

Vector Calculus and Differential Forms

John Terilla

Math 208 Spring 2015

1 Vector fields

Definition 1. Let U be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n . A *tangent vector* in U is a pair $(p, v) \in U \times \mathbb{R}^n$. We think of (p, v) as consisting of a vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ lying at the point $p \in U$. Often, we denote a tangent vector by v_p instead of (p, v) . For $p \in U$, the set of all tangent vectors at p is denoted by $T_p(U)$. The set of all tangent vectors in U is denoted $T(U)$.

For a fixed point $p \in U$, the set $T_p(U)$ is a vector space with addition and scalar multiplication defined by $v_p + w_p = (v + w)_p$ and $\alpha v_p = (\alpha v)_p$. Note that as a vector space, $T_p(U)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n .

Definition 2. A vector field on U is a function $X : U \rightarrow T(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying $X(p) \in T_p(U)$.

Remark 1. Notice that any function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ defines a vector field X by the rule $X(p) = f(p)_p$. Denote the set of vector fields on U by $\mathfrak{Vect}(U)$.

Note that $\mathfrak{Vect}(U)$ is a vector space with addition and scalar multiplication defined pointwise (which makes sense since $T_p(U)$ is a vector space):

$$(X + Y)(p) := X(p) + Y(p) \text{ and } (\alpha X)(p) = \alpha(X(p)).$$

Definition 3. Denote the set of functions on the set U by $\mathfrak{Fun}(U) = \{f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$. Let $C^1(U)$ be the subset of $\mathfrak{Fun}(U)$ consisting of functions with continuous derivatives and let $C^\infty(U)$ be the subset of $\mathfrak{Fun}(U)$ consisting of smooth functions, i.e., infinitely differentiable functions.

In a sense, $\mathfrak{Vect}(U)$ is like a vector space over $\mathfrak{Fun}(U)$ in that there is a map

$$\mathfrak{Fun}(U) \times \mathfrak{Vect}(U) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Vect}(U)$$

defined by $(f \times X)(p) = f(p)X(p)$ that works like scalar multiplication. Because in this case the “scalars” are functions instead of real numbers, the correct terminology is that $\mathfrak{Vect}(U)$ is a *module* over $\mathfrak{Fun}(U)$ —the expression “vector space” only applies if the scalars have multiplicative inverses, which functions do not always have. Still, as a module, $\mathfrak{Vect}(U)$ has a nice $\mathfrak{Fun}(U)$ basis.

Definition 4. Let $U_i \in \mathfrak{Vect}(U)$ be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} U_1(p) &:= (1, 0, \dots, 0)_p \\ U_2(p) &:= (0, 1, \dots, 0)_p \\ &\vdots \\ U_n(p) &:= (0, \dots, 0, 1)_p. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for any vector field $X \in \mathfrak{Vect}(U)$, there exist unique functions $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathfrak{Fun}(U)$ so that

$$X = f_1 U_1 + f_2 U_2 + \dots + f_n U_n.$$

Now, we defined the directional derivative of a function f at a point p in the direction v . It is more efficient to define the derivative a function f with respect to a tangent vector v_p .

Definition 5. Let $v_p \in T_p(U)$ and $f \in C^1(U) \subset \mathfrak{Fun}(U)$. We define $v_p[f]$ to be the directional derivative of f at the point p in the direction v , provided it exists.

This definition gives a way for a vector field to act on a function.

Definition 6. Let $X \in \mathfrak{Vect}(U)$ and $f \in C^1(U)$. We define $X(f) \in \mathfrak{Fun}(U)$ by $X(f)(p) = X(p)[f]$.

So, there is a map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{Vect}(U) \times C^1(U) &\rightarrow \mathfrak{Fun}(U) \\ X, f &\mapsto X(f) \end{aligned}$$

as defined above.

Problem 1. Let $X, Y \in \mathfrak{Vect}(U)$, $f, g, h \in C^1(U)$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Prove that

(a) $(fX + gY)[h] = fX[h] + gY[h]$

(b) $X[\alpha f + \beta g] = \alpha X[f] + \beta X[g]$

(c) $X[fg] = X[f] \cdot g + fX[g]$

(d) $X = \sum_i X[x_i]U_i$.

One can (and maybe should!) think of vector fields as functions $C^1(U) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Fun}(U)$ satisfying properties (a), (b), and (c) above.

Note that $U_i[f] = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$. For this reason, the vector field U_i is sometimes denoted by “ $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$.”

Definition 7. Suppose $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is differentiable. We define

$$F_* : TU \rightarrow T\mathbb{R}^k$$

$$v_p \mapsto F_*(v_p)$$

by $F_*(v_p) := (D_p F(v))_{F(p)}$.

Then, we have nice theorems such as

Inverse Function Theorem. Let $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and suppose that $F_{*p} : T_p U \rightarrow T_{F(p)} \mathbb{R}^n$ is an isomorphism at some point p . Then there exists a neighborhood \mathcal{U} containing p and a neighborhood \mathcal{V} containing $F(p)$, such that $F : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is a diffeomorphism (i.e., a differentiable bijection with a differentiable inverse).

and nice problems such as

Problem 2. Show that F_* “preserves velocity vectors.” That is, let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be open sets. Suppose $\alpha : I \rightarrow U$ is a curve in $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$. Let $\beta = F \circ \alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ be the image of α under F . Prove that $F_*(\alpha') = \beta'$. Here, we interpret α' as a tangent vector $\alpha'(t)_{\alpha(t)}$.

2 One-forms

For any real vector space V , the vector space $V^* = \text{hom}(V, \mathbb{R})$ is called *the dual space* of V . The dual space consists of all linear functions from V to the ground field \mathbb{R} .

Definition 8. A cotangent vector in U is a pair $(p, v^*) \in U \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$. We think of (v^*, p) as consisting of a linear functional $v^* : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ at the point p . Often, we denote a cotangent vector by v_p^* instead of (p, v^*) . For $p \in U$, the set of all cotangent vectors at p is denoted by $T_p^*(U)$. The set of all cotangent vectors in U is denoted $T^*(U)$

For a fixed point p , $T_p^*(U)$ is a vector space with addition and scalar multiplication defined pointwise. The vector space $T_p^*(U)$ is naturally isomorphic to $(T_p(U))^*$.

Definition 9. A 1-form on U is a function $\phi : U \rightarrow T^*(U)$ satisfying $\phi(p) \in T_p^*(U)$ for all $p \in U$.

Just as with vector fields, the space of 1-forms defines a module over $\mathfrak{Fun}(U)$: for a function $f \in \mathfrak{Fun}(U)$ and a 1-form ϕ , we define a 1-form $f\phi$ by $f\phi(p) = f(p)\phi(p)$.

One may think of a 1-form as a function $\phi : T(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\phi(\alpha v_p + \beta w_p) = \alpha\phi(v_p) + \beta\phi(w_p)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $v_p, w_p \in T_p(U)$. Here, for simplicity, we've written $\phi(v_p)$ instead of $\phi(p)(v_p)$. In this sense, one forms are dual to vector fields. We have a map

$$\begin{aligned} \{1 \text{ forms} \} \times \mathfrak{Vect} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{Fun} \\ (\phi, X) &\mapsto \phi(X) \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi(X)(p) := \phi_p(X(p))$.

Definition 10. Given a function $f \in C^1(U)$, we define a 1-form df by $df(v_p) = v_p[f]$ for all $v_p \in T(U)$.

Just as with vector fields, at each point $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the cotangent space $T_p^*(U)$ is naturally a vector space and is naturally isomorphic to $(T_p(U))^*$. So, you can add one forms and get one forms and multiply functions by one forms to get one forms. This makes the space of 1-forms into a module over $\mathfrak{Fun}(U)$, which means

$$f(g\phi) = (fg)\phi \text{ and } (f+g)\phi = f\phi + g\phi \text{ and } f(\phi + \psi) = f\phi + f\psi$$

for functions f, g and 1-forms ϕ, ψ .

For the functions x_1, \dots, x_n , the one forms dx_1, \dots, dx_n are dual to the vector fields U_1, \dots, U_n . As the next problem shows, $\{dx_1, \dots, dx_n\}$ define a $\mathfrak{Fun}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ basis for the space of 1-forms.

Problem 3. Show that for any 1-form ϕ , there exist unique functions f_1, \dots, f_n with $\phi = f_1 dx_1 + \dots + f_n dx_n$.

Problem 4. Prove that if $f \in \mathfrak{Fun}(U)$ is differentiable, then $df = \sum_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i$.

Problem 5. Let $f, g \in \mathfrak{Fun}(U)$, let $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable. Prove that

(a) $d(f + g) = df + dg$

(b) $d(fg) = (df)(g) + f(dg)$

(c) $d(h \circ f) = (h' \circ f)df$

Definition 11. Let $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ be differentiable. We define a map, called the pullback,

$$F^* : \{1\text{-forms on } \mathbb{R}^k\} \rightarrow \{1\text{-forms on } U\}$$

$$\phi \mapsto F^*(\phi)$$

where $F^*(\phi)(v_p) = \phi_{F(p)}(F_*(v_p))$.

Problem 6. Let $F : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be defined by $F(x, y, z) = (2x^2y^2, xye^{4z})$. Let $\phi = x^3ydx + \sin(xy)dy$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 + 2xy$. Compute $F^*(df)$ and $F^*(\phi)$.

Problem 7. Prove that

(a) $F^*(\phi + \psi) = F^*(\phi) + F^*(\psi)$

(b) $F^*(df) = d(f(F))$

Now we can define the integral of a one form over a curve.

Definition 12. Let $\alpha : [a, b] \rightarrow U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a curve and ϕ be a one form in U . We define

$$\int_{\alpha} \phi = \int \alpha^*(\phi).$$

This definition summarizes, quite neatly, the concept of line integral that appeared in the textbook (and supplies meaning for the mysterious “ dt ” that appears throughout calculus). To explain the connection between the integral of a one-form (Definition 12) and the definition of a line integral (defined

in Apostol, Vol II, on page 324), one should first understand how the pullback works in coordinates. Suppose $\alpha : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined by $\alpha(t) = (\alpha_1(t), \dots, \alpha_n(t))$ and $\phi = f_1 dx_1 + \dots + f_n dx_n$. Then the pullback $\alpha^*(\phi)$ is a one form on \mathbb{R} . Therefore, it must have the form $g(t)dt$ for some function $g(t)$. To determine $g(t)$, apply $\alpha^*(\phi)$ to the vector field $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$:

$$\alpha^*(\phi) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) = \phi \left(\alpha_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \right) = \phi(\alpha'(t)).$$

Therefore, in coordinates, we have

$$\alpha^*(\phi) = (f_1(\alpha_1(t), \dots, \alpha_n(t))\alpha'_1(t) + \dots + f_n(\alpha_1(t), \dots, \alpha_n(t))\alpha'_n(t)) dt. \quad (1)$$

So, by definition of $\int_{\alpha} \phi$, we have

$$\int_{\alpha} \phi = \int_{[a,b]} \alpha^*(\phi) = \int_{[a,b]} f(\alpha(t)) \cdot \alpha'(t) dt.$$

Which is precisely the definition of the line integral $\int_{\alpha} f$ where f is the vector field defined by the function $(f_1, \dots, f_n) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$.

Example 1. Let's do an example in \mathbb{R}^2 (well, in $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0, 0\}$). Let $\alpha : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be the unit circle $\alpha(t) = (\cos(t), \sin(t))$. Consider the 1-form

$$\phi = -\frac{y}{x^2 + y^2} dx + \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} dy.$$

Then $\alpha^*(\phi)$ will be a 1-form in \mathbb{R} which by Equation (1) is given by

$$\alpha^*(\phi) = -\frac{\sin(t)}{\cos^2(t) + \sin^2(t)} (-\sin(t)dt) + \frac{\cos(t)}{\cos^2(t) + \sin^2(t)} (\cos(t)dt) = dt.$$

Thus,

$$\int_{\alpha} \phi = \int_{[0,2\pi]} \alpha^*(\phi) = \int_0^{2\pi} dt = 2\pi.$$

In particular, we have the following beautiful theorem.

Theorem 1. *If $\phi = df$ for some function f , then*

$$\int_{\alpha} \phi = f(q) - f(p)$$

where $p = \alpha(a)$ and $q = \alpha(b)$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\alpha} \phi &= \int_{\alpha} df = \int_a^b \alpha^*(df) = \int_a^b d(f \circ \alpha) \\ &= \int_a^b \frac{\partial(f \circ \alpha)}{\partial t} dt = f(\alpha(b)) - f(\alpha(a)) = f(q) - f(p).\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 1. *If $\phi = df$ and α is a closed curve, then $\int_{\alpha} \phi = 0$.*

3 The exterior algebra

Before defining a k -form, I will review a little bit about linear algebra. Let V be a finite dimensional vector space. There exist larger algebraic structures in which V fits. Here we define ΛV , the free exterior algebra of V .

Without getting into too much detail, here is the universal mapping property defining ΛV .

Definition 13. The exterior algebra of V is defined to be the unital, associative algebra ΛV with an inclusion $i : V \rightarrow \Lambda V$ having the following universal property: for every algebra A and every linear map $\sigma : V \rightarrow A$ with $\sigma(v)\sigma(v) = 0$ for all $v \in V$, there exists a unique algebra homomorphism $\sigma' : \Lambda V \rightarrow A$ with $\sigma' \circ i = \sigma$.

In practical terms, the exterior algebra ΛV consists of elements that are linear combinations of “wedge” products of vectors in V , where the following rules hold: For all $u, v, w \in V$ and $\alpha \in k$, we have

- linearity over scalar multiplication: $\alpha v \wedge w = \alpha(v \wedge w) = v \wedge (\alpha w)$
- linearity over addition: $v \wedge (w + u) = v \wedge w + v \wedge u$.
- associativity: $(v \wedge w) \wedge u = v \wedge (w \wedge u)$
- skew-symmetry: $v \wedge v = 0$.

Problem 8. Show that $v \wedge v = 0$ for all $v \in V$ implies that

- (a) $v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k = 0$ if v_1, \dots, v_k are linearly dependent.

$$(b) \quad v \wedge u = -u \wedge v$$

Computations in the exterior algebra can be handled conveniently using a basis of V . First, for $1 \leq k \leq n$, we define the k -fold exterior product of V , which is a vector space $\Lambda^k V$, of dimension $\binom{n}{k}$. If V has basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$, then $\Lambda^k V$ is a vector space with basis $\{e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k}\}$ where $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$. It is sometimes helpful to use a multi-index notation. If I is the multi-index $I = \{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k\}$, then we may abbreviate $e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k}$ by e_I . For example, $e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_5$ might be abbreviated by $e_{1,2,5}$.

Example 2. Suppose V is a four dimensional vector space with basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$, then $\Lambda^k V$ is a vector space with bases:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^1 V &= \text{span}\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\} \\ \Lambda^2 V &= \text{span}\{e_1 \wedge e_2, e_1 \wedge e_3, e_1 \wedge e_4, e_2 \wedge e_3, e_2 \wedge e_4, e_3 \wedge e_4\} \\ \Lambda^3 V &= \text{span}\{e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3, e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_4, e_1 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4, e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4\} \\ \Lambda^4 V &= \text{span}\{e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, the wedge product defines a bilinear map, determined on bases by,

$$\wedge : \Lambda^k V \times \Lambda^l V \rightarrow \Lambda^{k+l} V$$

where one uses the alternation rule $e_i \wedge e_j = -e_j \wedge e_i$ to reorder the result in terms of the basis of $\Lambda^{k+l} V$. All together we set the exterior algebra to be the sum of the k -fold exterior products

$$\Lambda V = k \oplus \Lambda^1 V \oplus \Lambda^2 V \oplus \dots \oplus \Lambda^n V$$

and the wedge product

$$\wedge : \Lambda V \times \Lambda V \rightarrow \Lambda V$$

so that ΛV becomes an associated algebra over k . It is the “most general” skew-symmetric algebra containing V (this is the meaning of the universal property defining it).

Example 3. Here’s a sample computation:

$$\begin{aligned} (3e_1 \wedge e_3 + 5e_1 \wedge e_2) \wedge (2e_1 \wedge e_2 - e_2 \wedge 2e_3) &= -3e_1 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_2 + 10e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 \\ &= 3e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 + 10e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 \\ &= 13e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3. \end{aligned}$$

Problem 9. Prove that \wedge is associative and graded commutative. That is, prove that

$$(a) (\phi \wedge \psi) \wedge \eta = \phi \wedge (\psi \wedge \eta) \text{ for all } \phi, \psi, \eta \in \Lambda V$$

$$(b) \phi \wedge \psi = (-1)^{kl} \psi \wedge \phi \text{ if } \phi \in \Lambda^k V \text{ and } \psi \in \Lambda^l V.$$

3.1 Bilinear and multilinear functions

Let V be a vector space. A bilinear form on V is a function $f : V \times V \rightarrow k$ that is linear in each component. That is,

$$f(au + v, w) = af(u, w) + f(v, w) \text{ and } f(u, av + w) = af(u, v) + f(u, w).$$

A bilinear form is called symmetric if $f(u, v) = f(v, u)$ for all u, v and is called skew-symmetric if $f(v, v) = 0$ for all v (which implies that $f(u, v) = -f(v, u)$). In general, a multilinear form is a function $f : V^{\times n} \rightarrow k$ that is linear in each component. A multilinear form is called symmetric if $f(v_1, \dots, v_n) = f(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(n)})$ for every permutation $\sigma \in S_n$. A multilinear form is called alternating if $f(v_1, \dots, v_n) = 0$ if $v_i = v_j$ for any $i \neq j$ (which implies that $f(v_1, \dots, v_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n) = -f(v_1, \dots, v_{i+1}, v_i, \dots, v_n)$.)

Now suppose that V is finite dimensional with basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. There is a bijection between alternating multilinear forms on V and elements of $\Lambda^n V^*$. The correspondence

$$\{f : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow k \text{ is an alternating } k\text{-linear form}\} \longleftrightarrow \Lambda^n V^*$$

$$f \leftrightarrow \omega$$

where $\omega = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k} f(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}) e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k}$. So, for example if V is 4 dimensional and $f : V \times V \times V \rightarrow k$ is an alternating form defined by $f(e_1, e_2, e_3) = 10$, $f(e_1, e_3, e_4) = 0$, $f(e_1, e_2, e_4) = 0$, $f(e_2, e_3, e_4) = 0$, then we can associate f to the element $\frac{1}{3!}(10e_1^* \wedge e_2^* \wedge e_3^* - 10e_1^* \wedge e_3^* \wedge e_2^* + \dots) = 10e_1^* \wedge e_2^* \wedge e_3^*$. Likewise, the element

$$\phi = 7e_1^* \wedge e_2^* \wedge e_4^* \in \Lambda^3 V^*$$

acts as a tri-linear form on V by

$$\omega(u, v, w) = 7u_1v_2w_3 - 7u_2v_1w_3 + 7u_2v_3w_1 - 7u_3v_2w_1 + 7u_3v_1w_2 - 7u_1v_3w_2.$$

Problem 10. (a) Let $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 & -2 \\ -3 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Define a bilinear form $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ by $f(v, w) = v^t B w$. Check that f is bilinear and alternating. Write down the associated element of $\Lambda^2((\mathbb{R}^2)^*)$ corresponding to f

(b) Let V be the vector space of real polynomials of degree 5 or smaller. Define $b : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $b(p, q) = p'(1) - q'(1)$. Check that b is bilinear and alternating. Choose a basis (wisely) for V and write down an element of $\Lambda^2 V^*$ corresponding to b .

4 Differential forms

For a fixed point p , the space $T_p^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a vector space and we form the k -fold exterior power $\Lambda^k T_p^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We set $\Lambda^k T^*(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{(p, w) : p \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } w \in \Lambda^k T_p^*(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$.

Definition 14. A k -form on \mathbb{R}^n is a function $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \Lambda^k T^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying $\phi(p) \in \Lambda^k T_p^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let us denote the set of k -forms by $\Omega^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$. A differential form is a sum of k -forms and we denote the set of differential forms by $\Omega^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Alternatively, one could define a k -form on \mathbb{R}^n to be a function that assigns to each point $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ a k -linear function $\phi_p : (T_p \mathbb{R}^n)^{\times k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Or, taken over all points at once, a k -form defines a function

$$\phi : \mathfrak{Vect}(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\times k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Fun}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

by

$$\phi(X_1, \dots, X_k)(p) = \phi_p(X_1(p), \dots, X_k(p)).$$

Since ϕ_p is multilinear and alternating for each p , the k -form ϕ is multilinear over $\mathfrak{Fun}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. So, one has the alternative

Definition 15. A k -form ϕ is a function

$$\phi : \mathfrak{Vect}(\mathbb{R}^n)^{\times k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Fun}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

satisfying

- $\phi(X_1, \dots, fX_i, \dots, X_k) = f\phi(X_1, \dots, X_i, \dots, X_k)$ for all functions $f \in \mathfrak{Fun}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- $\phi(X_1, \dots, X_i+Y_i, \dots, X_k) = \phi(X_1, \dots, Y_i, \dots, X_k) + \phi(X_1, \dots, X_i, \dots, X_k)$ for all vector fields $X_1, \dots, X_k \in \mathfrak{Vect}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- $\phi(X_1, \dots, X_k) = 0$ whenever $X_i = X_j$ for $i \neq j$.

Since at each point $\Lambda^k T_p^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a vector space, $\Omega^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\Omega^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n)$ become a module over $\mathfrak{Fun}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (just as with vector fields and one-forms). In addition, $\Omega^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^n)$ becomes an associative algebra with the wedge product defined pointwise.

We already have a convenient $\mathfrak{Fun}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ basis for one forms. Every k -form can be expressed uniquely as a linear combination $\sum_I f_I dx_I$, where the sum is over all multi-indices $I = \{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k\}$. For example, every $\phi \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ has a unique expression

$$\phi = f dx \wedge dy + g dx \wedge dz + h dy \wedge dz$$

for functions f, g, h . Sometimes it's handy, for example, to express the form $f dx \wedge dy$ as $-f dy \wedge dx$.

For any differentiable function f , we have defined a 1-form df . The function d extends to give a map

$$d : \Omega^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

The property determining the extension is

$$d(\phi \wedge \psi) = d\phi \wedge \psi + (-1)^k \phi \wedge d\psi \text{ if } \psi \in \Omega^k V.$$

But, to be concrete, we make the following definition:

Definition 16. The exterior derivative is the linear map

$$d : \Omega^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}$$

defined by

$$d \left(\sum_I f_I dx_I \right) = \sum_I df_I \wedge dx_I = \sum_I \left(\sum_j \frac{\partial f_I}{\partial x_j} dx_j \right) \wedge dx_I.$$

Example 4. Let $\phi = e^{xy}dx + z^2x^2y^3dy \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d\phi &= xe^{xy}dy \wedge dx + 2z^2xy^3dx \wedge dy + 2zx^2y^3dz \wedge dy \\ &= (-xe^{xy}dy + 2z^2xy^3)dx \wedge dy - 2zx^2y^3dy \wedge dz. \end{aligned}$$

Problem 11. Check, using the definition above, that $d(\phi \wedge \psi) = d\phi \wedge \psi + (-1)^k\phi \wedge d\psi$ if $\phi \in \Omega^kV$.

Problem 12. Check explicitly that $d(df) = 0$ for any function f , $d(d\phi) = 0$ for any one form ϕ , and $d(d\omega) = 0$ for any differential form ω .

By the problem above,

Theorem 2. *The exterior derivative d satisfies $d^2 = 0$.*

Definition 17. Differential forms in $\ker(d)$ are called closed, forms in $\text{im}(d)$ are called exact. In other words, we call ϕ closed iff $d\phi = 0$ and we call ϕ exact if there exists a differential form η with $d\eta = \phi$.

Since $d^2 = 0$, $\text{im}(d) \subset \ker(d)$. That is, if ϕ is exact, then ϕ is closed. This is the content of theorem 10.6 in Apostol Vol II. However, not all closed forms are exact. For example, the differential form

$$\phi = -\frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}dx + \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}dy$$

is closed and not exact. (Why?) The question as to whether a closed form is exact depends importantly on the topology of the set on which ϕ is defined. Theorems 10.9 and 11.11 in Apostol Vol II begin to answer the question. The starting point is the following important theorem

The Poincare Lemma. If ϕ is a C^1 one form defined in an open rectangle containing the origin, then ϕ is closed iff ϕ is exact.

Proof. We give the proof for a one form in \mathbb{R}^2 . Let $\phi = gdx + hdy$ and suppose $d\phi = 0$. Since $d\phi = \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}dy \wedge dx + \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}dx \wedge dy$, $d\phi = 0$ means

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}.$$

We define a function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows. For $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, define $\alpha : [0, 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ by

$$\alpha(t) = \begin{cases} (xt, 0) & \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ (x, (t-1)y) & \text{for } 1 < t \leq 2. \end{cases}$$

Then, set $f(x, y) = \int_{\alpha} \phi$. Now we compute $df = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} dy$ (in order to see that $df = \phi$). First, Use the definition of $\int_{\alpha} \phi$ and some one variable substitutions to write

$$f(x, y) = \int_0^1 g(tx, 0) x dt + \int_1^2 h(x, (t-1)y) y dt = \int_0^x g(u, 0) du + \int_0^y h(x, u) du.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_0^x g(u, 0) du + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_0^y h(x, u) du \\ &= g(x, 0) + \int_0^y \frac{\partial h(x, u)}{\partial x} du \\ &= g(x, 0) + \int_0^y \frac{\partial g(x, u)}{\partial u} du \\ &= g(x, 0) + g(x, y) - g(x, 0) \\ &= g(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \int_0^x g(u, 0) du + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \int_0^y h(x, u) du \\ &= h(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

So, we have $df = g(x, y)dx + h(x, y)dy = \phi$, completing the proof. □

4.1 Surface integrals

Just as we define integrals of one forms over curves, we can define integrals of two forms over surfaces, integrals of three forms over 3-dimensional solids, etc...

First, we need to define the pullback of a j -form by a differentiable function. This definition naturally extends the definition for the pullback of a 1-form.

Definition 18. Let $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ be differentiable. We define a map, called the pullback,

$$\begin{aligned} F^* : \Omega^j(\mathbb{R}^k) &\rightarrow \Omega^j(\mathbb{R}^n) \\ \phi &\mapsto F^*(\phi) \end{aligned}$$

where $F^*(\phi)((v_1)_p, \dots, (v_j)_p) = \phi_{F(p)}(F_*(v_1)_p, \dots, F_*(v_j)_p)$.

Problem 13. Suppose that $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ and ϕ, ψ be differential forms on \mathbb{R}^k .

(a) $F^*(\phi + \psi) = F^*(\phi) + F^*(\psi)$

(b) $F^*(\phi \wedge \psi) = F^*(\phi) \wedge F^*(\psi)$

(c) $F^*(d\phi) = d(F^*\phi)$.

Definition 19. Let $\beta : [a_1, b_1] \times \cdots \times [a_k, b_k] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be differentiable and let η be an k -form. We define

$$\int_{\beta} \eta = \int_R \beta^*(\eta)$$

where R is the k -dimensional box $[a_1, b_1] \times \cdots \times [a_k, b_k] \subset \mathbb{R}^k$.

Let us analyze this definition for the integral of a two form over a surface in \mathbb{R}^3 . Suppose $\beta : [a, b] \times [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is defined by

$$\beta(s, t) = (f(s, t), g(s, t), h(s, t))$$

and ω is the two form defined by

$$\omega = Fdx \wedge dy + Gdx \wedge dz + Hdy \wedge dz.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\beta} \omega &= \int_R \beta^* \omega = \int_R F(x, y, z) \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial s} ds + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} dt \right) \wedge \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial s} ds + \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} dt \right) \\ &\quad + G(x, y, z) \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial s} ds + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} dt \right) \wedge \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial s} ds + \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} dt \right) \\ &\quad + H(x, y, z) \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial s} ds + \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} dt \right) \wedge \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial s} ds + \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} dt \right). \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

Problem 14. Verify the formula in equation (2). *Hint:* You know that $\beta^* \omega$ must have the form $r(s, t) ds \wedge dt$ for some $r(s, t)$. To determine r , note that $r(s, t) ds \wedge dt \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) = r(s, t)$ So, look at $\beta^* \omega \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) = \omega \left(\beta_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right), \beta_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \right) =$ and keep going...

Problem 15. Consider the surface $\beta : [0, 2\pi] \times \left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$ defined by

$$\beta(s, t) = (\cos(s) \cos(t), \sin(s) \cos(t), \sin(t)).$$

The image of β is the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^3 . Compute

$$\int_{\beta} xz(dy \wedge dz) + yz(dz \wedge dx) + x^2(dx \wedge dy).$$

(This is basically problem 7 on page 437 from the textbook).

And now we have the main theorem.

Stokes Theorem. If ϕ is a 1 form and $\beta : [a, b] \times [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a surface.

Then

$$\int_{\beta} d\phi = \int_{\partial\beta} \phi.$$

Let me make a couple of remarks before the proof. In the case that $n = 2$, that is, β is a surface in \mathbb{R}^2 , this theorem is sometimes called Green's theorem. That's theorem 11.10 in Apostol Vol II. For $n = 3$ (surface integrals in \mathbb{R}^3) it appears in Apostol as theorem 12.3. I didn't state the more general version that asserts that $\int_{\beta} d\phi = \int_{\partial\beta} \phi$ for any $k - 1$ form ϕ and any k -dimensional surface β . It's not hard to prove, it's just hard to define $\partial\beta$ with the correct signs. For a parametrized two dimensional surface:

$$\beta : [a, b] \times [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

we define $\partial\beta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4$ where

$$\alpha_1 : [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \text{ is defined by } \alpha_1(t) = \beta(b, t)$$

$$\alpha_2 : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \text{ is defined by } \alpha_2(s) = \beta(b + a - s, d)$$

$$\alpha_3 : [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \text{ is defined by } \alpha_3(t) = \beta(a, d + c - t)$$

$$\alpha_4 : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \text{ is defined by } \alpha_4(s) = \beta(s, c)$$

Problem 16. Sketch a picture of β and $\partial\beta$

Now we prove Stokes theorem.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\beta} d\phi &= \int_R \beta^*(d\phi) \\ &= \int_R d\beta^*(\phi) \\ &= \int_R \left(\frac{\partial\phi(\frac{\partial\beta}{\partial t})}{\partial s} - \frac{\partial\phi(\frac{\partial\beta}{\partial s})}{\partial t} \right) ds \wedge dt. \end{aligned}$$

Let's compute the first term:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_c^d \left(\int_a^b \frac{\partial \phi(\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial t})}{\partial s} ds \right) dt &= \int_c^d \phi \left(\frac{\partial \beta(b, t)}{\partial t} \right) - \phi \left(\frac{\partial \beta(a, t)}{\partial t} \right) dt \\ &= \int_{\alpha_1} \phi + \int_{\alpha_3} \phi. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the second term gives

$$- \int_a^b \left(\int_c^d \frac{\partial \phi(\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial s})}{\partial t} dt \right) ds = \int_{\alpha_2} \phi + \int_{\alpha_4} \phi.$$

□

We have the expected corollary

Corollary 2. *If ω is exact then $\int_{\beta} \omega = 0$ for all closed surfaces β .*

And (although we don't have time to prove it this semester!) we also have the Poincare Lemma for n forms.

The Poincare Lemma. *If ϕ is a C^1 form defined in an open, convex set containing the origin, the ϕ is closed iff ϕ is exact.*

4.2 Connections with vector calculus

Finally, I'll supply a little dictionary between the language of differential forms and the language of vector calculus in \mathbb{R}^3 .

First, there are two correspondences to be aware of. First, I'll state the correspondence here simply, without worrying about what kind of correspondence each is.

	vector field	differential form
correspondence A	$f\mathbf{i} + g\mathbf{j} + h\mathbf{k}$	$f dx + g dy + h dz$
correspondence B	$f\mathbf{i} + g\mathbf{j} + h\mathbf{k}$	$h dy \wedge dz - g dx \wedge dz + f dy \wedge dz$

In vector calculus one has the following three notions:

- **Gradient.** Given a function $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, one has the gradient vector field

$$\nabla(f) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} U_i.$$

- **Curl.** Given a vector field $X = \sum_i f_i U_i$, one has a vector field called the curl of X :

$$\text{curl}(X) = \left(\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x_2} - \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_3} \right) U_1 + \left(\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_3} - \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x_1} \right) U_2 + \left(\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2} \right) U_3.$$

- **Divergence.** Given a vector field $X = \sum_i f_i U_i$ one has a function called the divergence of X

$$\text{Div}(X) = \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x_3}.$$

Problem 17. Express these three concepts in terms of the exterior derivatives and the two correspondences in the table.