


## More about partitions

- ▶  $3 + 1 + 1$ ,  $1 + 3 + 1$ , and  $1 + 1 + 3$  are all the same partition, so we will write the numbers in non-increasing order.
- ▶ We use greek letters to denote partitions, often  $\lambda$  (“lambda”),  $\mu$  (“mu”), and  $\nu$  (“nu”).
- ▶ We’ll write:  $\lambda : n = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k$  or  $\lambda \vdash n$ .

For example,  $\lambda : 5 = 3 + 1 + 1$ , or  $\lambda = 311$ , or  $\lambda = 3^1 1^2$ , or  $311 \vdash 5$ .

A pictorial representation of  $\lambda = n_1 n_2 \cdots n_k$  is its *Ferrers diagram*, a left-justified array of dots with  $k$  rows, containing  $n_i$  dots in row  $i$ .

**Example.** The Ferrers diagram of  $42211 \vdash 10$  is



The **conjugate** of a partition  $\lambda$  is the partition  $\lambda^c$  which interchanges rows and columns.

Some partitions are **self-conjugate**, satisfying  $\lambda = \lambda^c$ .

## A generating function for partitions

Recall from our basketball example: The generating function for the number of ways to partition an integer into parts of size 1, 2, or 3 is

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)} \frac{1}{(1-x^2)} \frac{1}{(1-x^3)}$$

If we include parts of any size, we infer:

Let  $P(n)$  be the number of partitions of the integer  $n$ . Then

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} P(n)x^n = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-x^k}$$

### Notes:

- ▶ Infinite product! But, for any  $n$  only finitely many terms involved.
- ▶ There is a beautiful generating function, but **no nice formula!**
- ▶ Finding a generating function for a subset of partitions is easy if you understand each factor in the product.



## Partitions: odd parts and distinct parts

**Example.** THE FOLLOWING AMAZING FACT!!!!1!!!!11!!

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{l} \text{The number of partitions of } n \\ \text{using only odd parts, } o_n \end{array}} = \boxed{\begin{array}{l} \text{The number of partitions of } n \\ \text{using distinct parts, } d_n \end{array}}$$

**Investigation:** Does this make sense? For  $n = 6$ ,  
 $o_6$ :  $d_6$ :

**Solution.** Determine the generating functions

$$O(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} o_n x^n$$

$$D(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} d_n x^n$$

See, I told you they were equal.  $\square$

# A recurrence relation for $P(n, k)$ (p.78)

**Example.** Prove a recurrence relation for  $P(n, k)$ :

$$P(n, k) = P(n - 1, k - 1) + P(n - k, k)$$

**Question:** How many partitions of  $n$  are there into  $k$  parts?

**LHS:**  $P(n, k)$

**RHS:** Condition on whether the smallest part is of size 1.

► **If so,** there are  $P(n - 1, k - 1)$  partitions via the bijection

$$f : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{partitions of } n \text{ into } k \text{ parts} \\ \text{with smallest part } 1. \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{partitions of } n - 1 \\ \text{into } k - 1 \text{ parts.} \end{array} \right\}.$$

► **If not:** there are  $P(n - k, k)$  partitions via the bijection

$$g : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{partitions of } n \text{ into } k \text{ parts} \\ \text{with smallest part } \neq 1. \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{partitions of } n - k \\ \text{into } k \text{ parts.} \end{array} \right\}.$$

## Using conjugation

*Theorem 4.4.1.*  $P(n, k)$  equals  $P(n, \text{largest part} = k)$

*Proof.* The conjugation function  $f : \lambda \rightarrow \lambda^c$  is a bijection

$$f : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{partitions of } n \\ \text{into exactly } k \text{ parts} \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{partitions of } n \text{ with} \\ \text{largest part of size } k. \end{array} \right\}.$$

The same bijection gives:

*Theorem 4.4.2.* \_\_\_\_\_ equals  $P(n, \text{largest part} \leq k)$ .

## Characterization of self-conjugate partitions

*Theorem 4.4.3.*  $P(n, \text{self conjugate}) = P(n, \text{distinct odd parts})$

*Proof.* Define a bijection which “unfolds” self-conjugate partitions:

$$f : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{self-conjugate} \\ \text{partitions } \lambda \text{ of } n \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{partitions } \mu \text{ of } n \text{ into} \\ \text{distinct odd parts} \end{array} \right\}.$$

- ▶ Define parts of  $\mu$  by **unpeeling**  $\lambda$  layer by layer.
- ▶ Iteratively remove the first row and first column of  $\lambda$ .

*Question:* Is  $f$  well defined?

Define the inverse function  $g = f^{-1} : \mu \mapsto \lambda$ :

- ▶ Find the **center dot** of each part  $\mu_i$ .
- ▶ **Fold** each  $\mu_i$  about its center dot.
- ▶ **Nest** these folded parts to create  $\lambda$ .

*Question:* Is  $g$  well defined?

*Question:* Is  $g(f(\lambda)) = \lambda$ ?

# Standard Young Tableaux

Related to some current lines of research in algebra and combinatorics:

A **Young diagram** is a representation of a partition using left-justified boxes.

A **standard Young tableau** is a placement of the integers 1 through  $n$  into the boxes, where the numbers in both the rows and the columns are increasing.

The **hook length**  $h(i, j)$  of a cell  $(i, j)$  is the number of cells in the “hook” to the left and down.

*Question:* How many SYT are there of shape  $\lambda \vdash n$ ?

*Answer:* 
$$\frac{n!}{\prod_{(i,j) \in \lambda} h(i,j)}$$

