

## REFERENCES

1. **Khan MR**, Wohl DA, Weir SS, *et al*. Incarceration and risky sexual partnerships in a southern US city. *J Urban Health* 2008;**85**:100–13.
2. **Epperson MW**, Khan MR, El-Bassel N, *et al*. A longitudinal study of incarceration and HIV risk among methadone maintained men and their primary female partners. *AIDS Behav* 2011;**15**:347–53.
3. **Adimora AA**, Schoenbach VJ, Martinson FE, *et al*. Concurrent partnerships among rural African Americans with recently reported heterosexually transmitted HIV infection. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2003;**34**:423–9.
4. **Khan MR**, Behrend L, Adimora AA, *et al*. Dissolution of primary intimate relationships during incarceration and implications for post-release HIV transmission. *J Urban Health* 2011;**88**:365–75. PMID:21286825.
5. **Khan MR**, Behrend L, Adimora AA, *et al*. Dissolution of primary intimate relationships during incarceration and associations with post-release STI/HIV risk behavior in a Southeastern city. *Sex Transm Dis* 2011;**38**:43–7.
6. **Khan MR**, Miller WC, Schoenbach VJ, *et al*. Timing and duration of incarceration and high-risk sexual partnerships among African Americans in North Carolina. *Ann Epidemiol* 2008;**18**:403–10.
7. **Epperson MW**, Khan MR, El-Bassel N, *et al*. A Longitudinal study of incarceration and HIV risk among methadone maintained men and their primary female partners. *AIDS Behav* 2011;**15**:347–55.
8. **Khan MR**, Doherty IA, Schoenbach VJ, *et al*. Incarceration and high-risk sex partnerships among men in the United States. *J Urban Health* 2009;**86**:584–601. PMID 19459050.
9. **Khan MR**, Epperson MW, Mateau-Gelabert P, *et al*. Incarceration, sex with an STI- or HIV-infected partner and infection with an STI or HIV in Bushwick, Brooklyn: a social network perspective. *Am J Public Health* 2011;**101**:1110–17. PMID 21233443.
10. **Khan MR**, Bolyard M, Sandoval M, *et al*. Social and behavioral correlates of sexually transmitted infection - and HIV-discordant sexual partners in Bushwick, Brooklyn, New York. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2009;**51**:470–85.
11. **Belenko S**, Dembo R, Welland D, *et al*. Recently arrested adolescents are at high risk for sexually transmitted diseases. *Sex Transm Dis* 2008;**35**:758–63.
12. **Centers for Disease Control and Prevention**. *Sexually Transmitted Diseases in Persons Entering Corrections Facilities*. <http://www.cdc.gov/std/stats09/corrections-figs.htm>
13. **Braithwaite RL**, Arriola KR. Male prisoners and HIV prevention: a call for action ignored. *Am J Public Health* 2003;**93**:759–63.
14. **Hammett TM**, Harmon MP, Rhodes W. The burden of infectious disease among inmates of and releases from US correctional facilities, 1997. *Am J Public Health* 2002;**92**:1789–94.
15. **Adimora AA**, Schoenbach V. Social context, sexual networks, and racial disparities in rates of sexually transmitted infections. *J Infect Dis* 2005;**191**:S115–22.
16. **Browning S**, Miller S, Lisa M. Criminal incarceration dividing the ties that bind: black men and their families. *J Afr Am Men* 2001;**6**:87–102.
17. **Eggleston E**, Rogers SM, Turner CF, *et al*. *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection among 15- to 35-year olds in Baltimore, MD. *Sex Transm Dis* 2011;**38**:743–9.
18. **Roman AM**, Eggleston EE, Turner CF. Effects of sampling and screening strategies in an RDD survey. *Proceedings of the 2008 Joint Statistical Meetings*; 4-7 August 2008, Denver CO.
19. **Cooley PC**, Miller HG, Gribble JN, *et al*. Automating telephone surveys: using T-ACASI to obtain data on sensitive topics. *Comp Human Behav* 2000;**6**:1–11.
20. **Gribble JN**, Miller HG, Catania JA, *et al*. The impact of T-ACASI interviewing on reported drug use among men who have sex with men. *Subst Use Misuse* 2000;**35**:869–90.
21. **Turner CF**, Villarreal MA, Rogers SM, *et al*. Reducing bias in telephone survey estimates of the prevalence of drug use: a randomized trial of telephone audio-CASI. *Addiction* 2005;**100**:1432–44.
22. **Villarreal MA**, Turner CF, Rogers SM, *et al*. T-ACASI reduces bias in STD measurements: the National STD and Behavior Measurement Experiment. *Sex Transm Dis* 2008;**35**:499–506.
23. **Villarreal MA**, Turner CF, Eggleston EE, *et al*. Same-gender sex in the USA: impact of T-ACASI on prevalence estimates. *Pub Opin Q* 2006;**70**:166–96.
24. **Huppert JS**, Mortensen JE, Reed JL, *et al*. Rapid antigen testing compares favorably with transcription-mediated amplification assay for the detection of *Trichomonas vaginalis* in young women. *Clin Infect Dis* 2007;**45**:194–8.
25. **U.S. Bureau of the Census**. *American Community Survey*. Baltimore City, Maryland, 2006.
26. **StataCorp**. *Stata Statistical Software: Release 10*. College Station, TX: StataCorp LP, 2007.
27. **Blumberg SJ**, Luke JV, Davidson G, *et al*. Wireless substitution: State-level estimates from the National Health Interview Survey January-December 2007. *Natl Health Stat Rep* 2009;**14**:1–13, 16.



## Incarceration, high-risk sexual partnerships and sexually transmitted infections in an urban population

Susan M Rogers, Maria R Khan, Sylvia Tan, et al.

*Sex Transm Infect* 2012 88: 63-68

doi: 10.1136/sextrans-2011-050280

---

Updated information and services can be found at:  
<http://sti.bmj.com/content/88/1/63.full.html>

---

*These include:*

### References

This article cites 23 articles, 3 of which can be accessed free at:  
<http://sti.bmj.com/content/88/1/63.full.html#ref-list-1>

Article cited in:

<http://sti.bmj.com/content/88/1/63.full.html#related-urls>

### Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

---

### Notes

---

To request permissions go to:

<http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>

To order reprints go to:

<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to BMJ go to:

<http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/>