

# Dynamical Systems and Quasiconformal Mappings:

A Course Given in Department of Mathematics at CUNY Graduate Center  
Spring Semester of 2009, Friday, 9:30am-11:30am

Yunping Jiang

## Lecture IV, February 20, 2009

### Dynamics of Linear Maps and Local Dynamics (continued):

Irrational circle rotations is a basic example for the study of dynamics of topological groups. A topological group  $G$  is a group with a topology such that each group multiplication by a fixed element in the group is a continuous map from the topological space into itself and the inverse is also a continuous map from the topological space into itself. For example, the unit circle  $S^1$  is an Abelian topological group.

Let  $L_{g_0} : G \rightarrow G$  be the group multiplication by  $g_0$

$$L_{g_0}g = g_0g : G \rightarrow G.$$

The orbit of the unit element  $e \in G$  is cyclic group  $\{g_0^n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ . From Theorem 2 in Lecture 3,  $S^1$  has not proper infinite closed subgroup.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $L_{g_0}$  is topologically transitive, then it is minimal.*

*Proof.* Since  $L_{g_0}$  is topologically transitive, there is a  $g$  such that  $O(g) = \{g_0^n g\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$  is dense in  $G$ . Now for any  $g' \in G$ ,  $g_0^n g' = g_0^n g((g^{-1}g'))$ . Thus the orbit  $O(g') = O(g)(g^{-1}g')$ . Since  $g^{-1}g' : G \rightarrow G$  is continuous,  $O(g')$  is dense in  $G$ .  $\square$

Another example of an Abelian topological groups is a torus

$$T^n = \underbrace{S^1 \times \cdots \times S^1}_n = \{w = (z_1, \cdots, z_n) \mid z_i \in S^1\}$$

which plays a central role in the study of completely integrable Hamiltonian systems. The multiplication

$$w \cdot w' = (z_1 z'_1, \cdots, z_n z'_n)$$

if  $w = (z_1, \cdots, z_n)$  and  $w' = (z'_1, \cdots, z'_n)$ . The group multiplication by  $w_0$  is

$$L_{w_0}w = w_0 \cdot w.$$

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose  $w_0 = (e^{2\pi i \phi_1}, \cdots, e^{2\pi i \phi_n})$ . The group multiplication  $L_{w_0}$  is minimal if and only if the number  $\phi_1, \cdots, \phi_n$ , and 1*

are rationally independent, that is, if  $\sum_{i=1}^n k_i \phi_i = 0 \pmod{1}$ , then  $k_1 = k_2 = \dots = k_n = 0$ .

**Exerice 1.** Prove this theorem. You can refer to Katok and Hasselblatt's book "Introduction to the Modern Theory of Dynamical Systems", Cambridge University, pages 28-31.

### Maps with extremely complicate dynamics but we now understood quite well:

Suppose  $(X, d)$  is a metric space. By the definition,  $X$  is called compact if every cover  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  by open sets has a sub-cover  $\{U_{\alpha_n}\}_{n=1}^m$  consisting of finite number of open sets in this cover. Equivalently,  $X$  is compact if every sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  has a convergent subsequence  $\{x_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ . A closed subset of a compact space is compact. The space  $X$  is called totally disconnect if every connected component of  $X$  contains only one point. A point  $x \in X$  is called a limiting point if for every neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$ , there is a  $y \neq x$  in  $U$ . Let  $X'$  be the set of all limiting points. Then  $X$  is called perfect if  $X = X'$ . Topologically, a Cantor set is a compact, totally disconnected, and perfect metric space.

Suppose  $I = [0, 1]$  is the unit interval and  $I_0 = [0, a]$  and  $I_1 = [b, 1]$  are two subintervals where  $0 < a < b < 1$ . A  $C^1$  map  $f$  defined on  $I_0 \cup I_1$  is said to be degree two if  $f|_{I_i}$  from  $I_i$  to  $I$  is bijective for  $i = 0, 1$ ;  $f$  is said to be expanding if there are constants  $C > 0$  and  $\lambda > 1$  so that  $|(f^{on})'(x)| \geq C\lambda^n$  whenever  $f^{oi}(x)$  are in  $I_0 \cup I_1$  for all  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ .

Suppose  $f : I_0 \cup I_1 \rightarrow I$  is a degree two expanding map. Let  $G = (a, b)$  be the complement of  $I_0 \cup I_1$  in  $I$ . A number  $x$  in  $I$  is said to be escaping to  $G$  if  $f^{ok}(x)$  is in  $G$  for some integer  $k \geq 0$  (where  $f^{o0}$  is the identity). The set  $\Omega \subseteq I$  of escaping points is an open subset of the real line. The complement  $\Lambda$  of  $\Omega$  in  $I$  is called the non-escaping set under  $f$ . It is a compact (closed and bounded) subset of the real line  $\mathbf{R}$ . We also call  $\Lambda$  the maximal invariant set of  $f$  in  $[0, 1]$ .

### A linear example

**Example 1.** ( $\frac{1}{3}$ -Cantor set) . Suppose  $a = 1/3$  and  $b = 2/3$ . Define

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 3x, & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq a; \\ 3x - 2, & \text{if } b \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Then  $f$  is a degree two expanding map for which the non-escaping set  $\Lambda$  under  $f$  is the famous  $\frac{1}{3}$ -Cantor set.

*Proof.* Every point  $x \in [0, 1]$  can be written as

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{i_n}{3^n}$$

where  $i_n \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ . Then

$$\Lambda = \left\{ x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{i_n}{3^n} \in [0, 1] \mid i_n \in \{0, 2\} \right\}.$$

It is a closed subset. So it is compact.

For any

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{i_n}{3^n}$$

and any  $m > 0$ , let

$$x_m = \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \frac{i_n}{3^n} + \frac{i_m^*}{3^m} + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{i_n}{3^n},$$

where  $i_m^* = 2 - i_m$ . Then  $x_m \neq x$  and  $x_m \rightarrow x$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . This says  $x \in \Lambda'$ . So  $\Lambda$  is perfect.

Suppose  $x \neq y \in \Lambda$ . Then there is an integer  $m > 0$  such that

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \frac{i_n}{3^n} + \frac{i_m}{3^m} + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{i_n}{3^n}$$

and

$$y = \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \frac{i_n}{3^n} + \frac{i'_m}{3^m} + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{i'_n}{3^n}$$

where  $i_m \neq i'_m$ . Let us assume that  $i_m = 0$  and  $i'_m = 2$  and define

$$z = \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \frac{i_n}{3^n} + \frac{1}{3^m} + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^n}.$$

Then

$$U = (-\infty, z) \quad \text{and} \quad V = (z, +\infty)$$

are two open sets. It is clear that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$  and  $\Lambda \subset U \cup V$  and  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ . Therefore,  $\Lambda$  is a Cantor set.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** *Any two Cantor sets are topologically equivalent.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $(X, d)$  is a Cantor set. Let  $\Lambda$  be the 1/3-Cantor set. We only need to prove that  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $\Lambda$ .

Each non-empty open set  $U$  of  $X$  (respectively,  $\Lambda$ ) can be divided into two non-empty open sets  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  such that  $U = U_1 \cup U_2$  and  $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$ . Therefore, since  $X$  and  $\Lambda$  are compact, we can divide

$$X = X_1 \cup \cdots \cup X_n \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda = \Lambda_1 \cup \cdots \cup \Lambda_n$$

into disjoint non-empty compact sets such that all of them have diameters  $< 1$ . Suppose we have divided

$$X = \cup_{i_0 \cdots i_k} X_{i_0 \cdots i_k} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda = \cup_{i_0 \cdots i_k} \Lambda_{i_0 \cdots i_k}$$

into disjoint non-empty compact sets such that all of them have diameters  $< 1/k$ . Then each pair  $X_{i_0 \cdots i_k}$  and  $\Lambda_{i_0 \cdots i_k}$  can be divided into same number disjoint non-empty compact sets such that all of them have diameters  $< 1/(k+1)$ , that is,

$$X_{i_0 \cdots i_k} = \cup_{l=1}^{n_{k+1}} X_{i_0 \cdots i_k l} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_{i_0 \cdots i_k} = \cup_{l=1}^{n_{k+1}} \Lambda_{i_0 \cdots i_k l}$$

and  $d(X_{i_0 \cdots i_k l}, \Lambda_{i_0 \cdots i_k l}) < 1/(k+1)$ . Thus we have a sequence of nested non-empty compact sets

$$\cdots \subset X_{i_0 \cdots i_k i_{k+1}} \subset X_{i_0 \cdots i_k} \subset \cdots \subset X_{i_0} \subset X$$

and

$$\cdots \subset \Lambda_{i_0 \cdots i_k i_{k+1}} \subset \Lambda_{i_0 \cdots i_k} \subset \cdots \subset \Lambda_{i_0} \subset \Lambda.$$

Since  $d(X_{i_0 \cdots i_k}, \Lambda_{i_0 \cdots i_k}) < 1/k$ ,

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} X_{i_0 \cdots i_k} = \{x_{i_0 \cdots i_k \cdots}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_{i_0 \cdots i_k} = \{p_{i_0 \cdots i_k \cdots}\}$$

both contain one point each. Set

$$h(p_{i_0 \cdots i_k \cdots}) = x_{i_0 \cdots i_k \cdots}$$

Then it is a homeomorphism between  $X$  and  $\Lambda$ . □