Here is a rigorous proof of Fubini's Theorem on the equality of double and iterated integrals. The present version is slightly more general than the one stated in the textbook.

Fubini's Theorem. Let f be an integrable function on the rectangle $R = [a,b] \times [c,d]$. Suppose that for each $y \in [c,d]$, the integral $\int_a^b f(x,y) dx$ exists and moreover $\int_a^b f(x,y) dx$ as a function of y is integrable on [c,d]. Then

$$\iint_{R} f \, dA = \int_{c}^{d} \int_{a}^{b} f(x, y) \, dx \, dy.$$

Of course a similar statement holds with the role of x, y changed. When f is continuous on the rectangle R, all the integrability assumptions hold automatically and we have

$$\iint_R f \, dA = \int_c^d \int_a^b f(x, y) \, dx \, dy = \int_a^b \int_c^d f(x, y) \, dy \, dx.$$

The proof begins as follows. Take arbitrary partitions $x_0 = a < x_1 < \dots < x_m = b$ of [a, b] and $y_0 = c < y_1 < \dots < y_n = d$ of [c, d]. Let \mathcal{P} be the partition of R into the mn sub-rectangles $R_{ij} = [x_{i-1}, x_i] \times [y_{j-1}, y_j]$. As usual, set

$$\Delta x_i = x_i - x_{i-1} \qquad \Delta y_j = y_j - y_{j-1} \qquad m_{ij} = \inf_{R_{ij}} f \qquad M_{ij} = \sup_{R_{ii}} f.$$

Since

$$m_{ij} \le f(x, y) \le M_{ij}$$
 for $(x, y) \in R_{ij}$,

the comparison property of the integral in dimension 1 shows that

$$m_{ij} \Delta x_i \leq \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} f(x, y) dx \leq M_{ij} \Delta x_i$$
 if $y \in [y_{j-1}, y_j]$.

Summing over all i from 1 to m, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} m_{ij} \, \Delta x_i \le \int_{a}^{b} f(x, y) \, dx \le \sum_{i=1}^{m} M_{ij} \, \Delta x_i \quad \text{if } y \in [y_{j-1}, y_j].$$

Applying comparison once more gives

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} m_{ij} \Delta x_i\right) \Delta y_j \leq \int_{y_{j-1}}^{y_j} \int_a^b f(x,y) \, dx \, dy \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} M_{ij} \Delta x_i\right) \Delta y_j.$$

Summing up over j from 1 to n, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} m_{ij} \, \Delta x_i \, \Delta y_j \leq \int_{c}^{d} \int_{a}^{b} f(x, y) \, dx \, dy \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} M_{ij} \, \Delta x_i \, \Delta y_j.$$

Thus, for every partition \mathcal{P} of the rectangle R,

$$L(f, \mathcal{P}) \le \int_{c}^{d} \int_{a}^{b} f(x, y) \, dx \, dy \le U(f, \mathcal{P}).$$

On the other hand, since by the assumption f is integrable on R, the double integral $\iint_R f \ dA$ is the *unique* number which satisfies

$$L(f, \mathcal{P}) \le \iint_{R} f \ dA \le U(f, \mathcal{P})$$

for every partition \mathcal{P} . Therefore, we must have

$$\iint_{R} f \, dA = \int_{c}^{d} \int_{a}^{b} f(x, y) \, dx \, dy,$$

as claimed.